

Epidemiology and Response Division

NEW MEXICO INFLUENZA SURVEILLANCE UPDATE from the Epidemiology and Response Division of the New Mexico Department of Health (NMDOH) Weekly Report ending March 4, 2006 (MMWR Week 9) Posted on March 9, 2006.

Summary of Influenza Activity in New Mexico for Week Ending March 4, 2006:

- Twenty of the 21 sentinel sites reported a total of 5,288 patient visits, of which 62 (1.17 %) were positive for an influenza-like illness (ILI)¹. The previous week ending February 25th reported 0.97 % influenza-like illness².
- Sentinel clinical laboratories reported that 6.4 % of influenza rapid antigen or immunofluorescence tests were positive for influenza A, and 1.2 % were positive for Influenza B.
- NMDOH reported the state influenza activity as "SPORADIC" to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (see table below for definitions).

Laboratory Activity in NM:

- For the week ending March 4, 2006, 17 of 17 sentinel clinical laboratories reported performing 327 rapid antigen or immunofluorescence (i.e., direct fluorescent antibody staining, DFA) tests, of which 21 (6.4 %) were positive for influenza A, 4 (1.2 %) were positive for influenza B and none were indistinguishable³.
- Since October 2, 2005, 17 sentinel clinical laboratories have reported the results of 7,965 rapid influenza tests. One thousand, two hundred and seventy-one (15.95 %) tests were positive, of which 1,223 detected influenza A, 43 detected influenza B, and 5 were indistinguishable.
- NMDOH Scientific Laboratory Division (SLD) has isolated 70 (36.1%) influenza A and one influenza B in 194 specimens submitted since October 2005 ². Subtyping of the influenza A cultures has revealed 60 H3 and 1 H1 viral subtypes; N subtyping by CDC is pending. Subtyping of the influenza B isolate has revealed a Hong Kong-like strain.

Influenza-Related Pediatric Mortality

CDC reported one influenza-related pediatric death in Week 8. Since October 2, 2005, CDC has received reports of fifteen influenza-related pediatric deaths, thirteen of which occurred during the current influenza season. There have been no reported deaths in NM.

Flu Activity in the Mountain Region and Texas

For the week ending February 25, 2006 (the most recent data available), influenza activity was reported as "Widespread" by Texas, Wyoming and Colorado; "Regional" by Montana; "Local" by Nevada and Idaho; and "Sporadic" by New Mexico, Arizona and Utah. Since October 2, 2005, laboratory testing from the National Respiratory and Enteric Virus Surveillance System (NREVSS) in the Mountain Region (NM, AZ, CO, UT, NV, ID, MT,

¹ Influenza-like Activity (ILI) is defined as Fever (≥ 100°F [37.8° C], oral or equivalent) AND cough and/or sore throat in absence of a KNOWN cause other than influenza.

² Weekly ILI and lab data may change as additional reports are compiled.

³ Some rapid influenza tests cannot differentiate between types A and B.

WY) has identified 748 influenza A H3N2 isolates, 5 influenza A H1N1 isolates, 660 influenza A unknown subtype isolates, and 122 influenza B isolates.³

National Flu Surveillance and Laboratory Activity

Nationwide, for the week ending February 25, 2006, 3.4 % of patient visits to U.S. sentinel providers were due to influenza-like illness, which is above the national baseline of 2.2%. Influenza activity was reported as 'Widespread' by 21 states and New York City, 'Regional' by 14 states, 'Local' by 10 states and the District of Columbia and 'Sporadic' by 5 states and Puerto Rico. More information on national surveillance can be found at http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/.

For the week ending February 25, 2006, 439 (21.2 %) of 2,066 specimens tested for influenza viruses were positive by culture. Of these, 134 were influenza A (H3N2), 12 were influenza A (H1N1), 231 were influenza A that were not subtyped, and 62 were influenza B. All states have reported lab-confirmed influenza this season. During the past three weeks (weeks 6-8), the percentage of specimens testing positive for influenza has ranged from 39.7 % in the East North Central region to 7.5 % in the Pacific region.

Antigenic characterization of 295 influenza viruses by CDC, since October 2005, has indicated the following:

- Two hundred and one (80.4 %) out of 250 H3N2 influenza A isolates are A/California/7/2004-like.
- Twelve (85.7 %) of the 14 H1 influenza A isolates were antigenically similar to the vaccine strain A/New Caledonia/20/99.
- Nineteen (61.3%) of the 31 influenza B viruses belong to the B/Yamagata lineage: seventeen are B/Florida/07/2004-like (a minor antigenic variant of B/Shanghai/361/2002) and two are antigenically similar to the 2005-2006 vaccine strain B/Shanghai/361/2002. Twelve (38.7%) influenza B viruses belong to the B/Victoria lineage which is not contained in the 2005-06 vaccines.

Components of 2005-06 influenza vaccines:

- Fluvirin® (Chiron) contains A/California/7/2004-like (H3N2); and A/New Caledonia/20/99-like (H1N1); and B/Shanghai/361/2002-like strain.
- Both Fluzone® (sanofi) and FluarixTM (GSK) contain A/New York/55/2004 (H3N2, an A/California/7/2004-like strain); and A/New Caledonia/20/99 (H1N1); and B/Jiangsu/10/2003 (a B/Shanghai/361/2002-like strain).
- FluMist® (Medimmune, live attenuated vaccine) contains A/California/7/2004-like (H3N2); and A/New Caledonia/20/99 (H1N1); and B/Jiangsu/10/2003 (a B/Shanghai/361/2002-like strain).

Composition of the 2006-2007 Influenza Vaccine

WHO has recommended that the 2006-07 trivalent influenza vaccine for the Northern Hemisphere contain A/New Caledonia/20/99-like (H1N1), A/Wisconsin/67/2005-like (H3N2) and B/Malaysia/2506/2004-like viruses. The influenza A (H3N2) and the influenza B components have been changed from the 2005-06 season vaccine components. A/Wisconsin/67/2005 is an antigenic variant of the current vaccine strain A/California/07/2004. Influenza B viruses currently circulating can be divided into two antigenically distinct lineages represented by B/Yamagata/16/88 and B/Victoria/2/87

viruses. The updating of the influenza B component to B/Ohio/1/2005 (which is antigenically equivalent to B/Malaysia/2506/2004) represents a change to the B/Victoria lineage, based on antigenic analyses of recently isolated influenza viruses, epidemiologic data and post-vaccination serologic studies in humans.

This information is collected by the Infectious Disease Epidemiology Bureau, Epidemiology Response Division, NMDOH. For questions, please call 505-827-0006. For more information on influenza go to the NMDOH web page: http://www.health.state.nm.us/flu/ or the CDC web page: http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/diseases/flu/fluvirus.htm

Activity Level	ILI activity*/Outbreaks		Laboratory data
No activity	Low	And	No lab confirmed cases [†]
Sporadic	Not increased	And	Isolated lab-confirmed cases
	OR		
	Not increased	And	Lab confirmed outbreak in one institution [‡]
Local	Increased ILI in 1 region**;		Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab evidence
	ILI activity in other regions	And	of influenza in region with increased ILI
	is not increased		
	OR		
	2 or more institutional		Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab evidence
	outbreaks (ILI or lab		of influenza in region with the outbreaks; virus
	confirmed) in 1 region; ILI	And	activity is no greater than sporadic in other
	activity in other regions is		regions
	not increased		
Regional	Increased ILI in ≥2 but less	And	Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab confirmed
	than half of the regions		influenza in the affected regions
(doesn't apply	OR		
to states with ≤4 regions)	Institutional outbreaks (ILI		Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab confirmed
	or lab confirmed) in ≥ 2 and	And	influenza in the affected regions
	less than half of the regions		
Widespread	Increased ILI and/or	And	Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab confirmed
	institutional outbreaks (ILI		influenza in the state.
	or lab confirmed) in at least		
	half of the regions		

^{*}ILI activity can be assessed using a variety of data sources including sentinel providers, school/workplace absenteeism, and other syndromic surveillance systems that monitor influenza-like illness.

[†] Lab confirmed case = case confirmed by rapid diagnostic test, antigen detection, culture, or PCR. The sensitivity and specificity of these tests vary and the predicative value positive may be low outside the time of peak influenza activity. Therefore, a state may wish to obtain laboratory confirmation of influenza by testing methods other than point of care rapid tests for reporting the first laboratory confirmed case of influenza of the season. For assigning an influenza activity level, NMDOH Epidemiology and Response Division utilizes results of rapid influenza testing only after receiving evidence of at least one culture confirmed case.

[‡] Institution includes nursing home, hospital, prison, school, etc.

^{**}Region: population under surveillance in a defined geographical subdivision of a state. NMDOH Epidemiology and Response Division uses the five Public Health Regions for our state subdivisions.

Influenza Surveillance Graphs:



