MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM GOVERNOR



KATHYLEEN M. KUNKEL CABINET SECRETARY

Date: February 21, 2019

To: Diane Metoyer, Executive Director Provider: Excel Case Management, Inc.

Address: 430 E. Broadway

City, State, Zip: Farmington, New Mexico 87499

E-mail Address: metoyer@excelcasemanagement.com

Region: Northwest

Survey Date: February 1 - 7, 2019

Program Surveyed: Developmental Disabilities Waiver

Service Surveyed: 2007, 2012 & 2018: Case Management

Survey Type: Routine

Team Leader: Wolf Krusemark, BFA, Healthcare Surveyor, Division of Health Improvement/Quality

Management Bureau

Team Member: Debbie Russell, BS, Healthcare Surveyor, Division of Health Improvement/Quality Management

Bureau; Lora Norby, Healthcare Surveyor, Division of Health Improvement/Quality Management Bureau; Beverly Estrada, ADN, Healthcare Surveyor, Division of Health Improvement/Quality Management Bureau; Yolanda Herrera, RN, Healthcare Surveyor,

Division of Health Improvement/Quality Management Bureau

Dear Diane Metover:

The Division of Health Improvement/Quality Management Bureau has completed a compliance survey of the services identified above. The purpose of the survey was to determine compliance with federal and state standards; to assure the health, safety, and welfare of individuals receiving services through the Developmental Disabilities Waiver; and to identify opportunities for improvement. This Report of Findings will be shared with the Developmental Disabilities Supports Division for their use in determining your current and future provider agreements. Upon receipt of this letter and Report of Findings your agency must immediately correct all deficiencies which place Individuals served at risk of harm.

Determination of Compliance:

The Division of Health Improvement, Quality Management Bureau has determined your agency is in:

<u>Compliance:</u> This determination is based on your agency's compliance with Condition of Participation level and Standard level requirements. Deficiencies found only affect a small percentage of the Individuals on the survey sample (refer to Attachment D for details). The attached QMB Report of Findings indicates Standard Level deficiencies identified and requires implementation of a Plan of Correction.

The following tags are identified as Standard Level:

• Tag # 4C07 Individual Service Planning (Visions, measurable outcomes, action steps)

DIVISION OF HEALTH IMPROVEMENT

5301 Central Avenue NE, Suite 400 • Albuquerque, New Mexico • 87108 (505) 222-8623 • FAX: (505) 222-8661 • http://www.dhi.health.state.nm.us



ACCREDITATION

- Tag # 4C15.1 Service Monitoring Annual / Semi-Annual Reports & Provider Semi Annual / Quarterly Reports
- Tag # 4C16.1 Req. for Reports & Distribution of ISP (Regional DDSD Office)
- Tag # 1A08.2 Administrative Case File: Healthcare Requirements & Follow-up

Plan of Correction:

The attached Report of Findings identifies the deficiencies found during your agency's on-site compliance review. You are required to complete and implement a Plan of Correction. Your agency has a total of 45 business days (10 business days to submit your POC for approval and 35 days to implement your *approved* Plan of Correction) from the receipt of this letter.

You were provided information during the exit meeting portion of your on-site survey. Please refer to this information (Attachment A) for specific instruction on completing your Plan of Correction. At a minimum your Plan of Correction should address the following for each Tag cited:

Corrective Action for Current Citation:

How is the deficiency going to be corrected? (i.e. obtained documents, retrain staff, individuals and/or staff
no longer in service, void/adjusts completed, etc.) This can be specific to each deficiency cited or if possible
an overall correction, i.e. all documents will be requested and filed as appropriate.

On-going Quality Assurance/Quality Improvement Processes:

- What is going to be done on an ongoing basis? (i.e. file reviews, etc.)
- How many individuals is this going to effect? (i.e. percentage of individuals reviewed, number of files reviewed, etc.)
- How often will this be completed? (i.e. weekly, monthly, quarterly, etc.)
- Who is responsible? (responsible position within your agency)
- What steps will be taken if issues are found? (i.e. retraining, requesting documents, filing RORA, etc.)
- How is this integrated in your agency's QIS, QI Committee reviews and annual report?

Submission of your Plan of Correction:

Please submit your agency's Plan of Correction in the available space on the two right-hand columns of the Report of Findings. (See attachment "A" for additional guidance in completing the Plan of Correction).

Within 10 business days of receipt of this letter your agency Plan of Correction must be submitted to the parties below:

- 1. Quality Management Bureau, Attention: Amanda Castaneda, Plan of Correction Coordinator 1170 North Solano Suite D Las Cruces, New Mexico 88001
- 2. Developmental Disabilities Supports Division Regional Office for region of service surveyed

Upon notification from QMB that your *Plan of Correction has been approved*, you must implement all remedies and corrective actions to come into compliance. If your Plan of Correction is denied, you must resubmit a revised plan as soon as possible for approval, as your POC approval and all remedies must be completed within 45 business days of the receipt of this letter.

Failure to submit your POC within the allotted 10 business days or complete and implement your Plan of Correction within the total 45 business days allowed may result in the imposition of a \$200 per day Civil Monetary Penalty until it is received, completed and/or implemented.

Billing Deficiencies:

If you have deficiencies noted in this report of findings under the *Service Domain: Medicaid Billing/Reimbursement*, you must complete a "Void/Adjust" claim or remit the identified overpayment via a check within 30 calendar days of the date of this letter to HSD/OIG/PIU, though this is not the preferred method of payment. If you choose to pay via check, please include a copy of this letter with the payment. Make the check payable to the New Mexico Human Services Department and mail to:

QMB Report of Findings - Excel Case Management, Inc. - Northwest - February 1 - 7, 2019

Survey Report #: Q.19.3.DDW.D3826.1.RTN.01.19.052

Attention: Lisa Medina-Lujan HSD/OIG/Program Integrity Unit 1474 Rodeo Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

If you have questions and would like to speak with someone at HSD/OIG/PIU, please contact:

Lisa Medina-Lujan (<u>Lisa.medina-lujan @state.nm.us</u>) OR Jennifer Goble (<u>Jen</u>nifer.goble2 @state.nm.us)

Please be advised that there is a one-week lag period for applying payments received by check to Void/Adjust claims. During this lag period, your other claim payments may be applied to the amount you owe even though you have sent a refund, reducing your payment amount. For this reason, we recommend that you allow the system to recover the overpayment instead of sending in a check.

Request for Informal Reconsideration of Findings (IRF):

If you disagree with a finding of deficient practice, you have 10 business days upon receipt of this notice to request an IRF. Submit your request for an IRF in writing to:

Request for Informal Reconsideration of Findings 5301 Central Ave NE Suite #400 Albuquerque, NM 87108 Attention: IRF request/QMB

See Attachment "C" for additional guidance in completing the request for Informal Reconsideration of Findings. The request for an IRF will not delay the implementation of your Plan of Correction which must be completed within 45 total business days (10 business days to submit your POC for approval and 35 days to implement your *approved* Plan of Correction). Providers may not appeal the nature or interpretation of the standard or regulation, the team composition or sampling methodology. If the IRF approves the modification or removal of a finding, you will be advised of any changes.

Please call the Plan of Correction Coordinator Amanda Castaneda at 575-373-5716 if you have questions about the Report of Findings or Plan of Correction. Thank you for your cooperation and for the work you perform.

Sincerely,

Wolf Krusemark, BFA

Wolf Krusemark, BFA

Team Lead/Healthcare Surveyor Division of Health Improvement Quality Management Bureau

Survey Process Employed:

Administrative Review Start Date: February 1, 2019

Contact: <u>Excel Case Management, Inc.</u>

Diane Metoyer, Executive Director

DOH/DHI/QMB

Wolf Krusemark, BFA, Team Lead/Healthcare Surveyor

On-site Entrance Conference Date: February 4, 2019

Present: <u>Excel Case Management, Inc.</u>

Diane Metoyer, Executive Director

DOH/DHI/QMB

Wolf Krusemark, BFA, Healthcare Surveyor Yolanda Herrera, RN, Healthcare Surveyor

Lora Norby, Healthcare Surveyor

Exit Conference Date: February 7, 2019

Present: <u>Excel Case Management, Inc.</u>

Diane Metoyer, Executive Director

DOH/DHI/QMB

Wolf Krusemark, BFA, Healthcare Surveyor Beverly Estrada, ADN, Healthcare Surveyor Yolanda Herrera, RN, Healthcare Surveyor Debbie Russell, BS, Healthcare Surveyor

DDSD - Northwest Regional Office

Crystal Wright, Regional Director

Michele Groblebe, Social Community Coordinator

Administrative Locations Visited 1

Total Sample Size 27

1 - Jackson Class Members26 - Non-Jackson Class Members

Persons Served Records Reviewed 27

Case Manager Interviewed 8

Case Manager Records Reviewed 8

Total # of Secondary Freedom of Choices 125

Administrative Interviews 1

Administrative Processes and Records Reviewed:

- Medicaid Billing/Reimbursement Records for all Services Provided
- Accreditation Records
- Oversight of Individual Funds
- Individual Medical and Program Case Files, including, but not limited to:

- o Individual Service Plans
- o Progress on Identified Outcomes
- o Healthcare Plans
- o Medication Administration Records
- Medical Emergency Response Plans
- Therapy Evaluations and Plans
- o Healthcare Documentation Regarding Appointments and Required Follow-Up
- o Other Required Health Information
- Internal Incident Management Reports and System Process / General Events Reports
- Personnel Files, including subcontracted staff
- Staff Training Records, Including Competency Interviews with Staff
- Agency Policy and Procedure Manual
- Caregiver Criminal History Screening Records
- Consolidated Online Registry/Employee Abuse Registry
- Human Rights Committee Notes and Meeting Minutes
- Quality Assurance / Improvement Plan

CC: Distribution List: DOH - Division of Health Improvement

DOH - Developmental Disabilities Supports Division

DOH - Office of Internal Audit HSD - Medical Assistance Division NM Attorney General's Office

Attachment A

Provider Instructions for Completing the QMB Plan of Correction (POC) Process

Introduction:

After a QMB Compliance Survey, your QMB Report of Findings will be sent to you via e-mail.

Each provider must develop and implement a Plan of Correction (POC) that identifies specific quality assurance and quality improvement activities the agency will implement to correct deficiencies and prevent continued deficiencies and non-compliance.

Agencies must submit their Plan of Correction within ten (10) business days from the date you receive the QMB Report of Findings. (Providers who do not submit a POC within 10 business days may be referred to the DDSD Regional Office for purposes of contract management or the Internal Review Committee [IRC] for possible actions or sanctions).

Agencies must fully implement their approved Plan of Correction within 45 business days (10 business days to submit your POC for approval and 35 days to implement your approved Plan of Correction) from the date they receive the QMB Report of Findings. Providers who fail to complete a POC within the 45-business days allowed will be referred to the IRC for possible actions or sanctions.

If you have questions about the Plan of Correction process, call the Plan of Correction Coordinator at 575-373-5716 or email at AmandaE.Castaneda@state.nm.us. Requests for technical assistance must be requested through your Regional DDSD Office.

The POC process cannot resolve disputes regarding findings. If you wish to dispute a finding on the official Report of Findings, you must file an Informal Reconsideration of Findings (IRF) request within ten (10) business days of receiving your report. Please note that you must still submit a POC for findings that are in question (see Attachment C). *Instructions for Completing Agency POC:*

Required Content

Your Plan of Correction should provide a step-by-step description of the methods to correct each deficient practice cited to prevent recurrence and information that ensures the regulation cited comes into and remains in compliance. The remedies noted in your POC are expected to be added to your Agency's required, annual Quality Assurance (QA) Plan.

If a deficiency has already been corrected since the on-site survey, the plan should state how it was corrected, the completion date (date the correction was accomplished), and how possible recurrence of the deficiency will be prevented.

The following details should be considered when developing your Plan of Correction:

The Plan of Correction must address each deficiency cited in the Report of Findings unless otherwise noted with a "No Plan of Correction Required statement." The Plan of Correction must address the five (5) areas listed below:

- 1. How the specific and realistic corrective action will be accomplished for individuals found to have been affected by the deficient practice.
- 2. How the agency will identify other individuals who have the potential to be affected by the same deficient practice, and how the agency will act to protect those individuals in similar situations.
- 3. What Quality Assurance measures will be put into place and what systemic changes made to ensure the deficient practice will not recur.
- 4. Indicate how the agency plans to monitor its performance to make certain solutions are sustained. The agency must develop a QA plan for ensuring correction is achieved and sustained. This QA plan must be implemented and the corrective action is evaluated for its effectiveness. The plan of correction is integrated into the agency quality assurance system; and
- 5. Include dates when corrective actions will be completed. The corrective action completion dates must be acceptable to the State.

The following details should be considered when developing your Plan of Correction:

QMB Report of Findings - Excel Case Management, Inc. - Northwest - February 1 - 7, 2019

Survey Report #: Q.19.3.DDW.D3826.1.RTN.01.19.052

- Details about how and when Individual Served, agency personnel and administrative and service delivery site files are audited by agency personnel to ensure they contain required documents;
- Information about how medication administration records are reviewed to verify they contain all required information before they are distributed to service sites, as they are being used, and after they are completed;
- Your processes for ensuring that all required agency personnel are trained on required DDSD required trainings;
- How accuracy in billing/reimbursement documentation is assured;
- How health, safety is assured;
- For Case Management providers, how Individual Service Plans are reviewed to verify they meet requirements, how the timeliness of level of care (LOC) packet submissions and consumer visits are tracked;
- Your process for gathering, analyzing and responding to quality data indicators; and,
- Details about Quality Targets in various areas, current status, analyses about why targets were not met, and remedies implemented.

Note: Instruction or in-service of staff alone may not be a sufficient plan of correction. This is a good first step toward correction, but additional steps must be taken to ensure the deficiency is corrected and will not recur.

Completion Dates

- The plan of correction must include a **completion date** (entered in the far right-hand column) for each finding. Be sure the date is **realistic** in the amount of time your Agency will need to correct the deficiency; not to exceed 45 total business days.
- Direct care issues should be corrected immediately and monitored appropriately.
- Some deficiencies may require a staged plan to accomplish total correction.
- Deficiencies requiring replacement of equipment, etc., may require more time to accomplish correction but should show reasonable time frames.

Initial Submission of the Plan of Correction Requirements

- 1. The Plan of Correction must be completed on the official QMB Survey Report of Findings/Plan of Correction Form and received by QMB within ten (10) business days from the date you received the report of findings.
- 2. For questions about the POC process, call the POC Coordinator, Amanda Castaneda at 575-373-5716 or email at AmandaE.Castaneda@state.nm.us for assistance.
- 3. For Technical Assistance (TA) in developing or implementing your POC, contact your Regional DDSD Office.
- 4. Submit your POC to Amanda Castaneda, POC Coordinator in any of the following ways:
 - a. Electronically at AmandaE.Castaneda@state.nm.us (preferred method)
 - b. Fax to 575-528-5019, or
 - c. Mail to POC Coordinator, 1170 North Solano Ste D, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88001
- <u>Do not submit supporting documentation</u> (evidence of compliance) to QMB <u>until after</u> your POC has been approved by the QMB.
- 6. QMB will notify you when your POC has been "approved" or "denied."
 - a. During this time, whether your POC is "approved," or "denied," you will have a maximum of 45-business days from the date of receipt of your Report of Findings to correct all survey deficiencies.
 - b. If your POC is denied, it must be revised and resubmitted as soon as possible, as the 45-business day limit is in effect.
 - c. If your POC is denied a second time your agency may be referred to the Internal Review Committee.
 - d. You will receive written confirmation when your POC has been approved by QMB and a final deadline for completion of your POC.
 - e. Please note that all POC correspondence will be sent electronically unless otherwise requested.
- 7. Failure to submit your POC within 10 business days without prior approval of an extension by QMB will result in a referral to the Internal Review Committee and the possible implementation of monetary penalties and/or sanctions.

POC Document Submission Requirements

Once your POC has been approved by the QMB Plan of Correction Coordinator you must submit copies of documents as evidence that all deficiencies have been corrected, as follows.

- 1. Your internal documents are due within a maximum of 45-business days of receipt of your Report of Findings.
- 2. It is preferred that you submit your documents via USPS or other carrier (scanned and saved to CD/DVD disc, flash drive, etc.). If documents containing HIPAA Protected Health Information (PHI) documents must be submitted through S-Comm (Therap), Fax or Postal System, do not send PHI directly to NMDOH email accounts. If the documents do not contain protected Health information (PHI) then you may submit your documents electronically scanned and attached to e-mails.
- 3. All submitted documents <u>must be annotated</u>; please be sure the tag numbers and Identification numbers are indicated on each document submitted. Documents which are not annotated with the Tag number and Identification number may not be accepted.
- 4. Do not submit original documents; Please provide copies or scanned electronic files for evidence. Originals must be maintained in the agency file(s) per DDSD Standards.
- 5. In lieu of some documents, you may submit copies of file or home audit forms that clearly indicate cited deficiencies have been corrected, other attestations of correction must be approved by the Plan of Correction Coordinator prior to their submission.
- 6. When billing deficiencies are cited, you must provide documentation to justify billing and/or void and adjust forms submitted to Xerox State Healthcare, LLC for the deficiencies cited in the Report of Findings.

Revisions, Modifications or Extensions to your Plan of Correction (post QMB approval) must be made in writing and submitted to the Plan of Correction Coordinator, prior to the due date and are approved on a case-by-case basis. No changes may be made to your POC or the timeframes for implementation without written approval of the POC Coordinator.

Attachment B

Department of Health, Division of Health Improvement QMB Determination of Compliance Process

The Division of Health Improvement, Quality Management Bureau (QMB) surveys compliance of the Developmental Disabilities Waiver (DDW) standards and other state and federal regulations. For the purpose of the case management survey the CMS waiver assurances have been grouped into five (5) Service Domains: Plan of Care (Development and Monitoring); Level of Care; Qualified Providers; Health, Welfare and Safety; and Administrative Oversight (note that Administrative Oversight listed in this document is not the same as the CMS assurance of Administrative Authority. Used in this context it is related to the agency's operational policies and procedures, Quality Assurance system and Medicaid billing and reimbursement processes.)

The QMB Determination of Compliance process is based on provider compliance or non-compliance with standards and regulations identified during the on-site survey process and as reported in the QMB Report of Findings. All areas reviewed by QMB have been agreed to by DDSD and DHI/QMB and are reflective of CMS requirements. All deficiencies (non-compliance with standards and regulations) are identified and cited as either a Standard level deficiency or a Condition of Participation level deficiency in the QMB Reports of Findings. All deficiencies require corrective action when non-compliance is identified.

Each deficiency in your Report of Findings has been predetermined to be a Standard Level Deficiency, a Condition of Participation Level Deficiency, if below 85% compliance or a non-negotiable Condition of Participation Level Deficiency. Your Agency's overall Compliance Determination is based on a Scope and Severity Scale which takes into account the number of Standard and Condition Level Tags cited as well as the percentage of Individuals affected in the sample.

Conditions of Participation (CoPs)

CoPs are based on the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Home and Community-Based Waiver required assurances, in addition to the New Mexico Developmental Disability Waiver (DDW) Service Standards. The Division of Health Improvement (DHI), in conjunction with the Developmental Disability Support Division (DDSD), has identified certain deficiencies that have the potential to be a Condition of Participation Level, if the tag falls below 85% compliance based on the number of people affected. Additionally, there are what are called nonnegotiable Conditions of Participation, regardless if one person or multiple people are affected. In this context, a CoP is defined as an essential / fundamental regulation or standard, which when out of compliance directly affects the health and welfare of the Individuals served. If no deficiencies within a Tag are at the level of a CoP, it is cited as a Standard Level Deficiency.

Service Domains and CoPs for <u>Case Management</u> are as follows:

<u>Service Domain: Plan of Care ISP Development & Monitoring -</u>
Service plans address all participates' assessed needs (including health and safety risk factors) and goals, either by waiver services or through other means. Services plans are updated or revised at least annually or when warranted by changes in the waiver participants' needs.

Potential Condition of Participation Level Tags, if compliance is below 85%:

- 1A08.3 Administrative Case File Individual Service Plan (ISP) / ISP Components
- 4C07 Individual Service Planning (Visions, measurable outcome, action steps)
- 4C07.1 Individual Service Planning Paid Services
- 4C10 Apprv. Budget Worksheet Waiver Review Form / MAD 046
- 4C12 Monitoring & Evaluation of Services
- 4C16 Requirements for Reports & Distribution of ISP (Provider Agencies, Individual and/or Guardian)

<u>Service Domain: Level of Care -</u> Initial and annual Level of Care (LOC) evaluations are completed within timeframes specified by the State.

Potential Condition of Participation Level Tags, if compliance is below 85%:

• 4C04 - Assessment Activities

<u>Service Domain: Qualified Providers -</u> The State monitors non-licensed/non-certified providers to assure adherence to waiver requirements. The State implements its policies and procedures for verifying that provider training is conducted in accordance with State requirements and the approved waiver.

Potential Condition of Participation Level Tags, if compliance is below 85%:

- 1A22/4C02 Case Manager: Individual Specific Competencies
- 1A22.1 / 4C02.1 Case Manager Competencies: Knowledge of Service

Non-Negotiable Condition of Participation Level Tags (one or more Individuals are cited):

- 1A25.1 Caregiver Criminal History Screening
- 1A26.1 Consolidated On-line Registry Employee Abuse Registry

<u>Service Domain: Health, Welfare and Safety -</u> The State, on an ongoing basis, identifies, addresses and seeks to prevent occurrences of abuse, neglect and exploitation. Individuals shall be afforded their basic human rights. The provider supports individuals to access needed healthcare services in a timely manner.

Potential Condition of Participation Level Tags, if compliance is below 85%:

- 1A08.2 Administrative Case File: Healthcare Requirements & Follow-up
- 1A15.2 Administrative Case File: Healthcare Documentation (Therap and Required Plans)

Non-Negotiable Condition of Participation Level Tags (one or more Individuals are cited):

• 1A05 - General Requirements

Attachment C

Guidelines for the Provider Informal Reconsideration of Finding (IRF) Process

Introduction:

Throughout the QMB Survey process, surveyors are openly communicating with providers. Open communication means surveyors have clarified issues and/or requested missing information before completing the review through the use of the signed/dated "Document Request," or "Administrative Needs," etc. forms. Regardless, there may still be instances where the provider disagrees with a specific finding. Providers may use the following process to informally dispute a finding.

Instructions:

- 1. The Informal Reconsideration of the Finding (IRF) request must be received in writing to the QMB Deputy Bureau Chief <u>within 10 business days</u> of receipt of the final Report of Findings.
- 2. The written request for an IRF *must* be completed on the QMB Request for Informal Reconsideration of Finding form available on the QMB website: https://nmhealth.org/about/dhi/cbp/irf/
- 3. The written request for an IRF must specify in detail the request for reconsideration and why the finding is inaccurate.
- 4. The IRF request must include all supporting documentation or evidence.
- 5. If you have questions about the IRF process, email the IRF Chairperson, Crystal Lopez-Beck at Crystal.Lopez-Beck@state.nm.us for assistance.

The following limitations apply to the IRF process:

- The written request for an IRF and all supporting evidence must be received within 10 business days.
- Findings based on evidence requested during the survey and not provided may not be subject to reconsideration.
- The supporting documentation must be new evidence not previously reviewed or requested by the survey team.
- Providers must continue to complete their Plan of Correction during the IRF process
- Providers may not request an IRF to challenge the sampling methodology.
- Providers may not request an IRF based on disagreement with the nature of the standard or regulation.
- Providers may not request an IRF to challenge the team composition.
- Providers may not request an IRF to challenge the DHI/QMB determination of compliance or the length of their DDSD provider contract.

A Provider forfeits the right to an IRF if the request is not received within 10 business days of receiving the report and/or does not include all supporting documentation or evidence to show compliance with the standards and regulations.

The IRF Committee will review the request; the Provider will be notified in writing of the ruling; no face-to-face meeting will be conducted.

When a Provider requests that a finding be reconsidered, it does not stop or delay the Plan of Correction process. **Providers must continue to complete the Plan of Correction, including the finding in dispute regardless of the IRF status.** If a finding is removed or modified, it will be noted and removed or modified from the Report of Findings. It should be noted that in some cases a Plan of Correction may be completed prior to the IRF process being completed. The provider will be notified in writing on the decisions of the IRF committee.

Attachment D

QMB Determinations of Compliance

Compliance:

The QMB determination of *Compliance* indicates that a provider has either no deficiencies found during a survey or that no deficiencies at the Condition of Participation Level were found. The agency has obtained a level of compliance such that there is a minimal potential for harm to individuals' health and safety. To qualify for a determination of *Compliance*, the provider must have received no Conditions of Participation Level Deficiencies and have a minimal number of Individuals on the sample affected by the findings indicated in the Standards Level Tags.

Partial-Compliance with Standard Level Tags:

The QMB determination of *Partial-Compliance with Standard Level Tags* indicates that a provider is in compliance with all Condition of Participation Level deficiencies but is out of compliance with a certain percentage of Standard Level deficiencies. This partial-compliance, if not corrected, may result in a negative outcome or the potential for more than minimal harm to individuals' health and safety. There are two ways to receive a determination of Partial Compliance with Standard Level Tags:

- 1. Your Report of Findings includes 16 or fewer Standards Level Tags with between 75% and 100% of the survey sample affected in any tag.
- 2. Your Report of Findings includes 17 or more Standard Level Tags with between 50% to 74% of the survey sample affected in any tag.

Partial-Compliance with Standard Level Tags and Condition of Participation Level Tags:

The QMB determination of Partial-Compliance with Standard Level Tags and Condition of Participation Level Tags indicates that a provider is out of compliance with one to five (1-5) Condition of Participation Level Tags. This partial-compliance, if not corrected, may result in a serious negative outcome or the potential for more than minimal harm to individuals' health and safety.

Non-Compliance:

The QMB determination of *Non-Compliance* indicates a provider is significantly out of compliance with both Standard Level deficiencies and Conditions of Participation level deficiencies. This non-compliance, if not corrected, may result in a serious negative outcome or the potential for more than minimal harm to individuals' health and safety. There are three ways an agency can receive a determination of Non-Compliance:

- 1. Your Report of Findings includes 17 or more Standard Level Tags with 0 to 5 Condition of Participation Level Tags with 75% to 100% of the survey sample affected in any tag.
- 2. Your Report of Findings includes any amount of Standard Level Tags with 6 or more Condition of Participation Level Tags.

Compliance				Weighting			
Determination	LC)W		MEDIUM		Н	IGH
		.		T	T		1
Standard Level	up to 16	17 or more	up to 16	17 or more	Any Amount	17 or more	Any Amount
Tags:					/		
CaD Lavial Tages	and	and	and	and	And/or	and	And/or
CoP Level Tags:	0 CoP	0 CoP	0 CoP	0 CoP	1 to 5 CoPs	0 to 5 CoPs	6 or more CoPs
	and	and	and	and		and	
Sample Affected:	0 to 74%	0 to 49%	75 to 100%	50 to 74%		75 to 100%	
"Non- Compliance"						17 or more Standard Level Tags with 75 to 100% of the Individuals in the sample cited in any tag.	Any Amount of Standard Level Tags and 6 or more Conditions of Participation Level Tags.
"Partial Compliance with Standard Level tags <u>and</u> Condition of Participation Level Tags"					Any Amount of Standard Level Tags, plus 1 to 5 Conditions of Participation Level tags.		
"Partial Compliance with Standard Level tags"			up to 16 Standard Level Tags with 75 to 100% of the individuals in the sample cited in any tag.	17 or more Standard Level Tags with 50 to 74% of the individuals in the sample cited any tag.			
"Compliance"	Up to 16 Standard Level Tags with 0 to 74% of the individuals in the sample cited in any tag.	17 or more Standard Level Tags with 0 to 49% of the individuals in the sample cited in any tag.					

Excel Case Management, Inc. – Northwest Region


Agency:
Program:
Service: Developmental Disabilities Waiver 2007, 2012 & 2018: Case Management

Survey Type: Routine

Survey Date: February 1 - 7, 2019

Standard of Care	Deficiencies	Agency Plan of Correction, On-going QA/QI & Responsible Party	Date Due
		cipates' assessed needs(including health and safety revised at least annually or when warranted by cha	
Tag # 4C07 Individual Service Planning	Standard Level Deficiency		
(Visions, measurable outcomes, action steps)			
Developmental Disabilities (DD) Waiver Service Standards 2/26/2018; Eff Date: 3/1/2018 Chapter 4: Person-Centered Planning (PCP): 4.1 Essential Elements of Person-Centered Planning (PCP): Person-centered planning is a process that places a person at the center of planning his/her life and supports. It is an ongoing process that is the foundation for all aspects of the DD Waiver Program and DD Waiver Provider Agencies' work with people with I/DD. The process is designed to identify the strengths, capacities, preferences, and needs of the person. The process may include other people chosen by the person, who are able to serve as important contributors to the process. Overall, PCP involves person-centered thinking, person-centered service planning, and person-centered practice. PCP enables and assists the person to identify and access a personalized mix of paid and non-paid services and supports to assist him or her to achieve personally defined outcomes in the community. The CMS requires use of PCP in the development of the ISP. NMAC 7.26.5.14 DEVELOPMENT OF THE INDIVIDUAL SERVICE PLAN (ISP) - CONTENT OF INDIVIDUAL SERVICE PLANS: Each ISP shall contain.	Based on record review, the Agency did not ensure the ISP was developed in accordance with the rule governing ISP development, as it relates to realistic and measurable desired outcomes and vision statements to 1 of 27 Individuals. The following was found with regards to ISP Outcomes: Individual #4: •will lower his risk of getting full blown diabetes. Outcome does not indicate how and/or when it would be completed.	Provider: State your Plan of Correction for the deficiencies cited in this tag here (How is the deficiency going to be corrected? This can be specific to each deficiency cited or if possible an overall correction?): → Provider: Enter your ongoing Quality Assurance/Quality Improvement processes as it related to this tag number here (What is going to be done? How many individuals is this going to affect? How often will this be completed? Who is responsible? What steps will be taken if issues are found?): →	

B. Long term vision: The vision statement shall be recorded in the individual's actual words, whenever possible. For example, in a long-term vision statement, the individual may describe him or herself living and working independently in the community. C. Outcomes: (1) The IDT has the explicit responsibility of identifying reasonable services and supports needed to assist the individual in achieving the desired outcome and long-term vision. The IDT
whenever possible. For example, in a long-term vision statement, the individual may describe him or herself living and working independently in the community. C. Outcomes: (1) The IDT has the explicit responsibility of identifying reasonable services and supports needed to assist the individual in achieving the
vision statement, the individual may describe him or herself living and working independently in the community. C. Outcomes: (1) The IDT has the explicit responsibility of identifying reasonable services and supports needed to assist the individual in achieving the
him or herself living and working independently in the community. C. Outcomes: (1) The IDT has the explicit responsibility of identifying reasonable services and supports needed to assist the individual in achieving the
in the community. C. Outcomes: (1) The IDT has the explicit responsibility of identifying reasonable services and supports needed to assist the individual in achieving the
in the community. C. Outcomes: (1) The IDT has the explicit responsibility of identifying reasonable services and supports needed to assist the individual in achieving the
C. Outcomes: (1) The IDT has the explicit responsibility of identifying reasonable services and supports needed to assist the individual in achieving the
identifying reasonable services and supports needed to assist the individual in achieving the
identifying reasonable services and supports needed to assist the individual in achieving the
needed to assist the individual in achieving the
desired outcome and long-term vision. The IDT
aconou outoomo ana iong tonn violon. His IDT
determines the intensity, frequency, duration,
location and method of delivery of needed
services and supports. All IDT members may
generate suggestions and assist the individual in
communicating and developing outcomes.
Outcome statements shall also be written in the
individual's own words, whenever possible.
Outcomes shall be prioritized in the ISP.
(2) Outcomes planning shall be implemented in
one or more of the four "life areas" (work or
leisure activities, health or development of
relationships) and address as appropriate home
environment, vocational, educational,
communication, self-care, leisure/social,
community resource use, safety,
psychological/behavioral and medical/health
outcomes. The IDT shall assure that the
outcomes in the ISP relate to the individual's
long-term vision statement. Outcomes are
required for any life area for which the individual
receives services funded by the developmental
disabilities Medicaid waiver.
D. Individual preference: The individual's
preferences, capabilities, strengths and needs in
each life area determined to be relevant to the
identified ISP outcomes shall be reflected in the
ISP. The long term vision, age, circumstances,
and interests of the individual, shall determine
the life area relevance, if any to the individual's



Tag # 4C15.1 Service Monitoring - Annual /	Standard Level Deficiency		
Semi-Annual Reports & Provider Semi -	Standard Level Deliciency		
Annual / Quarterly Reports			
7.26.5.17 DEVELOPMENT OF THE	Based on record review, the Agency did not	Provider:	
INDIVIDUAL SERVICE PLAN (ISP) -	ensure that reports and the ISP met required	State your Plan of Correction for the	1 1
DISSEMINATION OF THE ISP,	timelines and included the required contents for	deficiencies cited in this tag here (How is the	
DOCUMENTATION AND COMPLIANCE:	9 of 27 individuals.	deficiency going to be corrected? This can be	
C. Objective quantifiable data reporting progress		specific to each deficiency cited or if possible an	
or lack of progress towards stated outcomes,	Review of the Agency individual case files	overall correction?): →	
and action plans shall be maintained in the	revealed no evidence of quarterly/bi-annual		
individual's records at each provider agency	reports for the following:		
implementing the ISP. Provider agencies shall			
use this data to evaluate the effectiveness of	Supported Living Semi-Annual Reports:		
services provided. Provider agencies shall	 Individual #2 – None found for January 2018 - 		
submit to the case manager data reports and	February 2018. (Term of ISP 7/6/2017 –		
individual progress summaries quarterly, or	7/5/2018. ISP meeting held 3/7/2018) and		
more frequently, as decided by the IDT.	none found for July 2018 - December 2018.	Provider:	
These reports shall be included in the	(Term of ISP 7/6/2018 – 7/5/2019). (Note:	Enter your ongoing Quality	
individual's case management record, and used	Due Diligence. No plan of correction	Assurance/Quality Improvement processes	
by the team to determine the ongoing	required).	as it related to this tag number here (What is	
effectiveness of the supports and services being		going to be done? How many individuals is this	
provided. Determination of effectiveness shall	 Individual #22 – None found for April 2018. 	going to affect? How often will this be completed?	
result in timely modification of supports and	(Term of ISP 9/30/2017 - 9/29/2018. ISP	Who is responsible? What steps will be taken if issues are found?): →	
services as needed.	meeting held 5/9/2018).	issues are iound?): →	
Developmental Disabilities (DD) Waiver Service	Family Living Semi-Annual Reports:		
Standards 2/26/2018; Eff Date: 3/1/2018	 Individual #4 – None found for October 2017 - 		
Chapter 8 Case Management: 8.2.8	March 2018. (<i>Term of ISP 10/2017 - 9/2018</i>).		
Maintaining a Complete Client Record:	Maron 2010. (10111 01101 10,2011 0,2010).		
The CM is required to maintain documentation	Individual #26 – None found for May 2018 -		
for each person supported according to the	November 2018. (Term of ISP 5/10/2018-		
following requirements:	·		
3. The case file must contain the documents	5/9/2019). (Note: Due Diligence. No plan of		
identified in Appendix A Client File Matrix.	correction required).		
	Customized Community Surrents Saus		
8.2.7 Monitoring and Evaluating Service	Customized Community Supports Semi-		
Delivery: The CM is required to complete a	Annual Reports:		
formal, ongoing monitoring process to evaluate	• Individual #2 – None found for February 2018.		
the quality, effectiveness, and appropriateness	(Term of ISP 7/6/2017 – 7/5/2018. ISP		
of services and supports provided to the person	meeting held 3/7/2018) and none found for		
as specified in the ISP. The CM is also	July 2018 - December 2018. (Term of ISP		

responsible for monitoring the health and safety of the person...

Developmental Disabilities (DD) Waiver Service Standards effective 11/1/2012 revised 4/23/2013; 6/15/2015

CHAPTER 4 (CMgt) 2. Service Requirements: C. Individual Service Planning: The Case Manager is responsible for ensuring the ISP addresses all the participant's assessed needs and personal goals, either through DDW waiver services or other means. The Case Manager ensures the ISP is updated/revised at least annually; or when warranted by changes in the participant's needs.

- 1. The ISP is developed through a personcentered planning process in accordance with the rules governing ISP development [7.26.5 NMAC] and includes:
- b. Sharing current assessments, including the SIS assessment, semi-annual and quarterly reports from all providers, including therapists and BSCs. Current assessment shall be distributed by the authors to all IDT members at least fourteen (14) calendar days prior to the annual IDT Meeting, in accordance with the DDSD Consumer File Matrix Requirements. The Case Manager shall notify all IDT members of the annual IDT meeting at least twenty-one (21) calendar days in advance:

D. Monitoring And Evaluation of Service Delivery:

- 1. The Case Manager shall use a formal ongoing monitoring process to evaluate the quality, effectiveness, and appropriateness of services and supports provided to the individual specified in the ISP.
- 5. The Case Manager must ensure at least quarterly that:

7/6/2018 – 7/5/2019). (Note: Due Diligence. No plan of correction required).

Individual #8 – None found for May 2018 - July 2018. (Term of ISP 11/22/2017 - 11/21/2018. ISP meeting held 8/13/2018).

- Individual #9 None found for December 2017 - January 2018. (Term of ISP 6/1/2017-5/31/2018. ISP meeting held 2/27/2018).
- Individual #16 None found for January 2018
 March 2018 and none found for July 2018 December 2018. (Term of ISP 7/1/2018-6/30/2018. ISP meeting held 4/8/2018).
- Individual #26 None found for May 2018 -November 2018. (Term of ISP 5/10/2018-5/9/2019). (Note: Due Diligence. No plan of correction required).
- Individual #27 None found for April 2018.
 (Term of ISP 10/1/2017 9/30/2018. ISP meeting held 5/29/2018). (Note: Due Diligence. No plan of correction required).

Community Integrated Employment Semi-Annual Reports:

Individual #16 – None found for January 2018
 March 2018 and July 2018 - December 2018. (Term of ISP 7/1/2018- 6/30/2019. ISP meeting held 4/8/2018).

Nursing Semi - Annual Reports:

 Individual #14 – None found for April 2018 -May 2018. (Term of ISP 10/8/2017 -10/7/2018. ISP meeting held 6/8/2018).

a. Applicable Medical Emergency Response Plans and/or BCIPs are in place in the residence and at the day services location(s) for all individuals who have chronic medical condition(s) with potential for life threatening complications, or individuals with behavioral challenge(s) that pose a potential for harm to themselves or others; and		
b. All applicable current Healthcare plans, Comprehensive Aspiration Risk Management Plan (CARMP), Positive Behavior Support Plan (PBSP or other applicable behavioral support plans (such as BCIP, PPMP, or RMP), and written Therapy Support Plans are in place in the residence and day service sites for individuals who receive Living Supports and/or Customized Community Supports (day services), and who have such plans.		
6. The Case Managers will report all suspected abuse, neglect or exploitation as required by New Mexico Statutes;		
7. If concerns regarding the health or safety of the individual are documented during monitoring or assessment activities, the Case Manager shall immediately notify appropriate supervisory personnel within the Provider Agency and document the concern. In situations where the concern is not urgent the provider agency will be allowed up to fifteen (15) business days to remediate or develop an acceptable plan of remediation.		
8. If the Case Manager's reported concerns are not remedied by the Provider Agency within a reasonable, mutually agreed period of time, the concern shall be reported in writing to the respective DDSD Regional Office:		

a. Submit the DDSD Regional Office Request for Intervention form (RORI); including documentation of requests and attempts (at least two) to resolve the issue(s).		
b. The Case Management Provider Agency will keep a copy of the RORI in the individual's record.		
9. Conduct an online review in the Therap system to ensure that electronic Comprehensive Health Assessment Tools (e-CHATs) and Health Passports are current for those individuals selected for the Quarterly ISP QA Review.		
10. The Case Manager will ensure Living Supports are delivered in accordance with standards, including the minimum of thirty (30) hours per week of planned activities outside the residence. If the planned activities are not possible due to the needs of the individual, the ISP will contain an outcome that addresses an appropriate level of community integration for the individual. These activities do not need to be limited to paid supports but may include independent or leisure activities with natural supports appropriate to the needs of individual.		
11. For individuals with Intensive Medical Living Services, the IDT is not required to plan for at least thirty (30) hours per week of planned activities outside of the residence.		
Developmental Disabilities (DD) Waiver Service Standards effective 4/1/2007 CHAPTER 4 IV. CASE MANAGEMENT PROVIDER AGENCY REQUIREMENTS C. Quality Assurance Requirements: Case Management Provider Agencies will use an Internal Quality Assurance and Improvement		
Plan that must be submitted to and reviewed by		

the Statewide Case Management Coordinator,			
that shall include but is not limited to the			
following:			1
(1) Case Management Provider Agencies are to:			1
(a) Use a formal ongoing monitoring protocol			1
that provides for the evaluation of quality,			
effectiveness and continued need for services			
and supports provided to the individual. This			
protocol shall be written and its implementation			
documented.			
(b) Assure that reports and ISPs meet required			1
timelines and include required content.			
(c) Conduct a quarterly review of progress			1
reports from service providers to verify that the			
individual's desired outcomes and action plans			
remain appropriate and realistic.			1
(i) If the service providers' quarterly reports are			
not received by the Case Management Provider			
Agency within fourteen (14) days following the			
end of the quarter, the Case Management			
Provider Agency is to contact the service			
provider in writing requesting the report within			
one week from that date.			
(ii) If the quarterly report is not received within			
one week of the written request, the Case			
Management Provider Agency is to contact the			
respective DDSD Regional Office in writing			
within one business day for assistance in			
obtaining required reports.			1
(d) Assure at least quarterly that Crisis			
Prevention/Intervention Plans are in place in the			
residence and at the Provider Agency of the Day			
Services for all individuals who have chronic			1
medical condition(s) with potential for life			
threatening complications and/or who have		ļ .	
behavioral challenge(s) that pose a potential for		ļ .	
harm to themselves or others.			
(e) Assure at least quarterly that a current		ļ .	1
Health Care Plan (HCP) is in place in the			
residence and day service site for individuals			1
who receive Community Living or Day Services	'	1	1

and who have a HAT score of 4, 5, or 6. During	
face-to-face visits and review of quarterly	
reports, the Case Manager is required to verify	
that the Health Care Plan is being implemented.	
(f) Assure that Community Living Services are	
delivered in accordance with standards,	
including responsibility of the IDT Members to	
plan for at least 30 hours per week of planned	
activities outside the residence. If this is not	
possible due to the needs of the individual, a	
goal shall be developed that focuses on	
appropriate levels of community integration.	
These activities do not need to be limited to paid	
supports but may include independent or leisure	
activities appropriate to the individual.	
(g) Perform annual satisfaction surveys with	
individuals regarding case management	
services. A copy of the summary is due each	
December 10th to the respective DDSD	
Regional Office, along with a description of	
actions taken to address suggestions and	
problems identified in the survey.	
(h) Maintain regular communication with all	
providers delivering services and products to the	
individual.	
(i) Establish and implement a written grievance	
procedure.	
(j) Notify appropriate supervisory personnel	
within the Provider Agency if concerns are noted	
during monitoring or assessment activities	
related to any of the above requirements. If such	
concerns are not remedied by the Provider	
Agency within a reasonable mutually agreed	
period of time, the concern shall be reported in	
writing to the respective DDSD Regional Office	
and/or DHI as appropriate to the nature of the	
concern. This does not preclude Case	
Managers' obligations to report abuse, neglect	
or exploitation as required by New Mexico	
Statute.	
(k) Utilize and submit the "Request for DDSD	

such as when providers are not responsive in addressing a quality assurance concern. The Case Management Provider Agency is required to keep a copy in the individual's file. (2) Case Managers and Case Management Provider Agencies are required to promote and comply with the Case Management Code of Ethics: (a) Case Managers shall provide the individual/guardian with a copy of the Code of Ethics when Addendum A is signed. (b) Complaints against a Case Manager for violation of the Code of Ethics brought to the attention of DDSD will be sent to the Case Manager's supervisor who is required to respond within 10 working days to DDSD with detailed actions taken. DDSD reserves the right to forward such complaints to the IRC.			
--	--	--	--

Tag # 4C16.1 Req. for Reports &	Standard Level Deficiency		
Distribution of ISP (Regional DDSD Office)			
NMAC 7.26.5.17 DEVELOPMENT OF THE	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Provider:	
NDIVIDUAL SERVICE PLAN (ISP) -		State your Plan of Correction for the	
DISSEMINATION OF THE ISP,		deficiencies cited in this tag here (How is the	
DOCUMENTATION AND COMPLIANCE:	Documents as follows for 9 of 27 Individuals:	deficiency going to be corrected? This can be	
A. The case manager shall provide copies of		specific to each deficiency cited or if possible an	
he completed ISP, with all relevant service	The following was found indicating the agency	overall correction?): \rightarrow	
provider strategies attached, within fourteen (14)	failed to provide a copy of the ISP within 14 days		
lays of ISP approval to:	of the ISP Approval to the respective DDSD		
1) the individual;	Regional Office:		
2) the guardian (if applicable);	3		
3) all relevant staff of the service provider	Evidence indicated ISP was provided after		
agencies in which the ISP will be implemented,	14-day window:		
as well as other key support persons;			
4) all other IDT members in attendance at the	Individual #1: ISP effective date was	Provider:	
neeting to develop the ISP;	3/23/2018, ISP was sent to the DDSD	Enter your ongoing Quality	
5) the individual's attorney, if applicable;	•	Assurance/Quality Improvement processes	
6) others the IDT identifies, if they are entitled	Regional Office on 4/25/2018.	as it related to this tag number here (What is	
o the information, or those the individual or		going to be done? How many individuals is this	
quardian identifies;	 Individual #2: ISP effective date was 	going to affect? How often will this be completed?	
7) for all developmental disabilities Medicaid	11/16/2018, ISP was sent to the DDSD	Who is responsible? What steps will be taken if	
vaiver recipients, including <i>Jackson</i> class	Regional Office on 12/12/2018.	issues are found?): →	
nembers, a copy of the completed ISP	•		
containing all the information specified in	Individual #6: ISP effective date was		
	3/23/2018, ISP was sent to the DDSD		
7.26.5.14 NMAC, including strategies, shall be	•		
submitted to the local regional office of the	Regional Office on 4/25/2018.		
DDSD;			
8) for <i>Jackson</i> class members only, a copy of	 Individual #7: ISP effective date was 		
he completed ISP, with all relevant service	11/16/2018, ISP was sent to the DDSD		
provider strategies attached, shall be sent to the	Regional Office on 12/12/2018.		
lackson lawsuit office of the DDSD.			
B. Current copies of the ISP shall be available	• Individual #9: ISP effective date was 4/4/2018,		
at all times in the individual's records located at	ISP was sent to the DDSD Regional Office on		
he case management agency. The case	8/15/2018.		
manager shall assure that all revisions or	0/10/2010.		
amendments to the ISP are distributed to all IDT			
nembers, not only those affected by the	 Individual #14: ISP effective date was 		
evisions.	8/31/2018, ISP was sent to the DDSD		
	Regional Office on 10/15/2018.		I

Developmental Disabilities (DD) Waiver Service Standards 2/26/2018; Eff Date: 3/1/2018 Chapter 6 Individual Service Plan (ISP) 6.7 Completion and Distribution of the ISP: The CM is required to assure all elements of the ISP and companion documents are completed and	 Individual #16: ISP effective date was 5/16/2018, ISP was sent to the DDSD Regional Office on 8/15/2018. Individual #18: ISP effective date was 5/31/2018, ISP was sent to the DDSD 	
distributed to the IDT. However, DD Waiver Provider Agencies share responsibility to contribute to the completion of the ISP. The ISP must be completed and approved prior to the expiration date of the previous ISP term. Within 14 days of the approved ISP and when available, the CM distributes the ISP to the DDSD Regional Office, the DD Waiver Provider Agencies with a SFOC, and to all IDT members	Regional Office on 8/15/2018. Individual #19: ISP effective date was 9/11/2018, ISP was sent to the DDSD Regional Office on 10/16/2018.	
requested by the person.		


Standard of Care	Deficiencies	Agency Plan of Correction, On-going QA/QI & Responsible Party	Date Due
		es and seeks to prevent occurrences of abuse, negle	
		s to access needed healthcare services in a timely n	nanner.
Tag # 1A08.2 Administrative Case File:	Standard Level Deficiency		
Healthcare Requirements & Follow-up			
Developmental Disabilities (DD) Waiver Service	Based on record review, the Agency did not	Provider:	
Standards 2/26/2018; Eff Date: 3/1/2018	maintain a complete client record at the	State your Plan of Correction for the	
Chapter 8 Case Management: 8.2.8	administrative office for 3 of 27 individuals.	deficiencies cited in this tag here (How is the	
Maintaining a Complete Client Record:		deficiency going to be corrected? This can be	
The CM is required to maintain documentation	Review of the Agency individual case files	specific to each deficiency cited or if possible an	
for each person supported according to the	revealed the following items were not found,	overall correction?): \rightarrow	
following requirements:	incomplete, and/or not current:		
3. The case file must contain the documents			
identified in Appendix A Client File Matrix.	Auditory Exam:		
Chapter 3 Safeguards: 3.1.1 Decision	 Individual #14 - As indicated by the 		
Consultation Process (DCP): Health decisions	documentation reviewed, exam was		
are the sole domain of waiver participants, their	completed on 6/20/2018. Follow-up was to be		
guardians or healthcare decision makers.	completed in 2 months. No documented	Provider:	
Participants and their healthcare decision	evidence of the follow-up being completed		
makers can confidently make decisions that are	was found.	Enter your ongoing Quality	
compatible with their personal and cultural	wao rouna.	Assurance/Quality Improvement processes as it related to this tag number here (What is	
values. Provider Agencies are required to	Vision Exam:	going to be done? How many individuals is this	
support the informed decision making of waiver	Individual #4 - As indicated by the	going to be done? How many individuals is this going to affect? How often will this be completed?	
participants by supporting access to medical	·	Who is responsible? What steps will be taken if	
consultation, information, and other available	documentation reviewed, exam was	issues are found?): \rightarrow	
resources according to the following:	completed on 8/23/2016. Follow-up was to be		
1.The DCP is used when a person or his/her	completed in 2 years. No documented		
guardian/healthcare decision maker has	evidence of the follow-up being completed		
concerns, needs more information about health-	was found.		
related issues, or has decided not to follow all or			
part of an order, recommendation, or	 Individual #26 - As indicated by the 		
suggestion. This includes, but is not limited to:	documentation reviewed, exam was		
a. medical orders or recommendations from the	completed on 4/10/2015. Follow-up was to be		
Primary Care Practitioner, Specialists or other	completed in 3 years. No documented		
licensed medical or healthcare practitioners	evidence of the follow-up being completed		1
such as a Nurse Practitioner (NP or CNP),	was found. (Note: Due Diligence. No plan of		1
Physician Assistant (PA) or Dentist;	correction required).		1
b. clinical recommendations made by	оонвонон гединеа).		1
registered/licensed clinicians who are either			1
members of the IDT or clinicians who have			1

performed an evaluation such as a video-		
fluoroscopy;		
c. health related recommendations or		
suggestions from oversight activities such as the		
Individual Quality Review (IQR) or other DOH		
review or oversight activities; and		
d. recommendations made through a Healthcare		
Plan (HCP), including a Comprehensive		
Aspiration Risk Management Plan (CARMP), or		
another plan.		
2. When the person/guardian disagrees with a		
recommendation or does not agree with the		
implementation of that recommendation,		
Provider Agencies follow the DCP and attend		
the meeting coordinated by the CM. During this		
meeting:		
a. Providers inform the person/guardian of the		
rationale for that recommendation, so that the		
benefit is made clear. This will be done in		
layman's terms and will include basic sharing of		
information designed to assist the		
person/guardian with understanding the risks		
and benefits of the recommendation.		
b. The information will be focused on the specific		
area of concern by the person/guardian.		
Alternatives should be presented, when		
available, if the guardian is interested in		
considering other options for implementation.		
c. Providers support the person/guardian to		
make an informed decision.		
d. The decision made by the person/guardian		
during the meeting is accepted; plans are		
modified; and the IDT honors this health		
decision in every setting.		
Chantan 20. Bravidan Daarmantation and		
Chapter 20: Provider Documentation and		
Client Records: 20.2 Client Records		
Requirements: All DD Waiver Provider		
Agencies are required to create and maintain		
individual client records. The contents of client		
records vary depending on the unique needs of	1	

the person receiving services and the resultant	
information produced. The extent of	
documentation required for individual client	
records per service type depends on the location	
of the file, the type of service being provided,	
and the information necessary.	
DD Waiver Provider Agencies are required to	
adhere to the following:	
Client records must contain all documents	
essential to the service being provided and	
essential to ensuring the health and safety of the	
person during the provision of the service.	
Provider Agencies must have readily	
accessible records in home and community	
settings in paper or electronic form. Secure	
access to electronic records through the Therap	
web-based system using computers or mobile	
devices is acceptable.	
3. Provider Agencies are responsible for	
ensuring that all plans created by nurses, RDs,	
therapists or BSCs are present in all needed	
settings.	
4. Provider Agencies must maintain records of	
all documents produced by agency personnel or	
contractors on behalf of each person, including	
any routine notes or data, annual assessments,	
semi-annual reports, evidence of training	
provided/received, progress notes, and any	
other interactions for which billing is generated.	
Each Provider Agency is responsible for	
maintaining the daily or other contact notes	
documenting the nature and frequency of	
service delivery, as well as data tracking only for	
the services provided by their agency.	
2. The current Client File Matrix found in	
Appendix A Client File Matrix details the	
minimum requirements for records to be stored	
in agency office files, the delivery site, or with	
DSP while providing services in the community. 3. All records pertaining to JCMs must be	
retained permanently and must be made	

available to DDSD upon request, upon the termination or expiration of a provider agreement, or upon provider withdrawal from services. 20.5.3 Health Passport and Physician Consultation Form: All Primary and Secondary Provider Agencies must use the Health Passport and Physician Consultation form from the Therap system. This standardized document contains individual, physician and emergency contact information, a complete list of current medical diagnoses, health and safety risk factors, allergies, and information regarding insurance, guardianship, and advance directives. The Health Passport also includes a standardized form to use at medical appointments called the Physician Consultation form. The Physician Consultation form contains a list of all current medications. Requirements for the Health Passport and Physician Consultation form are: 1. The Case Manager and Primary and Secondary Provider Agencies must communicate critical information to each other and will keep all required sections of Therap updated in order to have a current and thorough Health Passport and Physician Consultation Form available at all times. Required sections of Therap include the IDF, Diagnoses, and Medication History.	

Standard of Care	Deficiencies	Agency Plan of Correction, On-going QA/QI & Responsible Party	Date Due
Service Domain: Medicaid Billing/Reimburser	nent - State financial oversight exists to assure that	claims are coded and paid for in accordance with th	е
reimbursement methodology specified in the appr	roved waiver.		
Tag # 1A12 All Services Reimbursement	No Deficient Practices Found		
Developmental Disabilities (DD) Waiver Service	Based on record review, the Agency maintained		
Standards 2/26/2018; Eff Date: 3/1/2018	all the records necessary to fully disclose the		
Chapter 21: Billing Requirements: 21.4	nature, quality, amount and medical necessity of		
Recording Keeping and Documentation	services furnished to an eligible recipient who is		
Requirements:	currently receiving case management for 27 of		
DD Waiver Provider Agencies must maintain all	27 individuals.		
records necessary to demonstrate proper			
provision of services for Medicaid billing. At a	Progress notes and billing records supported		
minimum, Provider Agencies must adhere to the	billing activities for the months of October,		
following:	November, and December 2008		
1. The level and type of service provided must			
be supported in the ISP and have an approved			
budget prior to service delivery and billing.			
2. Comprehensive documentation of direct			
service delivery must include, at a minimum:			
a. the agency name;			
 b. the name of the recipient of the service; 			
c. the location of theservice;			
d. the date of the service;			
e. the type of service;			
 f. the start and end times of theservice; 			
g. the signature and title of each staff			
member who documents their time; and			
h. the nature of services.			
3. A Provider Agency that receives payment			
for treatment, services, or goods must retain all			
medical and business records for a period of at			
least six years from the last payment date, until			
ongoing audits are settled, or until involvement			
of the state Attorney General is completed			
regarding settlement of any claim, whichever is			
longer.			
21.9.2 Requirements for Monthly Units: For services billed in monthly units, a Provider Agency must adhere to the following:			



MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM GOVERNOR



KATHYLEEN M. KUNKEL CABINET SECRETARY

Date: May 6, 2019

To: Diane Metoyer, Executive Director Provider: Excel Case Management, Inc.

Address: 430 E. Broadway

City, State, Zip: Farmington, New Mexico 87499

E-mail Address: metoyer@excelcasemanagement.com

Region: Northwest

Survey Date: February 1 - 7, 2019

Program Surveyed: Developmental Disabilities Waiver

Service Surveyed: 2007, 2012 & 2018: Case Management

Survey Type: Routine

Dear Diane Metoyer;

The Division of Health Improvement/Quality Management Bureau has received, reviewed and approved the supporting documents you submitted for your Plan of Correction. The documents you provided verified that all previously cited survey Deficiencies have been corrected.

The Plan of Correction process is now complete.

Furthermore, your agency is now determined to be in Compliance with all Conditions of Participation.

To maintain ongoing compliance with standards and regulations, continue to use the Quality Assurance (self-auditing) processes you described in your Plan of Correction.

Consistent use of these Quality Assurance processes will enable you to identify and promptly respond to problems, enhance your service delivery, and result in fewer deficiencies cited in future QMB surveys.

Thank you for your cooperation with the Plan of Correction process, for striving to come into compliance with standards and regulations, and for helping to provide the health, safety and personal growth of the people you serve.

Sincerely.

Amanda Castañeda

Amanda Castañeda Plan of Correction Coordinator Quality Management Bureau/DHI

Q.19.3.DDW.D3826.1.RTN.09.19.126

