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## What is the BRFSS?

Chronic disease, injury, substance abuse, and infectious disease are the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in the U.S. The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) is an ongoing, nationwide surveillance system that collects data on the prevalence of health conditions in the population and behaviors that affect risk for disease. The surveillance system uses telephone survey methods to collect data in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and Puerto Rico. Individuals who are 18 years of age and older, use a cell phone or live in a private residential household with landline telephone service, are eligible for the survey. Adults who do not have a cell phone for personal use or have access to a landline telephone but live in group homes or in institutions, such as prisons, college dormitories, or nursing homes, or live in a household without a cell phone or landline telephone, are not eligible for the survey.

The BRFSS was initiated in the early 1980s after significant evidence had accumulated that behaviors played a major role in the risk for premature morbidity and mortality. Prior to that time, periodic national surveys were conducted to evaluate health behaviors for the entire United States, but data were not available at the state level. Because states were ultimately responsible for efforts to reduce health risk behaviors, state level data were deemed critical.

At about the same time, telephone surveys were emerging as an acceptable means of collecting prevalence data. Telephone surveys were relatively easy for states and local agencies to administer. As a result of these concurrent developments, telephone surveys were developed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to monitor state-level prevalence of the major behavioral risk factors associated with premature morbidity and mortality. Feasibility studies were conducted in the early 1980's, and the CDC established the BRFSS in 1984 with 15 states participating. New Mexico began participating in the BRFSS in 1986.

The CDC has developed a core set of questions that is included in the questionnaire of every state. Optional modules of questions on a variety of topics have been developed by the CDC and made available to the states. Additionally, states are free to include other questions that have been borrowed from other surveys or developed by the state provided that space is available in the questionnaire and the state identifies funding to cover the additional cost. Such questions are referred to as 'state-added' questions.

Participation in the survey is voluntary, and all data collected are confidential. The identity of the respondent is never known to the interviewer, and the last two digits of the phone number are never sent to the CDC. The CDC removes the remaining eight digits of the phone number from the data file after completing a quality assurance protocol.

The BRFSS is supported and coordinated by the Division of Population Health, Population Health Surveillance Branch, of the CDC.

The CDC has a web site dedicated to the BRFSS:
http://www.cdc.gov/brfss
This 2014 NM BRFSS report is available in .pdf format at the NM Department of Health website: https://nmhealth.org/about/erd/ibeb/brfss/data/

## 2014 New Mexico BRFSS Survey Topics

Questions in the 2014 New Mexico BRFSS survey addressed a variety of health topics. Relevant demographic information was also collected. Topic areas are listed below. Due to the extensive nature of the survey, not all topics are presented in this report. Readers who are interested in a topic that is not presented here, may contact Christopher Whiteside, MPH, at (505) 476-3595 or christopher.whitesi@state.nm.us.

Core Components (all states):
Alcohol Consumption
Arthritis
Asthma
Cancer
Cardiovascular Disease Prevalence
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
Colorectal Cancer Screening
Depression
Diabetes
Disability
Exercise
Health Status
Healthy Days
Health Care Access
HIV Test History
Immunization
Inadequate Sleep
Injury
Kidney Disease
Mammography Screening
Oral Health
Pap Smear Screening
Physical Activity
Prostate Cancer Screening
Tobacco Use-Current Cigarette Smoking
Optional Modules
Alcohol Screening \& Brief Intervention
Childhood Asthma Prevalence
Health Care Access
Industry and Occupation
Pre-Diabetes \& Diabetes Modules
Random Child Selection
Reactions to Race

## Demographics Section (all states):

Age
Annual Household Income
County of Residence
Current Pregnancy Status (female respondents $<45$ )
Education
Employment Status
Gender
Height
Housing (Own or Rent)
Marital Status
Number of Children in Household
Number of Residential Telephone Numbers
Race/Ethnicity
Telephone Coverage
Veteran Status
Weight
Zip Code of Residence

State-added Questions on the following topics were included:<br>Binge Drinking<br>Carbon Monoxide Detector Coverage<br>Multiple Race/Ethnicity Preference<br>Sexual Orientation<br>Tribal Affiliation

## Limitations and Strengtis of BRFSS Data

Individuals without cellular telephones for personal use or households without landline telephones are not eligible to participate in the BRFSS survey. Data collected by the Bureau of the Census under contract with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) indicate that unemployed persons and lower income households are less likely than other residents to have telephones. Consequently, the BRFSS sample is likely to include a greater proportion of higher income households and employed persons than the population of the state as a whole.
In recent years, a rapidly growing portion of the adult population has been moving to exclusive use of cellular telephones. This shift is most pronounced among younger adults but has been accelerating and has included all age groups in recent years. For a decade, the Centers for Disease Control has been actively studying the issues related to inclusion of cellular telephones in the BRFSS and other telephone surveys. The information gathered through these studies has been used to prepare for the inclusion of cell phone numbers in the BRFSS. Beginning with the 2011 BRFSS, cellular telephones were included as a formal part of the sampling process and 2014 cellular telephone interviews were included in the data analyzed for this report.
The BRFSS relies on adults to provide information on their own health behaviors and conditions. Respondents may be reluctant to report behaviors that are considered undesirable such as drinking and driving. Respondents may also have trouble remembering details about past behaviors or may remember them incorrectly. Consequently, the prevalence of these behaviors may be underestimated by the survey.
Telephone interviews have a number of advantages over other sampling methods such as face-to-face interviews and self-administered questionnaires. The lower cost of telephone interviews makes it possible to include a larger number of adults in the survey than would be possible if a face-to-face survey were conducted. Telephone surveys are also easier to monitor for quality assurance purposes than are face-to-face surveys. Telephone interviews are administered by a trained interviewer while self-administered mail-out surveys may be affected by the literacy of the selected respondents and could be completed by family members other than the one selected, which may affect the accuracy of the information collected.

## Response Rates

The measures of response presented here were designed to summarize the quality of the 2014 BRFSS survey data. The Response Rate, Cooperation Rate, and Refusal Rate for the 2014 BRFSS were calculated using standards set by the American Association of Public Opinion Research (AAPOR). ${ }^{2}$ The Cooperation Rate (AAPOR \#2) presents the percentage of complete and partial complete interviews among contacted and eligible respondents. The Refusal Rate presents the percentage of refusals among all eligible and likely eligible phone numbers in the sample. Separate cooperation and refusal rates were calculated for landline and cellular telephone samples. The Response Rate (AAPOR \#4) is a measure meant to provide an overall summary of survey administration and response. Separate response rates are calculated for landline and cellular telephone samples and then a combined summary Response Rate is calculated by combining the individual rates, weighted to the respective size of the two samples.

| Response Rates, New Mexico and U.S., 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Landline |  | Cellular |  | Combined Landline \& Cellular |  |
| Rate | NM | U.S. | NM | U.S. | NM | U.S. |
| Response | $52.7 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ | $53.1 \%$ | $40.5 \%$ | $52.8 \%$ | $47.0 \%$ |
| Cooperation | $61.8 \%$ | $62.4 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ | $81.1 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ |
| Refusal | $21.8 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |

## Data Presentation

The data in this report are presented in either tables or graphs, and are the estimated population percentages of adults with a particular condition, risk factor, or behavior. Like any estimate produced from population surveys, the estimates produced from the BRFSS are subject to error (see Appendix I - Sources of Error). Two related measures of error are the standard error (SE) and the $95 \%$ confidence interval. Stata/MP 12.1 was used to estimate SE and to produce the corresponding $95 \%$ confidence interval estimates presented in this report. Stata/MP 12.1 is statistical analysis software that considers the complex sample design of the BRFSS to calculate appropriate SE and $95 \%$ confidence intervals.

In the tables presented throughout this report, the total sample size upon which each estimate is based is presented in the first column, then the number of respondents reporting the behavior or condition, followed by weighted population estimates along with the $95 \%$ confidence intervals. By BRFSS convention, when a particular estimate was based on less than 50 respondents, the numerator, weighted percentage, and associated $95 \%$ confidence intervals were not presented because estimates based on small sample sizes are deemed to be unreliable. Bar graphs included in this report include the $95 \%$ confidence interval corresponding to the relevant point estimate.

Five race/ethnicity categories are presented. American Indian, Asian or Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (presented as AsianNHOPI), Black or African American (presented as Black/AA), Hispanic, and White (which refers to non-Hispanic White). Asian and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander are grouped together which is a common convention when the sample size of Asian and/or NHOPI respondents is too small to present as a distinct group. Respondents reporting Hispanic ethnicity were coded to Hispanic regardless of self-reported race.

In general, population estimates with smaller standard errors are more precise and reliable than population estimates with larger SE. Sample size influences the magnitude of an estimate's probability of error and so affects the likely precision of the estimate. This issue is particularly relevant to some estimates presented by race/ethnicity where the number of American Indians, Black/AAs, and AsianNHOPI sampled was small, resulting in large SE and estimates that were unreliable. Discerning possible differences between rates of conditions or risk factors in these smaller populations and the larger White, non-Hispanic and Hispanic populations was often difficult. This issue is relevant to estimates for any small population group, such as a narrowly defined age group, a small number of respondents with a particular health condition, or a small demographic group such as adults who were retired.

With respect to certain conditions and risk factors, particularly those addressed by core BRFSS questions which were asked of respondents in every state, estimates for the state of New Mexico (NM) were compared to estimates for the U.S. as a whole (U.S. = all 50 states, plus the District of Columbia). These charts are generally presented in the upper right corner of the first page of a given topic, and where possible, take the form of a trend chart.

Trend charts are presented with a break in the trend lines between data years 2010 and 2011. Beginning in 2011, cellular telephones were included in the sample and nearly $42 \%$ of 2014 interviews were conducted with adults on cellular telephones. Additionally, significant changes were made to the process of weighting BRFSS data beginning with the 2011 data set. These two very important and significant changes to the BRFSS preclude the comparison of 2011 and later estimates to those of earlier years, hence the break presented in trend lines in this report.

## Demographics of the 2014 New Mexico Sample

Table 1. Demographics of the 2014 New Mexico BRFSS Sample.

| Demographic Characteristics | 2014 BRFSS Data |  |  | 2014 ACS Pop. Estimates $¥$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number in Sample* | Unweighted <br> Percent (\%) | Weighted <br> Percent (\%) |  |
| TOTAL | 8,937 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| GENDER |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 3,760 | 42.1 | 49.1 | 49.1 |
| Female | 5,177 | 57.9 | 50.9 | 50.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,167 | 97.4 | 96.6 | NA |
| LGBT | 222 | 2.7 | 3.4 | NA |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 486 | 5.5 | 13.0 | 13.4 |
| 25-34 | 825 | 9.3 | 17.7 | 17.4 |
| 35-44 | 1,024 | 11.6 | 15.6 | 15.5 |
| 45-54 | 1,474 | 16.7 | 16.3 | 16.7 |
| 55-64 | 2,068 | 23.4 | 17.1 | 17.0 |
| 65-74 | 1,776 | 20.1 | 11.9 | 11.7 |
| 75+ | 1,182 | 13.4 | 8.2 | 8.3 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 834 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 8.9 |
| Asian or NHOPI | 71 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.2 |
| Black/AA | 112 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Hispanic | 2,878 | 33.3 | 45.6 | 43.9 |
| White | 4,755 | 55.0 | 43.1 | 43.1 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,063 | 12.1 | 17.4 | 16.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,379 | 27.0 | 26.9 | 26.8 |
| Some College | 2,342 | 26.6 | 33.0 | 33.5 |
| College Graduate | 3,013 | 34.3 | 22.7 | 23.6 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 585 | 7.6 | 8.2 | NA |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,398 | 18.2 | 19.8 | NA |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,832 | 36.9 | 37.4 | NA |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,851 | 37.2 | 34.6 | NA |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,146 | 47.3 | 53.6 | NA |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,163 | 13.3 | 15.1 | NA |
| Homemaker/Student | 940 | 10.7 | 13.6 | NA |
| Retired | 2,526 | 28.8 | 17.8 | NA |
| GEOGRAPHIC REGION |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,093 | 23.4 | 10.2 | 10.4 |
| Northeast | 1,776 | 19.9 | 14.8 | 14.8 |
| Metropolitan | 1,789 | 20.0 | 43.8 | 43.6 |
| Southeast | 1,645 | 18.4 | 13.6 | 13.5 |
| Southwest | 1,634 | 18.3 | 17.5 | 17.7 |

[^0]
## Summary - NM Health Risk Factors and Preventive Health Care

This chart summarizes the prevalence of health care access, preventive health care, and behavioral indicators among adult New Mexicans in 2014, compared to the U.S.* NM estimates are presented as being either better than, worse than, or similar to the U.S. rate. Healthy People 2020 objectives are also shown.


## Behavioral Risk Factors

 ALL ADULTS:

[^1]
## General Health Status

## Question:

"Would you say that in general your health is: Excellent, Very Good, Good, Fair, or Poor?"

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has defined health-related quality of life as "an individual's or group's perceived physical and mental health over time". ${ }^{1}$ This question is considered to be a reliable indicator of a person's general health and well-being.

## In New Mexico,

In 2014, the general health status of $79.3 \%$ of adults was excellent, very good, or good. The general health status of $20.7 \%$ of adults was fair or poor. This percentage was higher than that of the U.S. (17.8\%).
$\diamond$ The prevalence of fair or poor health was higher among older adults.
$\diamond$ There was no difference by gender or sexual orientation, even after adjusting for differences in age distribution.
$\diamond$ American Indian and Hispanic adults were more likely to be in fair or poor general health than White, non-Hispanic adults.

Adults with higher education or greater annual household income were much less likely to be in fair or poor general health even though these adults were older, on average, than adults with less education or lower annual household income.

The health status of $29.5 \%$ of those who were unemployed and $66.5 \%$ of those who were unable to work was fair or poor.
$\diamond$ Adults living in the Southeast and Southwest Regions of the state were more likely to be in fair or poor health than adults living in other Regions.

Several important health characteristics were associated with fair or poor health status. For example, $48.0 \%$ of adults with a history of cardiovascular disease were in fair or poor health while only $18.1 \%$ of adults without a history of cardiovascular disease were in fair or poor health.


Fair or Poor General Health Status
by Annual Household Income, 2014



## General Health Status

Table 3. Percentage of adults who consider their general health status to be Fair or Poor, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Fair or Poor General Health Status |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Fair or Poor Health Status | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%) \S$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ Confidence Interval: |  |
| TOTAL | 8,915 | 1,920 | 20.7 | 19.5 | 22.0 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,149 | 1,740 | 20.5 | 19.2 | 21.9 |
| LGBT | 222 | 50 | 24.3 | 16.9 | 33.7 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 485 | 44 | 9.0 | 6.2 | 12.9 |
| 25-34 | 823 | 103 | 14.4 | 11.3 | 18.2 |
| 35-44 | 1,024 | 163 | 18.0 | 14.7 | 22.0 |
| 45-54 | 1,472 | 305 | 21.8 | 18.9 | 24.9 |
| 55-64 | 2,064 | 509 | 27.7 | 24.9 | 30.6 |
| 65-74 | 1,770 | 442 | 28.4 | 25.3 | 31.6 |
| 75+ | 1.176 | 339 | 30.2 | 26.7 | 34.0 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 828 | 202 | 20.6 | 17.2 | 24.6 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 71 | 12 | 15.3 | 7.7 | 28.1 |
| Black/AA | 112 | 41 | 26.6 | 16.9 | 39.3 |
| Hispanic | 2,869 | 802 | 24.1 | 22.1 | 26.3 |
| White | 4,749 | 811 | 16.8 | 15.1 | 18.6 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,055 | 456 | 39.8 | 35.7 | 44.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,374 | 628 | 21.6 | 19.4 | 23.9 |
| Some College | 2,336 | 456 | 17.6 | 15.5 | 20.0 |
| College Graduate | 3,010 | 352 | 9.8 | 8.5 | 11.4 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 582 | 270 | 41.0 | 35.5 | 46.8 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,394 | 535 | 35.6 | 31.9 | 39.4 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,827 | 601 | 20.6 | 18.3 | 23.1 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,845 | 246 | 8.1 | 6.8 | 9.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,138 | 487 | 12.1 | 10.6 | 13.7 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,160 | 618 | 49.7 | 45.5 | 53.9 |
| Homemaker/Student | 938 | 168 | 15.2 | 12.3 | 18.7 |
| Retired | 2,517 | 610 | 25.9 | 23.5 | 28.4 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,084 | 462 | 21.7 | 19.4 | 24.3 |
| Northeast | 1,774 | 345 | 18.5 | 16.5 | 20.8 |
| Metropolitan | 1,786 | 338 | 19.0 | 16.7 | 21.5 |
| Southeast | 1,643 | 389 | 23.8 | 21.3 | 26.4 |
| Southwest | 1,628 | 386 | 23.8 | 21.2 | 26.6 |

$\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## General Health Status - Males

Table 4. Percentage of adult males who consider their general health status to be Fair or Poor, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Fair or Poor General Health Status Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Fair or Poor Health Status | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,746 | 779 | 19.6 | 17.8 | 21.5 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,395 | 700 | 19.4 | 17.5 | 21.5 |
| LGBT** | 97 | 22 | 24.0 | 13.4 | 39.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 244 | 24 | 10.3 | 6.2 | 16.6 |
| 25-34 | 362 | 41 | 13.7 | 9.4 | 19.6 |
| 35-44 | 418 | 59 | 16.4 | 11.8 | 22.2 |
| 45-54 | 628 | 117 | 22.0 | 17.7 | 26.9 |
| 55-64 | 881 | 209 | 24.0 | 20.4 | 28.0 |
| 65-74 | 747 | 198 | 28.7 | 24.2 | 33.6 |
| 75+ | 430 | 126 | 28.9 | 23.5 | 35.0 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 305 | 80 | 21.0 | 16.1 | 26.8 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 17 | 25.0 | 12.0 | 44.9 |
| Hispanic | 1,187 | 299 | 21.7 | 18.7 | 25.0 |
| White | 2,023 | 350 | 16.6 | 14.2 | 19.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 426 | 172 | 36.7 | 30.8 | 43.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,037 | 255 | 18.8 | 15.9 | 22.2 |
| Some College | 904 | 169 | 17.0 | 13.8 | 20.8 |
| College Graduate | 1,328 | 174 | 11.3 | 9.2 | 13.9 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 182 | 94 | 41.7 | 32.4 | 51.5 |
| \$10-19,999 | 513 | 204 | 35.7 | 29.7 | 42.2 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,187 | 266 | 20.6 | 17.3 | 24.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,397 | 129 | 8.7 | 6.8 | 11.0 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,019 | 217 | 11.2 | 9.2 | 13.4 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 491 | 262 | 50.2 | 44.1 | 56.4 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 101 | 7 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 15.4 |
| Retired | 1,076 | 280 | 27.3 | 23.8 | 31.1 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 805 | 191 | 23.8 | 20.1 | 28.0 |
| Northeast | 777 | 141 | 17.7 | 14.7 | 21.2 |
| Metropolitan | 769 | 143 | 17.3 | 14.1 | 21.1 |
| Southeast | 709 | 155 | 22.2 | 18.6 | 26.4 |
| Southwest | 686 | 149 | 22.1 | 18.3 | 26.5 |
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## General Health Status

Table 5. Percentage of adult females who consider their general health status to be Fair or Poor, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Fair or Poor General Health Status Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Fair or Poor Health Status | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,169 | 1,141 | 21.8 | 20.1 | 23.6 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,754 | 1,040 | 21.6 | 19.9 | 23.5 |
| LGBT** | 125 | 28 | 24.6 | 15.3 | 37.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 241 | 20 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 12.9 |
| 25-34 | 461 | 62 | 15.2 | 11.1 | 20.4 |
| 35-44 | 606 | 104 | 19.7 | 15.0 | 25.4 |
| 45-54 | 844 | 188 | 21.5 | 18.0 | 25.6 |
| 55-64 | 1,183 | 300 | 31.1 | 27.2 | 35.4 |
| 65-74 | 1,023 | 244 | 28.1 | 24.1 | 32.4 |
| 75+ | 746 | 213 | 31.2 | 26.6 | 36.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 523 | 122 | 20.4 | 15.6 | 26.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 59 | 24 | 28.3 | 15.8 | 45.4 |
| Hispanic | 1,682 | 503 | 26.5 | 23.7 | 29.5 |
| White | 2,726 | 461 | 17.1 | 14.8 | 19.6 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 629 | 284 | 42.7 | 37.1 | 48.6 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,337 | 373 | 24.5 | 21.3 | 27.9 |
| Some College | 1,432 | 287 | 18.2 | 15.5 | 21.2 |
| College Graduate | 1,682 | 178 | 8.4 | 6.8 | 10.3 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 400 | 176 | 40.6 | 33.8 | 47.8 |
| \$10-19,999 | 881 | 331 | 35.4 | 30.9 | 40.2 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,640 | 335 | 20.5 | 17.4 | 24.0 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,448 | 117 | 7.4 | 5.8 | 9.4 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,119 | 270 | 13.3 | 11.3 | 15.7 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 669 | 356 | 49.3 | 43.5 | 55.0 |
| Homemaker/Student | 837 | 161 | 17.0 | 13.7 | 21.0 |
| Retired | 1,441 | 330 | 24.6 | 21.5 | 28.0 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,279 | 271 | 19.7 | 16.9 | 22.9 |
| Northeast | 997 | 204 | 19.3 | 16.7 | 22.3 |
| Metropolitan | 1,017 | 195 | 20.6 | 17.5 | 24.1 |
| Southeast | 934 | 234 | 25.3 | 22.1 | 28.8 |
| Southwest | 942 | 237 | 25.4 | 22.0 | 29.2 |
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## Health Care Coverage

## Question:

"Do you have any kind of health care coverage, including health insurance, prepaid plans such as HMOs, or government plans such as Medicare?"

Lack of health care coverage has been associated with delayed access to health care and increased risk of late stage diagnosis of chronic disease and mortality. ${ }^{2}$ People without health coverage are much less likely than those with coverage to receive recommended preventive services and medications, are less likely to have access to regular care by a personal physician, and are less able to obtain needed health care services. Consequently, the uninsured are more likely to succumb to preventable illnesses, more likely to suffer complications from those illnesses, and are more likely to die prematurely. ${ }^{2,3}$

## In New Mexico,

Adults in NM and the U.S. experienced a significant improvement in coverage in 2014 after the Affordable Care Act was implemented. Adults in NM were less likely to have coverage than adults nationwide before 2014, but the coverage gap narrowed in 2014.
$\diamond$ Health care coverage was associated with age: adults in younger age groups were less likely to have coverage. Nearly all adults age 65+ had coverage, primarily through governmentsponsored Medicare, and coverage among NM adults in this age group was virtually identical to the U.S.
$\diamond$ Adult Hispanics (24.1\%) were more likely to be without coverage than were adult Whites ( $6.8 \%$ ) or adult American Indians (12.5\%). Small sample size made comparison to and between other groups not possible.

Adults with lower education level or who lived in households with lower annual income were more likely to be without coverage.

Adults who were employed were more likely to have coverage. However, nearly $18 \%$ of employed adults were without coverage. Unemployed (26.5\%) and homemakers or students ( $22.6 \%$ ) were most likely to be without coverage.


## Health Care Coverage

Table 6. Percentage of adults without health care coverage, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | No Health Care Coverage |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting No Health Care Coverage | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%) \S$ | 95\% Confidence Interval: |  |
| TOTAL | 8,903 | 949 | 15.4 | 14.1 | 16.8 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,139 | 891 | 15.7 | 14.3 | 17.1 |
| LGBT | 221 | 19 | 15.8 | 9.3 | 25.6 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 475 | 117 | 23.9 | 19.3 | 29.2 |
| 25-34 | 822 | 182 | 25.6 | 21.4 | 30.4 |
| 35-44 | 1,023 | 201 | 22.7 | 19.2 | 26.7 |
| 45-54 | 1,470 | 190 | 13.6 | 11.4 | 16.2 |
| 55-64 | 2,063 | 203 | 9.4 | 7.8 | 11.3 |
| 65-74 | 1,773 | 35 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 4.4 |
| 75+ | 1,180 | 10 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 2.3 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 830 | 99 | 12.5 | 9.9 | 15.6 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 71 | 10 | 16.6 | 7.6 | 32.7 |
| Black/AA | 112 | 7 | 15.9 | 5.6 | 37.5 |
| Hispanic | 2,867 | 533 | 24.1 | 21.7 | 26.6 |
| White | 4,743 | 275 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 8.1 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,057 | 289 | 34.3 | 30.1 | 38.7 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,363 | 314 | 17.0 | 14.7 | 19.6 |
| Some College | 2,334 | 211 | 11.5 | 9.5 | 13.9 |
| College Graduate | 3,009 | 126 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 7.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 583 | 106 | 19.6 | 15.3 | 24.7 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,389 | 274 | 26.4 | 22.7 | 30.4 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,822 | 351 | 18.7 | 16.2 | 21.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,849 | 97 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 5.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,135 | 561 | 17.9 | 16.0 | 20.0 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,156 | 163 | 16.3 | 13.4 | 19.6 |
| Homemaker/Student | 931 | 164 | 22.6 | 18.5 | 27.4 |
| Retired | 2,522 | 43 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 2.6 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,084 | 241 | 18.1 | 15.7 | 20.9 |
| Northeast | 1,773 | 187 | 14.9 | 12.6 | 17.4 |
| Metropolitan | 1,782 | 151 | 13.7 | 11.4 | 16.4 |
| Southeast | 1,636 | 200 | 18.3 | 15.8 | 21.0 |
| Southwest | 1,628 | 170 | 16.4 | 13.8 | 19.5 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Health Care Coverage <br> Males

Table 7. Percentage of adult males without health care coverage, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | No Health Care Coverage Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting No Health Care Coverage | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,740 | 468 | 18.2 | 16.2 | 20.5 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,389 | 437 | 18.5 | 16.4 | 20.8 |
| LGBT** | 97 | 11 | 21.7 | 11.0 | 38.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 235 | 70 | 28.6 | 21.7 | 36.7 |
| 25-34 | 361 | 95 | 30.7 | 24.2 | 38.0 |
| 35-44 | 418 | 108 | 26.8 | 21.4 | 33.0 |
| 45-54 | 628 | 82 | 14.1 | 10.8 | 18.1 |
| 55-64 | 879 | 91 | 10.4 | 7.9 | 13.6 |
| 65-74 | 752 | 13 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 3.3 |
| 75+ | 433 | 4 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 4.6 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 305 | 53 | 16.5 | 12.1 | 22.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 5 | 29.0 | 10.5 | 58.6 |
| Hispanic | 1,187 | 254 | 27.2 | 23.6 | 31.2 |
| White | 2,022 | 137 | 8.6 | 6.8 | 10.8 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 427 | 139 | 38.8 | 32.5 | 45.5 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,032 | 163 | 18.9 | 15.6 | 22.8 |
| Some College | 903 | 107 | 15.9 | 12.4 | 20.2 |
| College Graduate | 1,327 | 57 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 10.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 182 | 43 | 26.5 | 18.4 | 36.6 |
| \$10-19,999 | 508 | 135 | 30.9 | 24.9 | 37.6 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,186 | 180 | 24.2 | 20.2 | 28.7 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,401 | 54 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 6.3 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,017 | 334 | 22.2 | 19.3 | 25.3 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 489 | 86 | 20.3 | 15.8 | 25.7 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 96 | 17 | 17.2 | 10.0 | 27.9 |
| Retired | 1,081 | 23 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 2.9 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 803 | 116 | 23.0 | 19.0 | 27.6 |
| Northeast | 778 | 80 | 15.6 | 12.1 | 19.9 |
| Metropolitan | 766 | 78 | 16.0 | 12.5 | 20.3 |
| Southeast | 707 | 110 | 23.2 | 19.1 | 27.8 |
| Southwest | 686 | 84 | 19.4 | 15.1 | 24.5 |
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## Health Care Coverage

Table 8. Percentage of adult females without health care coverage, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | No Health Care Coverage Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting No Health Care Coverage | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,163 | 481 | 12.7 | 11.2 | 14.4 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,750 | 454 | 13.0 | 11.4 | 14.8 |
| LGBT** | 124 | 8 | 9.8 | 4.4 | 20.5 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 240 | 47 | 19.0 | 13.4 | 26.2 |
| 25-34 | 461 | 87 | 20.4 | 15.4 | 26.5 |
| 35-44 | 605 | 93 | 18.6 | 14.4 | 23.8 |
| 45-54 | 842 | 108 | 13.1 | 10.2 | 16.6 |
| 55-64 | 1,184 | 112 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 10.9 |
| 65-74 | 1,021 | 22 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 6.8 |
| 75+ | 747 | 6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.0 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 525 | 46 | 9.1 | 6.4 | 12.8 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 59 | 2 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 9.0 |
| Hispanic | 1,680 | 279 | 21.0 | 18.0 | 24.3 |
| White | 2,721 | 138 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 6.6 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 630 | 150 | 30.1 | 24.7 | 36.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,331 | 151 | 15.0 | 11.9 | 18.7 |
| Some College | 1,431 | 104 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 9.9 |
| College Graduate | 1,682 | 69 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 6.5 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 401 | 63 | 15.1 | 10.9 | 20.5 |
| \$10-19,999 | 881 | 139 | 23.0 | 18.5 | 28.1 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,636 | 171 | 13.1 | 10.6 | 16.2 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,448 | 43 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 5.9 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,118 | 227 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 14.3 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 667 | 77 | 12.7 | 9.4 | 17.0 |
| Homemaker/Student | 835 | 147 | 23.6 | 19.0 | 29.0 |
| Retired | 1,441 | 20 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 3.2 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,281 | 125 | 13.6 | 11.0 | 16.6 |
| Northeast | 995 | 107 | 14.1 | 11.5 | 17.2 |
| Metropolitan | 1,016 | 73 | 11.5 | 8.7 | 15.0 |
| Southeast | 929 | 90 | 13.3 | 10.7 | 16.5 |
| Southwest | 942 | 86 | 13.6 | 10.7 | 17.2 |
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## Healuh Care Access: Cost as Barrier to Care

## Question:

"Was there a time in the past 12 months when you needed to see a doctor but could not because of the cost?"

## In New Mexico,

In 2014, cost prevented $17.2 \%$ of adult New Mexicans from getting needed medical care in the previous 12 months.

Cost prevented $40.7 \%$ of adults without coverage and only $12.9 \%$ of adults with coverage from getting needed care in the previous 12 months.
$19.2 \%$ of women and $15.1 \%$ of men were prevented by cost from obtaining needed medical care in the previous 12 months.
$\diamond$ Cost prevented only $6.3 \%$ of adults age 65 or older from getting necessary medical care compared to $19.9 \%$ of adults under the age of 65 years. Adults age 65 or older qualified for health care coverage through the federallyfunded Medicare program. Almost all (98\%) adults age $65+$ had some form of coverage compared to $81.2 \%$ of adults under 65 years of age.
Hispanic adults were more likely to have to forego needed medical care in the past 12 months because of cost ( $23.0 \%$ ) than were White adults (12.1\%). Both Hispanic and American Indian adults with health care coverage were more likely to forego needed medical care in the past 12 months than White adults with coverage, suggesting that out-of-pocket expenses act as a disparate barrier to access. Small sample sizes made comparison to and between other groups not possible.
Adults with lower income, less education, or who were unemployed or unable to work were more likely to forego needed medical care in the past 12 months because of cost.
Adult residents of the counties in the Southwest Region of the state were more likely to report cost as a barrier to receiving necessary medical care.


Cost Prevented Necessary Medical Care in the Past 12 Months, by Annual Household Income, 2014


Cost Prevented Necessary Medical Care in the Past 12 Months, by Employment Status, 2014


## Health Care Access: Cost as Barrier to Care

Table 9. Percentage of adults who could not get needed medical care in the past 12 months because of the cost, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Cost Prevented Necessary Medical Care |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting That Cost <br> Prevented Care | Weighted Percent (\%)§ | 95\% Confidence Interval $\ddagger$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8.923 | 1,233 | 17.2 | 16.0 | 18.5 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,156 | 1,115 | 17.1 | 15.9 | 18.5 |
| LGBT | 222 | 49 | 24.9 | 17.5 | 34.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 485 | 83 | 17.5 | 13.6 | 22.2 |
| 25-34 | 824 | 175 | 21.4 | 17.9 | 25.4 |
| 35-44 | 1,023 | 233 | 26.1 | 22.3 | 30.2 |
| 45-54 | 1,473 | 283 | 19.4 | 16.7 | 22.3 |
| 55-64 | 2,065 | 275 | 15.2 | 13.1 | 17.6 |
| 65-74 | 1,771 | 127 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 10.3 |
| 75+ | 1.181 | 40 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 5.4 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 833 | 127 | 12.2 | 9.6 | 15.4 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 71 | 7 | 7.4 | 3.1 | 16.6 |
| Black/AA | 112 | 19 | 16.5 | 8.6 | 29.3 |
| Hispanic | 2,873 | 582 | 23.0 | 20.8 | 25.3 |
| White | 4,747 | 451 | 12.1 | 10.7 | 13.8 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,060 | 272 | 28.4 | 24.6 | 32.5 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,375 | 355 | 16.6 | 14.4 | 19.0 |
| Some College | 2,338 | 331 | 16.4 | 14.3 | 18.8 |
| College Graduate | 3,010 | 256 | 11.0 | 9.3 | 13.0 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 585 | 152 | 25.4 | 20.6 | 30.9 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,394 | 350 | 27.8 | 24.3 | 31.6 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,828 | 427 | 20.5 | 18.2 | 23.1 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,848 | 170 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 8.3 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,139 | 596 | 17.0 | 15.4 | 18.8 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,159 | 321 | 29.5 | 25.6 | 33.6 |
| Homemaker/Student | 939 | 155 | 18.7 | 15.2 | 22.7 |
| Retired | 2,524 | 139 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 7.5 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,089 | 270 | 16.1 | 14.0 | 18.5 |
| Northeast | 1,773 | 236 | 15.8 | 13.7 | 18.3 |
| Metropolitan | 1,788 | 247 | 16.7 | 14.5 | 19.2 |
| Southeast | 1,641 | 226 | 16.9 | 14.7 | 19.4 |
| Southwest | 1,632 | 254 | 20.3 | 17.7 | 23.3 |
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## Health Care Access: Cost as Barrier - Males

Table 10. Percentage of adult males who could not get needed medical care in the past 12 months because of the cost, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Cost Prevented Necessary Medical Care Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting That Cost Prevented Care | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,752 | 443 | 15.1 | 13.4 | 17.0 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,400 | 401 | 15.2 | 13.4 | 17.2 |
| LGBT** | 97 | 19 | 22.9 | 12.7 | 37.6 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 243 | 33 | 13.5 | 8.9 | 20.0 |
| 25-34 | 363 | 72 | 21.3 | 16.4 | 27.3 |
| 35-44 | 417 | 84 | 23.9 | 18.7 | 30.1 |
| 45-54 | 628 | 98 | 15.8 | 12.2 | 20.2 |
| 55-64 | 882 | 88 | 11.0 | 8.5 | 14.1 |
| 65-74 | 749 | 45 | 6.6 | 4.5 | 9.7 |
| 75+ | 433 | 18 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 8.7 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 307 | 37 | 10.3 | 6.5 | 16.0 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 6 | 13.8 | 4.1 | 37.8 |
| Hispanic | 1,189 | 229 | 21.9 | 18.8 | 25.4 |
| White | 2,024 | 151 | 9.5 | 7.6 | 11.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 428 | 100 | 25.5 | 20.4 | 31.4 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,038 | 135 | 13.6 | 10.9 | 17.0 |
| Some College | 906 | 120 | 15.9 | 12.6 | 19.8 |
| College Graduate | 1,329 | 83 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 11.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 183 | 41 | 24.8 | 16.6 | 35.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 512 | 119 | 23.8 | 18.9 | 29.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,189 | 176 | 20.5 | 17.1 | 24.3 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,400 | 65 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 7.3 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,021 | 248 | 15.6 | 13.4 | 18.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 491 | 114 | 24.3 | 19.4 | 30.1 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 100 | 11 | 10.8 | 5.3 | 20.6 |
| Retired | 1,081 | 62 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 8.3 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 808 | 100 | 15.6 | 12.4 | 19.5 |
| Northeast | 778 | 88 | 14.5 | 11.4 | 18.2 |
| Metropolitan | 770 | 91 | 14.6 | 11.6 | 18.3 |
| Southeast | 709 | 79 | 13.5 | 10.4 | 17.2 |
| Southwest | 687 | 85 | 17.8 | 13.9 | 22.4 |
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## Health Care Access: Cost as Barrier - Femalles

Table 11. Percentage of adult females who could not get needed medical care in the past 12 months because of the cost, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Cost Prevented Necessary Medical Care Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting That Cost | Weighted <br> Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { In } \end{array}$ | fidence $\mathbf{a l}^{\text { }}$ |
|  |  | Prevented Care | $(\%)^{\S}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,171 | 790 | 19.2 | 17.5 | 21.0 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,756 | 714 | 19.0 | 17.2 | 20.9 |
| LGBT** | 125 | 30 | 26.9 | 17.4 | 39.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 242 | 50 | 21.7 | 15.8 | 29.1 |
| 25-34 | 461 | 103 | 21.5 | 16.9 | 27.0 |
| 35-44 | 606 | 149 | 28.2 | 23.0 | 34.0 |
| 45-54 | 845 | 185 | 22.8 | 19.1 | 27.0 |
| 55-64 | 1,183 | 187 | 19.1 | 15.9 | 22.8 |
| 65-74 | 1,022 | 82 | 9.6 | 7.1 | 12.7 |
| 75+ | 748 | 22 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 4.9 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 526 | 90 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 17.9 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 59 | 13 | 19.2 | 9.4 | 35.4 |
| Hispanic | 1,684 | 353 | 24.0 | 21.1 | 27.1 |
| White | 2,723 | 300 | 14.7 | 12.5 | 17.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 632 | 172 | 31.0 | 25.7 | 36.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,337 | 220 | 19.7 | 16.4 | 23.4 |
| Some College | 1,432 | 211 | 16.9 | 14.2 | 19.9 |
| College Graduate | 1,681 | 173 | 13.2 | 10.9 | 15.9 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 402 | 111 | 25.9 | 20.3 | 32.3 |
| \$10-19,999 | 882 | 231 | 30.9 | 26.2 | 36.0 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,639 | 251 | 20.6 | 17.5 | 24.0 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,448 | 105 | 8.7 | 6.8 | 11.2 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,118 | 348 | 19.0 | 16.7 | 21.7 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 668 | 207 | 34.0 | 28.5 | 40.0 |
| Homemaker/Student | 839 | 144 | 20.3 | 16.4 | 24.8 |
| Retired | 1,443 | 77 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 8.2 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,281 | 170 | 16.5 | 13.9 | 19.5 |
| Northeast | 995 | 148 | 17.1 | 14.3 | 20.4 |
| Bernalillo County | 1,018 | 156 | 18.6 | 15.5 | 22.2 |
| Southeast | 932 | 147 | 20.4 | 17.3 | 23.9 |
| Southwest | 945 | 169 | 22.8 | 19.3 | 26.8 |
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## Health Care Access: Routine Checkup

## Question:

"About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine checkup?"

A routine checkup on an annual basis is recommended for effective health maintenance. Routine medical examinations provide opportunities for exchange of information between patient and health care provider, early diagnosis of potentially serious health conditions, and prompt corrective action. Estimates of the proportion of adults obtaining a routine checkup can also serve as one measure of access to health care. ${ }^{2,3}$

## In New Mexico,

$\diamond$ A greater percentage of men ( $43.2 \%$ ) than women ( $33.1 \%$ ) had not visited a physician for a routine checkup in the previous 12 months.
$\diamond$ Younger adults were more likely to have gone without a checkup in the previous year compared to older adults.
$\diamond$ Adults who reported their race/ethnicity as White non-Hispanic were less likely to have gone without a routine checkup in the past year (34.4\%) than American Indian (43.1\%) or Hispanic ( $40.5 \%$ ) adults. Small sample sizes made comparison to and between other groups not possible. There was no difference in the percentage without a recent routine checkup by race/ethnicity among those who had health care coverage.
$\diamond$ Adults with less than a high school education were less likely to have had a routine checkup in the past year and those with at least a college degree were more likely than all others to have had a routine checkup.
$\diamond$ Adults living in households with an annual income greater than $\$ 50,000$ were more likely to have had a routine checkup in the past year.
$\diamond$ Health care coverage was very important to accessing health care. Adults with some form of coverage were less likely to have been prevented by cost from obtaining needed care or to have gone for one or more years without a checkup.
$\diamond$ Adults who reported having a personal health care provider were more likely to report a routine checkup in the past year, even among adults who did not have a health care coverage plan.


## Health Care Access: Routine Checkup

Table 12. Percentage of adults who did not visit a doctor for a routine checkup in the past 12 months, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | No Routine Checkupin Past Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting No Routine | Weighted Percent | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { Int } \end{gathered}$ | idence 1\% |
|  |  | Checkup in Past Year | (\%)§ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8,785 | 2,882 | 38.0 | 36.4 | 39.7 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,035 | 2,641 | 38.2 | 36.5 | 39.9 |
| LGBT | 221 | 80 | 40.4 | 31.1 | 50.4 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 472 | 232 | 49.2 | 43.4 | 55.1 |
| 25-34 | 811 | 400 | 52.3 | 47.5 | 57.0 |
| 35-44 | 1,006 | 453 | 44.5 | 40.3 | 48.8 |
| 45-54 | 1,453 | 547 | 37.6 | 34.1 | 41.1 |
| 55-64 | 2,042 | 612 | 29.8 | 27.0 | 32.7 |
| 65-74 | 1,758 | 393 | 23.3 | 20.5 | 26.3 |
| 75+ | 1,148 | 216 | 17.4 | 14.2 | 21.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 814 | 313 | 43.1 | 38.1 | 48.3 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 68 | 19 | 30.0 | 16.2 | 48.9 |
| Black/AA | 110 | 27 | 42.4 | 28.1 | 58.2 |
| Hispanic | 2,828 | 1,006 | 40.5 | 37.8 | 43.2 |
| White | 4,685 | 1,424 | 34.4 | 32.3 | 36.5 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,025 | 396 | 45.5 | 41.1 | 50.0 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,338 | 802 | 39.5 | 36.4 | 42.7 |
| Some College | 2,309 | 779 | 37.7 | 34.8 | 40.8 |
| College Graduate | 2,976 | 863 | 31.4 | 28.8 | 34.1 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 571 | 213 | 41.0 | 35.2 | 47.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,379 | 517 | 43.6 | 39.5 | 47.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,789 | 957 | 40.9 | 37.9 | 43.9 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,826 | 827 | 32.5 | 29.9 | 35.3 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,088 | 1,621 | 44.3 | 41.9 | 46.7 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,135 | 356 | 34.7 | 30.8 | 38.8 |
| Homemaker/Student | 919 | 351 | 40.8 | 36.1 | 45.7 |
| Retired | 2,485 | 503 | 20.3 | 18.0 | 22.8 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,046 | 701 | 41.6 | 38.6 | 44.6 |
| Northeast | 1,754 | 578 | 37.9 | 34.9 | 41.0 |
| Metropolitan | 1,765 | 553 | 37.1 | 34.1 | 40.3 |
| Southeast | 1,612 | 533 | 37.9 | 34.9 | 41.0 |
| Southwest | 1,608 | 517 | 38.4 | 35.1 | 41.7 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add
to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Health Care access: Routine Checkup - Males

Table 13. Percentage of adult males who did not visit a doctor for a routine checkup in the past 12 months, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | No Routine Checkup in Past Year Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting No Routine Checkup in Past Year | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,700 | 1,358 | 43.2 | 40.7 | 45.6 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,353 | 1,243 | 43.7 | 41.1 | 46.3 |
| LGBT** | 96 | 35 | 45.4 | 30.7 | 61.0 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 239 | 132 | 53.4 | 45.0 | 61.6 |
| 25-34 | 356 | 219 | 62.3 | 55.5 | 68.7 |
| 35-44 | 410 | 213 | 50.5 | 44.1 | 57.0 |
| 45-54 | 624 | 264 | 42.0 | 36.8 | 47.5 |
| 55-64 | 869 | 271 | 31.2 | 27.0 | 35.8 |
| 65-74 | 744 | 172 | 23.5 | 19.4 | 28.1 |
| 75+ | 422 | 78 | 19.5 | 13.6 | 27.0 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 298 | 141 | 53.2 | 45.0 | 61.2 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 35 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 12 | 43.0 | 22.9 | 65.6 |
| Hispanic | 1,170 | 482 | 46.2 | 42.2 | 50.3 |
| White | 2,004 | 661 | 38.9 | 35.6 | 42.3 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 413 | 196 | 55.5 | 49.0 | 61.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,025 | 399 | 44.2 | 39.7 | 48.7 |
| Some College | 898 | 342 | 43.3 | 38.6 | 48.1 |
| College Graduate | 1,314 | 405 | 33.5 | 29.5 | 37.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 178 | 79 | 43.5 | 33.8 | 53.8 |
| \$10-19,999 | 506 | 235 | 52.6 | 45.8 | 59.4 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,167 | 453 | 48.1 | 43.7 | 52.6 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,394 | 431 | 33.9 | 30.2 | 37.9 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,999 | 890 | 49.5 | 46.2 | 52.8 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 479 | 173 | 40.4 | 34.4 | 46.7 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 99 | 45 | 48.4 | 36.2 | 60.7 |
| Retired | 1,066 | 232 | 22.3 | 18.7 | 26.5 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 793 | 304 | 47.9 | 43.3 | 52.6 |
| Northeast | 771 | 282 | 42.6 | 38.0 | 47.5 |
| Metropolitan | 760 | 266 | 42.8 | 38.1 | 47.5 |
| Southeast | 698 | 260 | 41.2 | 36.8 | 45.8 |
| Southwest | 678 | 246 | 43.3 | 38.3 | 48.5 |
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## Health Care Access: Routine Checkup <br> Females

Table 14. Percentage of adult females who did not visit a doctor for a routine checkup in the past 12 months, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | No Routine Checkup in Past Year Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting No Routine | Weighted Percent | $95 \%$ <br> In | fidence |
|  |  | Checkupin Past Year | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,085 | 1,524 | 33.1 | 31.0 | 35.2 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,682 | 1,398 | 32.9 | 30.8 | 35.1 |
| LGBT** | 125 | 45 | 35.6 | 25.1 | 47.8 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 233 | 100 | 44.6 | 36.5 | 53.0 |
| 25-34 | 455 | 181 | 41.8 | 35.6 | 48.3 |
| 35-44 | 596 | 240 | 38.5 | 33.1 | 44.1 |
| 45-54 | 829 | 283 | 33.2 | 28.9 | 37.8 |
| 55-64 | 1,173 | 341 | 28.5 | 24.9 | 32.3 |
| 65-74 | 1,014 | 221 | 23.1 | 19.4 | 27.2 |
| 75+ | 726 | 138 | 15.9 | 12.8 | 19.6 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 516 | 172 | 34.5 | 28.8 | 40.7 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 33 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 57 | 15 | 41.9 | 23.5 | 62.8 |
| Hispanic | 1,658 | 524 | 35.0 | 31.6 | 38.6 |
| White | 2,681 | 763 | 30.0 | 27.4 | 32.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 612 | 200 | 36.3 | 30.6 | 42.3 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,313 | 403 | 34.5 | 30.3 | 38.9 |
| Some College | 1,411 | 437 | 32.7 | 29.1 | 36.5 |
| College Graduate | 1,662 | 458 | 29.4 | 26.3 | 32.7 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 393 | 134 | 39.4 | 32.2 | 47.1 |
| \$10-19,999 | 873 | 282 | 36.6 | 31.7 | 41.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,622 | 504 | 33.7 | 30.0 | 37.6 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,432 | 396 | 30.8 | 27.3 | 34.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,089 | 731 | 37.1 | 33.9 | 40.4 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 656 | 183 | 29.5 | 24.6 | 35.0 |
| Homemaker/Student | 820 | 306 | 39.2 | 34.2 | 44.5 |
| Retired | 1,419 | 271 | 18.5 | 15.9 | 21.5 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,253 | 397 | 35.5 | 31.9 | 39.3 |
| Northeast | 983 | 296 | 33.4 | 29.7 | 37.2 |
| Metropolitan | 1,005 | 287 | 31.8 | 27.9 | 35.9 |
| Southeast | 914 | 273 | 34.5 | 30.6 | 38.6 |
| Southwest | 930 | 271 | 33.6 | 29.6 | 37.8 |
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## Question:

"During the past 12 months, have you had either a seasonal flu shot or a seasonal flu vaccine that was sprayed in your nose?"
"A pneumonia shot or pneumococcal vaccine is usually given only once or twice in a person's lifetime and is different from the flu shot. Have you ever had a pneumonia shot?"

It is recommended that people 65 years of age and older receive a seasonal influenza vaccination as part of routine health maintenance. ${ }^{4,5}$ Adults at increased risk of influenza due to chronic conditions like diabetes or asthma, should be vaccinated, as well, regardless of age.
Those at higher risk of pneumococcal pneumonia include: the elderly, the very young, and those with special health problems such as alcoholism, heart or lung disease, kidney failure, diabetes, HIV, and some cancer types. ${ }^{4,5}$

## In New Mexico,

$\diamond 43.8 \%$ of adults age 65 years and older had not been immunized against seasonal influenza during the past 12 months. The post- 2010 estimates are higher than previous years but this is likely due to improvements to the BRFSS methodology, rather than an actual increase.
$\diamond 29.7 \%$ of adults age 65 years and older had never received the pneumonia vaccine. However, there has been steady improvement over the past decade, from $35.3 \%$ in 2005 to $29.7 \%$ in 2014.
$\diamond$ The percentage of American Indian adults age 65 years and older without a flu shot in the past year ( $33.3 \%$ ) was lower than that of Hispanic ( $43.5 \%$ ) and White ( $44.1 \%$ ) adults, but the difference was not statistically significant. Small sample sizes made comparison to and between other race/ethnicity groups not possible.
$\diamond$ There was a statistically significant difference by race/ethnicity in the percentage of those age 65 years and older who had never had a pneumococcal vaccine. 38\% of elderly Hispanic adults had never had the vaccine compared to $31.9 \%$ of American Indian and $25.3 \%$ of White elderly adults.
$\diamond$ Those living in households with lower annual income were more likely to have never had a pneumococcal vaccine than those living in households with higher income.



No Flu Shot in Past 12 Months/Never Pneumonia Vaccination, Age 65+, by Annual Household Income, 2014


No Flu Shot in Past 12 Months/NeverPneumonia Vaccination, Age 65+,
by Education, 2014


Among adults of all ages, $41.3 \%$ of adults with diabetes, $53.5 \%$ of adults with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and $55.7 \%$ of adults with asthma had not been vaccinated against influenza in the past 12 months.

## Immunization, Influenza Vaccination

Table 15. Percentage of adults age 65 years and older who did not get a flu shot during the past 12 months, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | No Flu Shot in Past 12 Months, Age 65+ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting No Flu Shot in Past 12 Months | Weighted Percent (\%) § | 95\% Confidence Interval: |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 2,758 | 1,215 | 43.8 | 41.1 | 46.5 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1,109 | 479 | 44.4 | 40.2 | 48.7 |
| Female | 1,649 | 736 | 43.2 | 39.8 | 46.7 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-74 | 1,662 | 740 | 43.1 | 39.7 | 46.6 |
| 75+ | 1,096 | 475 | 44.7 | 40.4 | 49.0 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian** | 137 | 47 | 33.3 | 22.3 | 46.5 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 32 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 597 | 262 | 43.5 | 38.2 | 49.0 |
| White | 1,894 | 835 | 44.1 | 40.8 | 47.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 324 | 154 | 42.6 | 35.7 | 49.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 720 | 322 | 45.8 | 40.6 | 51.1 |
| Some College | 673 | 302 | 45.4 | 39.9 | 51.0 |
| College Graduate | 1,036 | 434 | 40.9 | 36.9 | 45.1 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 146 | 67 | 43.8 | 32.9 | 55.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 465 | 199 | 42.1 | 35.7 | 48.7 |
| \$20-49,999 | 989 | 450 | 47.0 | 42.6 | 51.5 |
| \$50,000 or more | 721 | 305 | 42.9 | 38.1 | 47.9 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 436 | 216 | 47.8 | 41.2 | 54.6 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 197 | 94 | 46.4 | 36.5 | 56.6 |
| Homemaker/Student | 190 | 85 | 43.8 | 34.4 | 53.8 |
| Retired | 1.927 | 816 | 42.5 | 39.4 | 45.8 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 612 | 272 | 40.2 | 35.3 | 45.2 |
| Northeast | 572 | 255 | 46.1 | 41.4 | 50.8 |
| Metropolitan | 508 | 205 | 42.7 | 37.3 | 48.3 |
| Southeast | 517 | 250 | 48.5 | 43.6 | 53.3 |
| Southwest | 549 | 233 | 42.3 | 37.7 | 47.0 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Immunization, Pneumococcal Vaccination

Table 16. Percentage of adults age 65 years and older who have never had a pneumococcal vaccination, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | No Pneumonia Vaccination - Age 65+ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting No Pneumonia <br> Vaccination | Weighted <br> Percent (\%) § | $95 \%$ <br> Lower | fidence It <br> Upper |
| TOTAL | 2,656 | 811 | 29.7 | 27.3 | 32.2 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1,049 | 336 | 32.5 | 28.6 | 36.7 |
| Female | 1,607 | 475 | 27.4 | 24.5 | 30.6 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65-74 | 1,606 | 560 | 34.5 | 31.2 | 38.0 |
| 75+ | 1,050 | 251 | 22.6 | 19.4 | 26.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian** | 129 | 46 | 31.9 | 21.9 | 44.0 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 30 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 567 | 209 | 38.0 | 32.6 | 43.7 |
| White | 1,837 | 510 | 25.3 | 22.7 | 28.1 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 305 | 121 | 42.1 | 34.9 | 49.7 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 692 | 213 | 32.3 | 27.4 | 37.6 |
| Some College | 656 | 188 | 26.1 | 21.8 | 31.0 |
| College Graduate | 998 | 286 | 24.4 | 21.2 | 28.0 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 132 | 57 | 47.4 | 35.2 | 59.9 |
| \$10-19,999 | 454 | 149 | 31.3 | 25.4 | 37.9 |
| \$20-49,999 | 954 | 279 | 28.0 | 24.2 | 32.2 |
| \$50,000 or more | 702 | 198 | 26.4 | 22.2 | 31.0 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 423 | 161 | 39.1 | 32.7 | 46.0 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 188 | 64 | 35.0 | 25.5 | 45.7 |
| Homemaker/Student | 185 | 56 | 25.3 | 18.5 | 33.6 |
| Retired | 1,852 | 524 | 27.2 | 24.4 | 30.2 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 588 | 181 | 31.2 | 26.4 | 36.4 |
| Northeast | 549 | 177 | 33.1 | 28.7 | 37.9 |
| Metropolitan | 491 | 116 | 24.4 | 19.8 | 29.6 |
| Southeast | 504 | 166 | 34.0 | 29.6 | 38.8 |
| Southwest | 524 | 171 | 34.0 | 29.5 | 38.9 |
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## HIV Test History

## Questions:

"Have you ever been tested for HIV? Do not count tests you may have had as part of a blood donation. Include testing fluid from your mouth."
"Not including blood donations, in what month and year was your last HIV test?"

In New Mexico, AIDS diagnoses have been recorded since 1981 and cases of HIV infection have been reportable since 1998. In 2014, 132 new cases of HIV/AIDS were diagnosed, and 3327 person were living with HIV/AIDS in New Mexico. ${ }^{7}$ Among those living with HIV/ AIDS, the most prevalent risk factor category was men who have sex with men, followed by high-risk heterosexual. ${ }^{7}$

## In New Mexico,

$\diamond$ Over most of the past decade, NM and the U.S. have demonstrated a very similar prevalence of HIV testing history among adults. In 2014, $32.6 \%$ of adults in NM had ever been tested, which was lower than that of the U.S. (34.1\%).
Women (34.4\%) were slightly more likely than men (30.7\%) to have ever been tested for HIV.
$\diamond$ Adults who were lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender (LGBT), were significantly more likely to have been tested than heterosexual adults, $58.7 \%$ and $31.7 \%$, respectively.
HIV testing was most prevalent among those 25 to 44 years of age. The relatively low testing rate among those age 18 to 24 years may be partially explained by the "Ever" nature of the question, with older adults having had greater opportunity to be tested.
Black/African American adults were more likely to have ever been tested. After adjusting for differences in age distribution, Asian and Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islanders were significantly less likely to have been tested, as were Hispanic adults and American Indian adults compared to White adults.
History of HIV testing was more common among adults with at least some college-level education. There was no difference by annual household income.
$\diamond$ Residents of the central Metropolitan Region of the state were more likely to have been tested than residents of the NE, NW, SE, or SW Regions.


## HIV Test History

Table 17. Percentage of adults who have ever been tested for HIV, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | HIV Test, Ever |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Ever Testing for HIV | Weighted <br> Percent <br> (\%)§ | 95\% Confidence Interval $\ddagger$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 7,859 | 2,169 | 32.6 | 30.9 | 34.2 |
| Sexual Orientation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 7,262 | 1,941 | 31.7 | 30.0 | 33.5 |
| LGBT | 203 | 119 | 58.7 | 48.1 | 68.5 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 433 | 142 | 26.7 | 22.0 | 32.0 |
| 25-34 | 730 | 373 | 53.7 | 48.6 | 58.7 |
| 35-44 | 894 | 420 | 47.6 | 43.1 | 52.2 |
| 45-54 | 1,289 | 479 | 36.1 | 32.6 | 39.8 |
| 55-64 | 1,833 | 438 | 23.1 | 20.6 | 25.9 |
| 65-74 | 1,580 | 234 | 14.2 | 11.9 | 16.9 |
| 75+ | 1,028 | 64 | 7.2 | 5.1 | 10.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 692 | 224 | 33.0 | 28.1 | 38.3 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 63 | 16 | 20.6 | 10.8 | 35.8 |
| Black/AA** | 97 | 45 | 47.2 | 32.2 | 62.7 |
| Hispanic | 2,488 | 678 | 32.5 | 29.7 | 35.3 |
| White | 4,277 | 1,128 | 31.9 | 29.8 | 34.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 944 | 209 | 27.0 | 23.0 | 31.5 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,058 | 441 | 28.0 | 24.9 | 31.3 |
| Some College | 2,114 | 659 | 36.4 | 33.3 | 39.6 |
| College Graduate | 2,725 | 857 | 36.4 | 33.7 | 39.2 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$ 10,000 | 520 | 157 | 33.4 | 27.5 | 39.8 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,230 | 341 | 31.4 | 27.5 | 35.7 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,574 | 686 | 32.3 | 29.4 | 35.3 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2.637 | 793 | 35.2 | 32.4 | 38.1 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 3,675 | 1,233 | 36.4 | 34.0 | 38.8 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,036 | 408 | 42.9 | 38.5 | 47.4 |
| Homemaker/Student | 855 | 230 | 30.4 | 25.9 | 35.3 |
| Retired | 2,270 | 293 | 14.4 | 12.4 | 16.7 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,809 | 473 | 32.4 | 29.3 | 35.6 |
| Northeast | 1,586 | 442 | 29.1 | 26.3 | 32.1 |
| Metropolitan | 1,563 | 488 | 34.9 | 31.8 | 38.2 |
| Southeast | 1,449 | 371 | 30.9 | 27.9 | 34.0 |
| Southwest | 1,452 | 395 | 31.1 | 28.0 | 34.5 |
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## HIV Test History <br> Males

Table 18. Percentage of adult males who have ever been tested for HIV, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | HIV Test, Ever, Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Ever | Weighted Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { Int } \end{array}$ | fidence al ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |
|  |  | Testing for HIV | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,278 | 898 | 30.7 | 28.3 | 33.2 |
| Sexual Orientation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,009 | 780 | 29.3 | 26.8 | 31.9 |
| LGBT** | 89 | 61 | 62.3 | 44.5 | 77.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 220 | 55 | 20.4 | 14.6 | 27.8 |
| 25-34 | 319 | 145 | 46.3 | 39.0 | 53.9 |
| 35-44 | 357 | 143 | 43.8 | 37.1 | 50.7 |
| 45-54 | 545 | 209 | 34.7 | 29.6 | 40.2 |
| 55-64 | 773 | 190 | 23.3 | 19.6 | 27.5 |
| 65-74 | 659 | 115 | 18.9 | 14.7 | 23.8 |
| 75+ | 383 | 34 | 8.9 | 5.3 | 14.6 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 264 | 85 | 36.5 | 28.4 | 45.3 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 32 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 44 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 1,010 | 272 | 30.4 | 26.5 | 34.7 |
| White | 1,813 | 469 | 29.2 | 26.0 | 32.5 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 368 | 81 | 23.1 | 17.9 | 29.3 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 891 | 201 | 26.9 | 22.7 | 31.6 |
| Some College | 821 | 259 | 35.8 | 31.0 | 40.8 |
| College Graduate | 1,192 | 357 | 33.5 | 29.5 | 37.7 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 156 | 47 | 33.9 | 24.1 | 45.3 |
| \$10-19,999 | 442 | 128 | 29.8 | 23.7 | 36.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,084 | 281 | 28.7 | 24.8 | 33.0 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,291 | 372 | 34.1 | 30.2 | 38.2 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,784 | 551 | 32.7 | 29.5 | 36.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 434 | 176 | 42.7 | 36.3 | 49.4 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 91 | 25 | 21.4 | 13.5 | 32.1 |
| Retired | 962 | 146 | 16.5 | 13.2 | 20.4 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 692 | 175 | 31.0 | 26.4 | 36.0 |
| Northeast | 696 | 202 | 28.7 | 24.5 | 33.2 |
| Metropolitan | 657 | 199 | 33.1 | 28.5 | 38.0 |
| Southeast | 624 | 169 | 30.3 | 26.0 | 35.0 |
| Southwest | 609 | 153 | 26.8 | 22.3 | 31.9 |

## HIV Test History - Females

Table 19. Percentage of adult females who have ever been tested for HIV, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | HIV Test, Ever, Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Ever Testing for HIV | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 4,581 | 1,271 | 34.4 | 32.2 | 36.6 |
| Sexual Orientation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,253 | 1,161 | 34.0 | 31.7 | 36.4 |
| LGBT** | 114 | 58 | 55.5 | 42.9 | 67.5 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 213 | 87 | 33.5 | 26.4 | 41.4 |
| 25-34 | 411 | 228 | 61.2 | 54.6 | 67.4 |
| 35-44 | 537 | 277 | 51.3 | 45.2 | 57.3 |
| 45-54 | 744 | 270 | 37.4 | 32.6 | 42.3 |
| 55-64 | 1,060 | 248 | 22.9 | 19.6 | 26.6 |
| 65-74 | 921 | 119 | 10.1 | 8.0 | 12.8 |
| 75+ | 645 | 30 | 5.8 | 3.7 | 9.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 428 | 139 | 29.9 | 24.3 | 36.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 31 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 23 | 48.8 | 29.4 | 68.5 |
| Hispanic | 1,478 | 406 | 34.3 | 30.7 | 38.2 |
| White | 2,464 | 659 | 34.6 | 31.6 | 37.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 576 | 128 | 30.6 | 24.8 | 37.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,167 | 240 | 29.1 | 24.7 | 33.8 |
| Some College | 1,293 | 400 | 36.9 | 33.0 | 41.0 |
| College Graduate | 1,533 | 500 | 39.2 | 35.7 | 42.9 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 364 | 110 | 33.0 | 26.0 | 40.9 |
| \$10-19,999 | 788 | 213 | 32.6 | 27.6 | 38.1 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,490 | 405 | 35.8 | 31.8 | 40.0 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,346 | 421 | 36.6 | 32.7 | 40.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,891 | 682 | 41.4 | 37.9 | 44.9 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 602 | 232 | 43.1 | 37.1 | 49.3 |
| Homemaker/Student | 764 | 205 | 32.3 | 27.3 | 37.8 |
| Retired | 1,308 | 147 | 12.6 | 10.3 | 15.4 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,117 | 298 | 33.7 | 29.8 | 37.9 |
| Northeast | 890 | 240 | 29.5 | 25.8 | 33.5 |
| Metropolitan | 906 | 289 | 36.6 | 32.4 | 41.0 |
| Southeast | 825 | 202 | 31.4 | 27.5 | 35.7 |
| Southwest | 843 | 242 | 35.3 | 31.1 | 39.7 |
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## Oral Health

## Questions:

"How long has it been since you last visited a dentist or a dental clinic for any reason? Include visits to dental specialists, such as orthodontists."
"How many of your permanent teeth have been removed because of tooth decay or gum disease?"
"How long has it been since you had your teeth cleaned by a dentist or dental hygienist?"

Regular dental visits are important in maintaining good oral health. In addition to care of the teeth and gums, dental visits are important in the early detection and treatment of oral diseases. Barriers include limited availability and access to dental services, lack of awareness of need, cost, and fear of dental procedures. ${ }^{8,9}$

## In New Mexico,

$38.7 \%$ of adults had not visited a dentist or dental clinic in the past year. This percentage was higher than that of the U.S. (34.7\%).
$\diamond$ Men were more likely than women to have gone a year or more without visiting a dentist or dental clinic; $43.9 \%$ and $33.6 \%$, respectively.
$\diamond$ There was little difference by age, although adults age 55 through 74 were more likely to have visited a dentist or dental clinic within the past year.
$\diamond$ By race/ethnicity, White adults were more likely to have visited a dentist in the past year than were Hispanic or American Indian adults.
Education was also associated with dental visits. Nearly sixty percent ( $55.3 \%$ ) of adults with less than a high school education had not visited a dentist in the past year while $24.1 \%$ of adults with a college degree had not visited a dentist in the past year. Annual household income followed a pattern nearly identical to that of education.
Adults residing in the Northeast Region and the central Metropolitan Region were more likely than those living in the Northwest, Southeast or Southwest Regions to have visited a dentist or dental clinic within the past year.
$46.2 \%$ of adults had lost one or more teeth due to decay or gum disease.
Adults who had lost one or more teeth to decay or gum disease were more likely to have been diagnosed with coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction or stroke.


## Time Since Last Oral Health Visit

Table 20. Percentage of adults with one year or more since last oral health visit, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | One Year or More Since Last Oral Health Visit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting One or More | Weighted Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { Int } \end{array}$ | fidence ${ }^{\text {al }}$ |
|  |  | Years Since Last Visit | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8,860 | 3,231 | 38.7 | 37.1 | 40.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,102 | 2,941 | 38.3 | 36.7 | 40.0 |
| LGBT | 222 | 76 | 45.5 | 35.8 | 55.5 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 479 | 188 | 37.6 | 32.2 | 43.3 |
| 25-34 | 819 | 357 | 45.2 | 40.5 | 50.0 |
| 35-44 | 1,018 | 393 | 39.8 | 35.7 | 44.1 |
| 45-54 | 1,466 | 541 | 38.1 | 34.7 | 41.7 |
| 55-64 | 2,058 | 693 | 34.4 | 31.6 | 37.4 |
| 65-74 | 1,761 | 603 | 36.4 | 33.1 | 39.8 |
| 75+ | 1,158 | 431 | 37.5 | 33.4 | 41.7 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 823 | 319 | 40.5 | 35.6 | 45.6 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 71 | 27 | 29.2 | 17.5 | 44.4 |
| Black/AA** | 112 | 39 | 44.8 | 30.8 | 59.7 |
| Hispanic | 2,849 | 1,206 | 44.0 | 41.4 | 46.7 |
| White | 4,721 | 1,539 | 32.9 | 30.9 | 35.0 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,042 | 596 | 55.3 | 50.8 | 59.6 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,351 | 1,047 | 42.8 | 39.7 | 45.8 |
| Some College | 2,327 | 861 | 37.1 | 34.2 | 40.1 |
| College Graduate | 3,001 | 675 | 24.1 | 21.6 | 26.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 577 | 312 | 52.8 | 46.7 | 58.7 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,386 | 748 | 53.1 | 49.0 | 57.2 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,802 | 1,133 | 42.7 | 39.8 | 45.7 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,843 | 592 | 23.2 | 20.9 | 25.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,124 | 1,405 | 38.0 | 35.7 | 40.3 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,150 | 595 | 49.5 | 45.2 | 53.7 |
| Homemaker/Student | 925 | 355 | 36.7 | 32.3 | 41.4 |
| Retired | 2.501 | 810 | 33.1 | 30.4 | 35.8 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,072 | 820 | 43.3 | 40.3 | 46.3 |
| Northeast | 1,766 | 530 | 33.8 | 30.9 | 36.8 |
| Metropolitan | 1,777 | 574 | 36.0 | 33.0 | 39.0 |
| Southeast | 1,623 | 715 | 46.9 | 43.8 | 49.9 |
| Southwest | 1,622 | 592 | 40.5 | 37.3 | 43.8 |

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Time Since last Oral Health Visit - Males

Table 21. Percentage of adult males with one year or more since last oral health visit, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | One Year or More Since Last Oral Health Visit Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting One or More Years Since Last Visit | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,729 | 1,526 | 43.9 | 41.5 | 46.4 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,381 | 1,385 | 44.0 | 41.4 | 46.5 |
| LGBT** | 97 | 39 | 52.4 | 37.6 | 66.8 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 240 | 102 | 40.7 | 32.9 | 49.0 |
| 25-34 | 362 | 195 | 54.3 | 47.3 | 61.1 |
| 35-44 | 416 | 210 | 50.3 | 43.9 | 56.6 |
| 45-54 | 625 | 255 | 43.3 | 37.9 | 48.8 |
| 55-64 | 878 | 321 | 34.5 | 30.4 | 38.9 |
| 65-74 | 747 | 261 | 38.7 | 33.7 | 44.0 |
| 75+ | 424 | 171 | 40.4 | 33.7 | 47.5 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 304 | 152 | 51.5 | 43.4 | 59.5 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 16 | 47.6 | 27.5 | 68.5 |
| Hispanic | 1,179 | 567 | 51.0 | 46.9 | 55.0 |
| White | 2,015 | 726 | 36.0 | 32.9 | 39.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 419 | 275 | 64.1 | 57.7 | 70.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,028 | 495 | 44.9 | 40.5 | 49.4 |
| Some College | 903 | 390 | 43.3 | 38.7 | 48.1 |
| College Graduate | 1,328 | 343 | 29.3 | 25.2 | 33.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 180 | 110 | 60.9 | 50.7 | 70.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 509 | 318 | 60.3 | 53.2 | 66.9 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,182 | 560 | 50.1 | 45.7 | 54.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,397 | 350 | 27.4 | 23.9 | 31.0 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,015 | 815 | 44.6 | 41.3 | 47.9 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 486 | 257 | 49.6 | 43.5 | 55.8 |
| Homemaker/Student** ${ }^{*}$ | 99 | 37 | 39.4 | 28.0 | 52.2 |
| Retired | 1,070 | 388 | 37.8 | 33.6 | 42.1 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 799 | 366 | 49.5 | 44.9 | 54.1 |
| Northeast | 777 | 253 | 38.3 | 33.8 | 43.1 |
| Metropolitan | 767 | 279 | 41.4 | 36.8 | 46.1 |
| Southeast | 702 | 349 | 52.7 | 48.1 | 57.2 |
| Southwest | 684 | 279 | 44.7 | 39.8 | 49.8 |
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## Time Since Last Oral Health Visit - Females

Table 22. Percentage of adult females with one year or more since last oral health visit, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | One Year or More Since Last Oral Health Visit Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting One or More | Weighted Percent | $95 \%$ <br> In | fidence |
|  |  | Years Since Last Visit | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,131 | 1,705 | 33.6 | 31.6 | 35.6 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,721 | 1,556 | 32.9 | 30.9 | 35.0 |
| LGBT** | 125 | 37 | 38.8 | 27.4 | 51.5 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 239 | 86 | 34.2 | 27.2 | 42.0 |
| 25-34 | 457 | 162 | 35.6 | 29.7 | 42.1 |
| 35-44 | 602 | 183 | 29.3 | 24.6 | 34.6 |
| 45-54 | 841 | 286 | 33.2 | 29.0 | 37.8 |
| 55-64 | 1,180 | 372 | 34.4 | 30.6 | 38.4 |
| 65-74 | 1,014 | 342 | 34.4 | 30.2 | 38.8 |
| 75+ | 734 | 260 | 35.3 | 30.5 | 40.5 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 519 | 167 | 30.9 | 25.8 | 36.5 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 59 | 23 | 41.9 | 24.7 | 61.3 |
| Hispanic | 1,670 | 639 | 37.4 | 34.0 | 40.9 |
| White | 2,706 | 813 | 30.0 | 27.5 | 32.6 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 623 | 321 | 47.0 | 41.3 | 52.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,323 | 552 | 40.5 | 36.4 | 44.7 |
| Some College | 1,424 | 471 | 31.5 | 28.0 | 35.1 |
| College Graduate | 1,673 | 332 | 19.1 | 16.6 | 21.9 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 397 | 202 | 47.5 | 40.4 | 54.8 |
| \$10-19,999 | 877 | 430 | 47.6 | 42.6 | 52.6 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,620 | 573 | 35.3 | 31.7 | 39.1 |
| \$50,000+ | 1,446 | 242 | 18.1 | 15.3 | 21.2 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,109 | 590 | 28.8 | 25.9 | 31.8 |
| Unemployed Unable to Work | 664 | 338 | 49.3 | 43.6 | 55.1 |
| Homemaker Student ${ }^{\Psi}$ | 826 | 318 | 36.2 | 31.4 | 41.2 |
| Retired | 1,431 | 422 | 28.8 | 25.6 | 32.3 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,273 | 454 | 37.4 | 33.7 | 41.2 |
| Northeast | 989 | 277 | 29.4 | 26.0 | 33.1 |
| Metropolitan | 1,010 | 295 | 30.8 | 27.2 | 34.7 |
| Southeast | 921 | 366 | 41.0 | 37.1 | 45.0 |
| Southwest | 938 | 313 | 36.3 | 32.3 | 40.5 |
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## Colorectal Cancer Screbning - Age 50-75

## Question:

"A blood stool test is a test that may use a special kit at home to determine whether the stool contains blood. Have you ever had this test using a home kit? "
"How long has it been since you had your last blood stool test using a home kit?"
"Sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy are exams in which a tube is inserted in the rectum to view the colon for signs of cancer or other health problems. Have you ever had either of these exams?"
"For a SIGMOIDOSCOPY, a flexible tube is inserted into the rectum to look for problems. A COLONOSCOPY is similar, but uses a longer tube, and you are usually given medication through a needle in your arm to make you sleepy and told to have someone else drive you home after the test. Was your MOST RECENT exam a sigmoidoscopy or a colonoscopy?"
"How long has it been since you had your last sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy?"

$\diamond$ Adults in this age range who were unemployed were less likely to have been screened.
$\diamond$ Adults in this age range who were residents of the central Metropolitan Region were more likely to have been screened for colorectal cancer than those who were residents of other Regions of the state.

## Colorectal Cancer Screiening - Age 50-75

Table 23. Percentage of adults age 50-75 who met recommendations for colorectal cancer screening, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Colorectal Cancer Screening - Age 50-75 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Colorectal Cancer Screening | Weighted <br> Percent (\%)§ | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 4.279 | 2,627 | 61.3 | 59.2 | 63.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,978 | 2,436 | 61.3 | 59.2 | 63.4 |
| LGBT** | 98 | 61 | 60.5 | 46.9 | 72.6 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 794 | 343 | 45.8 | 41.0 | 50.7 |
| 55-64 | 1,823 | 1,097 | 62.5 | 59.5 | 65.5 |
| 65-74 | 1,564 | 1,121 | 71.2 | 67.8 | 74.4 |
| 75 | 98 | 66 | 71.0 | 58.7 | 80.8 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 291 | 144 | 49.0 | 40.4 | 57.7 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 22 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 57 | 40 | 74.2 | 57.3 | 86.1 |
| Hispanic | 1,164 | 640 | 55.1 | 51.1 | 59.1 |
| White | 2.623 | 1.710 | 65.7 | 63.2 | 68.1 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 433 | 170 | 44.4 | 38.1 | 50.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,075 | 614 | 59.1 | 55.0 | 63.1 |
| Some College | 1,097 | 675 | 61.8 | 57.6 | 65.7 |
| College Graduate | 1,667 | 1,165 | 71.6 | 68.6 | 74.4 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 291 | 104 | 42.1 | 34.1 | 50.5 |
| \$10-19,999 | 618 | 331 | 53.6 | 47.9 | 59.2 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,311 | 786 | 61.2 | 57.5 | 64.8 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1.626 | 1.110 | 67.0 | 63.5 | 70.3 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,892 | 1,039 | 55.3 | 52.1 | 58.5 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 638 | 364 | 59.7 | 54.4 | 64.8 |
| Homemaker/Student | 275 | 136 | 48.5 | 40.5 | 56.5 |
| Retired | 1,465 | 1,082 | 74.1 | 70.8 | 77.2 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 996 | 587 | 52.6 | 48.4 | 56.7 |
| Northeast | 903 | 577 | 61.5 | 57.6 | 65.3 |
| Metropolitan | 832 | 596 | 69.8 | 65.7 | 73.6 |
| Southeast | 761 | 417 | 50.1 | 46.1 | 54.0 |
| Southwest | 787 | 450 | 53.5 | 49.4 | 57.6 |

## Colorectal Cancer Scrbening - Males Age 50-75

Table 24. Percentage of adult men age 50-75 who met recommendations for colorectal cancer screening. 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Colorectal Cancer Screening Among Adult Men Age 50-75 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Colorectal Cancer | Weighted Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { In } \end{array}$ | fidence $\mathrm{al}^{\ddagger}$ |
|  |  | Screening | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 1,802 | 1,120 | 61.2 | 58.0 | 64.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 1,669 | 1,031 | 61.0 | 57.7 | 64.3 |
| LGBT** | 44 | - | - | - | - |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 343 | 149 | 45.1 | 37.9 | 52.4 |
| 55-64 | 769 | 454 | 61.8 | 57.1 | 66.3 |
| 65-74 | 659 | 493 | 73.4 | 68.2 | 78.0 |
| 75** | 31 | - | - | - | - |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian** | 105 | 48 | 40.7 | 29.7 | 52.7 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 14 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 28 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 467 | 247 | 51.0 | 44.7 | 57.2 |
| White | 1,125 | 756 | 69.3 | 65.7 | 72.8 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 161 | 57 | 39.4 | 29.6 | 50.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 470 | 250 | 56.9 | 50.7 | 62.9 |
| Some College | 419 | 264 | 63.2 | 56.6 | 69.3 |
| College Graduate | 750 | 547 | 73.3 | 68.8 | 77.3 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 87 | 32 | 38.5 | 25.1 | 54.0 |
| \$10-19,999 | 217 | 107 | 46.4 | 37.2 | 55.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 546 | 334 | 63.0 | 57.6 | 68.1 |
| \$50,000 or more | 801 | 548 | 67.2 | 62.2 | 71.9 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 880 | 490 | 56.4 | 51.7 | 60.9 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 269 | 149 | 56.7 | 48.6 | 64.5 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Retired | 642 | 476 | 73.0 | 67.9 | 77.6 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 391 | 236 | 52.6 | 46.1 | 59.0 |
| Northeast | 399 | 261 | 63.3 | 57.4 | 68.8 |
| Metropolitan | 349 | 254 | 70.2 | 63.7 | 75.9 |
| Southeast | 325 | 178 | 48.6 | 42.6 | 54.6 |
| Southwest | 338 | 191 | 52.7 | 46.4 | 58.9 |
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## Colorectal Cancer Screening - Females Age 50-75

Table 25. Percentage of adult women age 50-75 who met recommendations for colorectal cancer screening, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Colorectal Cancer Screening Among Adult Women Age 50-75 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Colorectal Cancer Screening | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 2,477 | 1,507 | 61.4 | 58.6 | 64.1 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 2,309 | 1,405 | 61.6 | 58.7 | 64.4 |
| LGBT** | 54 | 32 | 57.9 | 40.9 | 73.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 451 | 194 | 46.5 | 40.2 | 52.9 |
| 55-64 | 1,054 | 643 | 63.2 | 59.1 | 67.2 |
| 65-74 | 905 | 628 | 69.3 | 64.7 | 73.6 |
| 75** | 67 | 42 | 69.9 | 55.2 | 81.5 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 186 | 96 | 54.2 | 42.5 | 65.4 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 29 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 697 | 393 | 59.1 | 54.0 | 64.0 |
| White | 1,498 | 954 | 62.4 | 58.8 | 65.8 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 272 | 113 | 48.5 | 40.5 | 56.6 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 605 | 364 | 61.4 | 55.8 | 66.6 |
| Some College | 678 | 411 | 60.6 | 55.2 | 65.7 |
| College Graduate | 917 | 618 | 70.0 | 65.9 | 73.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 204 | 72 | 44.1 | 34.6 | 54.1 |
| \$10-19,999 | 401 | 224 | 58.4 | 51.3 | 65.2 |
| \$20-49,999 | 765 | 452 | 59.5 | 54.3 | 64.5 |
| \$50,000 or more | 825 | 562 | 66.8 | 62.0 | 71.2 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,012 | 549 | 54.1 | 49.6 | 58.6 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 369 | 215 | 62.1 | 55.1 | 68.7 |
| Homemaker/Student | 266 | 133 | 49.7 | 41.6 | 57.7 |
| Retired | 823 | 606 | 75.1 | 70.7 | 79.0 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 605 | 351 | 52.6 | 47.4 | 57.8 |
| Northeast | 504 | 316 | 59.8 | 54.6 | 64.7 |
| Metropolitan | 483 | 342 | 69.5 | 64.2 | 74.4 |
| Southeast | 436 | 239 | 51.4 | 46.1 | 56.7 |
| Southwest | 449 | 259 | 54.2 | 48.9 | 59.5 |
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## Mammogram w/in Past Two Years - Women Age 50-74

## Question:

"A mammogram is an x-ray of each breast to look for breast cancer. Have you ever had a mammogram?"
"How long has it been since you had your last mammogram?"

Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer among women in New Mexico across all racial/ ethnic groups. Breast cancer accounts for one-third of all cancer cases in women, but less than 20 percent of the cancer deaths. The most effective method of detecting early-stage breast cancer is annual or bi-annual mammography. ${ }^{10,11}$

Healthy People 2020 established a new objective of mammogram within the past two years among women age 50 to 74. In HP2020, the objective is age adjusted in order to allow effective comparison of state estimates to a standard population. In 2014, the age-adjusted estimate of mammogram within the past two years among New Mexico women age 50 to 74 years was $71.7 \%$, below the HP2020 objective of $81.1 \%{ }^{12}$
Estimates presented on this page and the following page are not age-adjusted in order to provide estimates of the prevalence and distribution of mammogram among New Mexico women in this 50-74 year -old age group.

## In New Mexico,

$72.0 \%$ of women age 50 to 74 had a mammogram in the previous 2 years. This percentage was lower than that of the U.S. (78.1\%).
$\diamond$ Women age 50-54 were less likely to have had a mammogram in the past two years.
$\diamond$ There was no difference in history of mammogram by race/ethnicity or sexual orientation.
$\diamond$ Women age 50-74 who were college graduates were more likely to have had a mammogram in the past 2 years.
Women age 50-74 living in a household with an annual income of $\$ 50,000$ or more were more likely to report having had a mammogram in the past 2 years.

A greater percentage of women 50-74 living in the central Metropolitan Region of the state had a recent mammography screening (76.8\%) than women in the other Regions.

Mammogram Within Past 2 Years, Age 50-74

$\diamond$ Women age 50 to 74 with health care coverage were much more likely to have had a mammogram in the past 2 years than women without coverage: $74.6 \%$ and $42.3 \%$, respectively.

## Mammogram w/in Past Two Years - Women Age 50-74

Table 26. Percentage of Women Age 50-74 Who Have Had a Mammogram in the Past 2 Years, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Mammogram Within Past Two Years - Age 50-74 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Mammogram W/in Past 2 Years | Weighted <br> Percent <br> (\%)§ | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 2.506 | 1,780 | 72.0 | 69.4 | 74.4 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 2,339 | 1,659 | 71.9 | 69.2 | 74.4 |
| LGBT** | 53 | 42 | 80.6 | 63.2 | 91.0 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 461 | 303 | 67.0 | 60.9 | 72.6 |
| 55-64 | 1,101 | 792 | 73.8 | 70.0 | 77.3 |
| 65-74 | 944 | 685 | 73.2 | 68.9 | 77.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 195 | 147 | 76.7 | 66.1 | 84.8 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 28 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 713 | 514 | 74.5 | 69.9 | 78.6 |
| White | 1.500 | 1,056 | 70.6 | 67.3 | 73.8 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 281 | 173 | 64.3 | 56.6 | 71.4 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 618 | 428 | 71.9 | 66.9 | 76.5 |
| Some College | 681 | 472 | 70.5 | 65.4 | 75.1 |
| College Graduate | 922 | 706 | 78.9 | 75.2 | 82.2 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 209 | 136 | 69.3 | 60.1 | 77.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 404 | 251 | 66.0 | 59.3 | 72.1 |
| \$20-49,999 | 773 | 536 | 69.4 | 64.4 | 74.0 |
| \$50,000 or more | 838 | 638 | 75.9 | 71.4 | 79.8 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,036 | 706 | 68.4 | 64.2 | 72.4 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 382 | 264 | 73.7 | 67.1 | 79.3 |
| Homemaker/Student | 269 | 188 | 71.4 | 64.0 | 77.8 |
| Retired | 812 | 618 | 76.1 | 71.6 | 80.2 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 620 | 434 | 68.0 | 63.0 | 72.7 |
| Northeast | 516 | 364 | 70.2 | 65.5 | 74.6 |
| Metropolitan | 482 | 373 | 76.8 | 71.7 | 81.2 |
| Southeast | 436 | 303 | 66.5 | 61.3 | 71.4 |
| Southwest | 452 | 306 | 67.7 | 62.6 | 72.4 |
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## Pap Test Ever - Womien Age 21-65

## Question:

"A Pap test is a test for cancer of the cervix. Have you ever had a Pap test?"

The human papillomavirus (HPV) is the primary cause of cervical cancer. HPV infections are sexually transmitted and risk of infection increases with the number of sexual partners. The Pap test, which detects cellular changes in the cervix, is used to identify women at higher risk for developing cervical cancer. ${ }^{13}$

## In New Mexico,

$\diamond 92.9 \%$ of women age 21 to 65 had ever had a Pap test. This percentage was similar to that of the U.S. (91.2\%).
$\diamond$ American Indian women were less likely to have ever had a Pap test than women of Hispanic or White race/ethnicity. Small sample sizes preclude comparison of and between other groups.
$\diamond$ A lower percentage of women age 21-29 years have ever had a Pap test ( $81.2 \%$ ) compared to older women.
$\diamond 86.6 \%$ of LGBT women had ever had a Pap test. This percentage was lower than that of heterosexual women, $93.9 \%$.
$\diamond$ Women who were college graduates were more likely to have ever had a Pap test, $97.1 \%$.
$\diamond$ Women living in a household with an annual income of $\$ 50,000$ or more were more likely to have had a Pap test than were women living in a lower income household.
$\diamond$ Women residing in the Northwest Region were less likely to have ever had a Pap test.
$\diamond$ Unemployed women were less likely to have ever had a Pap test, $85.4 \%$.
$\diamond 90.5 \%$ of women with health care coverage had ever had a Pap Test compared to only $83.3 \%$ of women without coverage.





## PAP Test Ever - Women Age 21-65

Table 27. Percentage of Women Age 21-65 Who Have Ever Had a PAP Test, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | PAP Test Ever - Age 21-65 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total \# Reporting PAP } \\ \text { Test Ever } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weighted Percent (\%)§ | 95\% Confidence Interval $\ddagger$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,088 | 2,929 | 92.9 | 91.2 | 94.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 2,875 | 2,741 | 93.9 | 92.3 | 95.2 |
| LGBT | 91 | 80 | 86.6 | 75.8 | 93.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21-24 | 129 | 94 | 72.9 | 62.6 | 81.2 |
| 25-34 | 422 | 385 | 90.4 | 85.3 | 93.8 |
| 35-44 | 547 | 534 | 97.7 | 95.1 | 98.9 |
| 45-54 | 775 | 745 | 96.8 | 95.2 | 97.9 |
| 55-64 | 1,096 | 1,057 | 95.1 | 92.5 | 96.8 |
| 65 | 119 | 114 | 95.4 | 88.8 | 98.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 335 | 288 | 82.1 | 75.0 | 87.5 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 27 | 23 | 78.1 | 45.7 | 93.8 |
| Black/AA** | 38 | 37 | 91.0 | 57.1 | 98.7 |
| Hispanic | 1,149 | 1,079 | 91.7 | 88.7 | 94.0 |
| White | 1.472 | 1.441 | 97.3 | 95.7 | 98.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 374 | 338 | 92.7 | 89.0 | 95.2 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 749 | 691 | 87.4 | 82.4 | 91.2 |
| Some College | 871 | 830 | 93.9 | 90.9 | 95.9 |
| College Graduate | 1,092 | 1,069 | 97.1 | 95.0 | 98.4 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 266 | 236 | 85.8 | 78.7 | 90.8 |
| \$10-19,999 | 484 | 442 | 91.2 | 87.1 | 94.0 |
| \$20-49,999 | 959 | 912 | 94.3 | 91.8 | 96.1 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,097 | 1,078 | 96.3 | 92.9 | 98.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,720 | 1,659 | 95.4 | 93.3 | 96.8 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 487 | 441 | 88.0 | 82.4 | 91.9 |
| Homemaker/Student | 547 | 508 | 90.2 | 85.8 | 93.4 |
| Retired | 327 | 314 | 94.0 | 87.3 | 97.3 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 764 | 711 | 87.3 | 83.0 | 90.5 |
| Northeast | 601 | 581 | 95.9 | 93.4 | 97.4 |
| Metropolitan | 636 | 605 | 93.1 | 89.7 | 95.5 |
| Southeast | 535 | 507 | 91.6 | 87.6 | 94.3 |
| Southwest | 552 | 525 | 94.1 | 90.8 | 96.3 |
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## Pap Test w/in Past Three Years - Women Age 21-65

## Question:

"A Pap test is a test for cancer of the cervix. Have you ever had a Pap test?"
"How long has it been since you had your last Pap test?"

The human papillomavirus (HPV) is the primary cause of cervical cancer. HPV infections are sexually transmitted and risk of infection increases with the number of sexual partners. The Pap test, which detects cellular changes in the cervix, is used to identify women at higher risk for developing cervical cancer. ${ }^{13}$

## In New Mexico,

$\diamond 79.0 \%$ of women age 21 to 65 had a Pap test in the previous 3 years. The percentage was similar to that of the U.S. until this year, when it declined to be lower than that of the U.S. (82.7\%).
$\diamond$ There was no difference by race/ethnicity.
$\diamond$ A lower percentage of women age 21-29 and age 55-65 have had a Pap test in the past three years than women in the middle age groups.
$\diamond 57.3 \%$ of LGBT women had a Pap test within the past three years. This percentage was lower than that of heterosexual women, $80.8 \%$.
$\diamond$ Women who were college graduates were more likely to have had a Pap test in the past 3 years.
$\diamond$ Women living in a household with an annual income of $\$ 50,000$ or more were more likely to have had a Pap test in the past 3 years than were women living in a household with an annual income below $\$ 20,000$.
$\diamond$ After adjusting for differences in age distribution, students, who are younger on average than adults in other employment categories, were less likely to have had a Pap test in the past three years.
$\diamond$ Women residing in the Northwest Region had the lowest percentage with a recent Pap test. Lower percentages were also found in the Southeast and Southwest Regions.
$\diamond 81.7 \%$ of women with health care coverage had a Pap test in the past 3 years compared to only $65.2 \%$ of women without coverage.


## PaP Test w/in Past Thrde Years

Table 28. Percentage of Women Age 21-65 Who Have Had a PAP Test in the Past 3 Years, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | PAP Test Within Past Three Years - Age 21-65 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting PAP <br> Test W/in Past 3 Years | Weighted <br> Percent (\%)§ | 95\% Confidence Interval $\ddagger$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 2,394 | 1,861 | 79.0 | 76.4 | 81.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 2,225 | 1,748 | 80.8 | 78.3 | 83.1 |
| LGBT | 73 | 48 | 57.3 | 42.1 | 71.2 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21-24 | 117 | 81 | 65.6 | 53.9 | 75.7 |
| 25-34 | 389 | 318 | 80.6 | 74.2 | 85.7 |
| 35-44 | 478 | 394 | 84.1 | 79.3 | 88.0 |
| 45-54 | 588 | 465 | 81.7 | 77.3 | 85.4 |
| 55-64 | 739 | 549 | 75.9 | 71.2 | 80.1 |
| 65 | 83 | 54 | 71.5 | 57.7 | 82.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 302 | 230 | 74.8 | 67.1 | 81.2 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 25 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 30 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 905 | 728 | 79.6 | 75.2 | 83.3 |
| White | 1,077 | 818 | 80.5 | 77.2 | 83.4 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 297 | 217 | 77.2 | 70.0 | 83.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 555 | 401 | 70.1 | 63.6 | 75.8 |
| Some College | 671 | 518 | 81.0 | 76.7 | 84.7 |
| College Graduate | 870 | 725 | 86.0 | 82.5 | 88.9 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than $\$ 10,000$ | 215 | 144 | 66.6 | 57.5 | 74.7 |
| \$10-19,999 | 379 | 271 | 69.9 | 62.4 | 76.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 752 | 570 | 80.2 | 75.9 | 83.9 |
| \$50,000 or more | 842 | 719 | 87.6 | 83.7 | 90.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,380 | 1,108 | 83.4 | 80.4 | 86.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 328 | 233 | 69.1 | 61.0 | 76.1 |
| Homemaker/Student | 467 | 357 | 75.6 | 69.3 | 81.0 |
| Retired | 216 | 161 | 76.6 | 67.3 | 83.9 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 606 | 440 | 71.2 | 66.1 | 75.8 |
| Northeast | 483 | 403 | 84.0 | 79.7 | 87.5 |
| Metropolitan | 509 | 414 | 80.7 | 75.8 | 84.9 |
| Southeast | 361 | 269 | 74.5 | 68.8 | 79.5 |
| Southwest | 435 | 335 | 77.8 | 72.4 | 82.4 |
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## Diagnosed Arthritis

## Questions:

"Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you have some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia?"

There are over 100 forms of rheumatic disease commonly referred to as arthritis, including osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, fibromyalgia, and gout. Arthritis is the most common cause of disability in the U.S. ${ }^{14}$

## In New Mexico,

$25.8 \%$ of adults had been diagnosed with some form of arthritis. This was similar to the U.S., 26.0\%.

The percentage of women with diagnosed arthritis (29.7\%) was significantly higher than that of adult men (21.8\%). This association with gender has been consistent over time. Among adults less than 45 years old, there was not a significant difference in arthritis prevalence rates between males and females. In the age groups from 45 years and older, females had a significantly higher prevalence of diagnosed arthritis.
$\Delta$ Arthritis is strongly associated with age, the prevalence among adults over age 65 years was 50\%.
$\Delta$ The percentage of adults with diagnosed arthritis was higher among White adults than among American Indian, AsianNHOPI, and Hispanic adults. However, the White population is older, on average, than the others. After adjusting for age, the differences were no longer significant. Small sample size made comparison of other groups difficult.
$\diamond$ Among adults living in households with an annual income of $\$ 50,000$ or more, the prevalence of diagnosed arthritis was lower than those of all other income categories.
The prevalence of diagnosed arthritis did not vary by sexual orientation or education level.
$\diamond$ The prevalence of diagnosed arthritis was slightly higher among adult residents of the Northeast and Southwest Regions of the state than among adult residents of the Northwest, Southeast, or Metropolitans Regions.

| Diagnosed Arthritis NM andU.S.(median), 2001-2014* |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ $=$ UM $=$ U.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diagnosed Arthritis by Gender, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prevalence of Specific Conditions <br> by Arthritis Status, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Adults with diagnosed arthritis were more likely to have fair or poor health status, to have diabetes, cardiovascular disease, or to be obese, disabled, or unable to work.

## Diagnosed Arthritis

Table 29. Percentage of adults with diagnosed arthritis, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Arthritis |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Diagnosed Arthritis | Weighted <br> Percent <br> (\%)§ | 95\% Confidence Interval $\ddagger$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8.909 | 2,888 | 25.8 | 24.5 | 27.2 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,140 | 2,644 | 25.8 | 24.5 | 27.2 |
| LGBT | 222 | 72 | 28.5 | 20.6 | 38.0 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 485 | 20 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 9.6 |
| 25-34 | 822 | 76 | 10.4 | 7.8 | 13.6 |
| 35-44 | 1,019 | 144 | 15.5 | 12.4 | 19.2 |
| 45-54 | 1,469 | 380 | 26.0 | 23.0 | 29.3 |
| 55-64 | 2,063 | 785 | 38.7 | 35.8 | 41.7 |
| 65-74 | 1,772 | 828 | 48.3 | 45.0 | 51.7 |
| 75+ | 1,178 | 632 | 52.4 | 48.3 | 56.4 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 825 | 148 | 14.0 | 11.2 | 17.5 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 71 | 10 | 10.7 | 4.6 | 22.7 |
| Black/AA** | 112 | 45 | 25.5 | 16.5 | 37.2 |
| Hispanic | 2,869 | 808 | 23.2 | 21.2 | 25.4 |
| White | 4.747 | 1,777 | 31.2 | 29.3 | 33.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,055 | 347 | 26.8 | 23.3 | 30.7 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,370 | 763 | 23.6 | 21.3 | 25.9 |
| Some College | 2,335 | 784 | 27.2 | 24.7 | 29.8 |
| College Graduate | 3,009 | 958 | 25.9 | 23.9 | 28.1 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 580 | 200 | 31.1 | 26.0 | 36.8 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,393 | 502 | 28.9 | 25.7 | 32.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,826 | 950 | 25.2 | 23.0 | 27.7 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2.846 | 817 | 23.2 | 21.1 | 25.4 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,133 | 883 | 16.9 | 15.3 | 18.6 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,156 | 544 | 41.7 | 37.6 | 45.8 |
| Homemaker/Student | 939 | 205 | 15.2 | 12.4 | 18.5 |
| Retired | 2,519 | 1,213 | 48.0 | 45.2 | 50.8 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,081 | 627 | 21.7 | 19.6 | 24.1 |
| Northeast | 1,770 | 587 | 28.2 | 25.8 | 30.8 |
| Metropolitan | 1,786 | 570 | 25.4 | 23.0 | 28.0 |
| Southeast | 1,640 | 538 | 24.3 | 22.1 | 26.6 |
| Southwest | 1,632 | 566 | 28.4 | 25.7 | 31.2 |

$\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Diagnosed Arthritis <br> Males

Table 30. Percentage of adult males with diagnosed arthritis, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Arthritis Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Diagnosed Arthritis | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,747 | 1,054 | 21.8 | 20.0 | 23.7 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,394 | 964 | 21.7 | 19.9 | 23.7 |
| LGBT** | 97 | 22 | 24.2 | 12.6 | 41.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 243 | 6 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 12.3 |
| 25-34 | 364 | 29 | 9.2 | 5.7 | 14.5 |
| 35-44 | 416 | 47 | 12.8 | 9.3 | 17.3 |
| 45-54 | 627 | 137 | 22.2 | 17.9 | 27.2 |
| 55-64 | 880 | 305 | 32.9 | 28.8 | 37.3 |
| 65-74 | 749 | 318 | 43.5 | 38.6 | 48.6 |
| 75+ | 431 | 203 | 45.7 | 39.0 | 52.5 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 305 | 52 | 12.6 | 8.8 | 17.7 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 17 | 16.7 | 8.7 | 29.7 |
| Hispanic | 1,186 | 289 | 20.4 | 17.4 | 23.7 |
| White | 2,026 | 645 | 25.3 | 22.8 | 28.0 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 427 | 115 | 21.2 | 16.6 | 26.6 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,037 | 279 | 18.1 | 15.4 | 21.1 |
| Some College | 903 | 265 | 24.1 | 20.4 | 28.3 |
| College Graduate | 1,329 | 382 | 23.3 | 20.4 | 26.5 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 182 | 57 | 27.7 | 19.8 | 37.3 |
| \$10-19,999 | 512 | 152 | 24.2 | 19.3 | 29.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,186 | 344 | 20.6 | 17.6 | 24.0 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,400 | 378 | 21.1 | 18.4 | 24.1 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,020 | 383 | 15.3 | 13.2 | 17.7 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 491 | 191 | 32.7 | 27.4 | 38.5 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 101 | 4 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 5.6 |
| Retired | 1,076 | 461 | 42.4 | 38.2 | 46.6 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 807 | 226 | 21.5 | 18.1 | 25.2 |
| Northeast | 774 | 218 | 23.0 | 19.8 | 26.6 |
| Metropolitan | 769 | 199 | 20.0 | 16.8 | 23.7 |
| Southeast | 709 | 194 | 19.6 | 16.7 | 22.9 |
| Southwest | 688 | 217 | 26.9 | 22.8 | 31.5 |

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## DiAgnosed Arthritis <br> Females

Table 31. Percentage of adult females with diagnosed arthritis, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Arthritis Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting | Weighted <br> Percent <br> $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% <br> In | fidence |
| TOTAL | 5,162 | 1,834 | 29.7 | 27.9 | 31.6 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,746 | 1,680 | 29.7 | 27.8 | 31.7 |
| LGBT** | 125 | 50 | 32.7 | 23.2 | 43.8 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 242 | 14 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 11.9 |
| 25-34 | 458 | 47 | 11.5 | 8.2 | 15.9 |
| 35-44 | 603 | 97 | 18.2 | 13.5 | 24.1 |
| 45-54 | 842 | 243 | 29.6 | 25.5 | 34.1 |
| 55-64 | 1,183 | 480 | 44.1 | 40.0 | 48.2 |
| 65-74 | 1,023 | 510 | 52.6 | 48.2 | 57.1 |
| 75+ | 747 | 429 | 57.3 | 52.2 | 62.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 520 | 96 | 15.2 | 11.3 | 20.2 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 59 | 28 | 34.7 | 19.9 | 53.2 |
| Hispanic | 1,683 | 519 | 25.9 | 23.2 | 28.9 |
| White | 2,721 | 1,132 | 36.9 | 34.2 | 39.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 628 | 232 | 32.1 | 27.1 | 37.6 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,333 | 484 | 29.4 | 26.0 | 33.2 |
| Some College | 1,432 | 519 | 29.8 | 26.6 | 33.3 |
| College Graduate | 1,680 | 576 | 28.5 | 25.7 | 31.5 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 398 | 143 | 33.4 | 26.9 | 40.5 |
| \$10-19,999 | 881 | 350 | 32.6 | 28.4 | 37.2 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,640 | 606 | 29.9 | 26.6 | 33.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,446 | 439 | 25.7 | 22.7 | 29.0 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,113 | 500 | 19.1 | 16.9 | 21.5 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 665 | 353 | 49.7 | 44.0 | 55.5 |
| Homemaker/Student | 838 | 201 | 17.9 | 14.6 | 21.9 |
| Retired | 1,443 | 752 | 53.0 | 49.3 | 56.6 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,274 | 401 | 22.0 | 19.3 | 24.9 |
| Northeast | 996 | 369 | 33.2 | 29.8 | 36.8 |
| Metropolitan | 1,017 | 371 | 30.6 | 27.1 | 34.2 |
| Southeast | 931 | 344 | 29.0 | 25.9 | 32.4 |
| Southwest | 944 | 349 | 29.8 | 26.4 | 33.4 |

$\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Current Diagnosed Asthma

## Questions:

"Has a doctor, nurse or other health professional ever told you that you had asthma?"
"Do you still have asthma?"
Asthma is a chronic respiratory disease characterized by episodes or attacks of inflammation and narrowing of small airways. Asthma attacks can vary from mild to life threatening. Symptoms can include shortness of breath, cough, wheezing, and chest pain or tightness. ${ }^{15}$

## In New Mexico,

$9.9 \%$ of adults currently had asthma at the time of the interview. There was not a significant difference between New Mexico and the U.S. (9.2\%).
$\diamond$ The prevalence of current asthma among LGBT was significantly higher than among heterosexual adults, $14.9 \%$ and $9.9 \%$, respectively.
$\diamond$ The percentage of women who currently had asthma ( $12.2 \%$ ) was higher than that of men (7.4\%).
$\diamond$ Adults who were unable to work were more likely to report current asthma than those who were employed, retired, homemaker, or a student. Adults with current asthma were more likely to have reported that they are unable to work ( $16.5 \%$ ) than were those without current asthma (7.3\%).
$\diamond$ Low income adults were significantly more likely to report current asthma than those with higher household income. Fifteen percent of adults with household income under $\$ 10,000$ reported current asthma, as did $12 \%$ of those with household income from $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 19,999$.
$\diamond$ The prevalence of current asthma did not vary significantly by age, education level, or by Region of residence.
Adults with current asthma were more likely to report disability/activity limitation (38.7\%) compared to those without current asthma (21.5\%).
$\diamond$ Adults with current asthma were more likely to report fair or poor health ( $32.9 \%$ ) than adults without current asthma 19.2(\%).


## Current Diagnosed Asthma

Table 32. Percentage of adults who currently have diagnosed asthma, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Current Diagnosed Asthma |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Current Diagnosed Asthma | Weighted Percent (\%)§ | 95\% Confidence Interval $\ddagger$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8,879 | 881 | 9.9 | 8.9 | 10.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,114 | 796 | 9.9 | 8.9 | 11.0 |
| LGBT | 221 | 40 | 14.9 | 9.7 | 22.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 480 | 54 | 11.5 | 8.4 | 15.5 |
| 25-34 | 819 | 83 | 10.3 | 7.7 | 13.7 |
| 35-44 | 1,015 | 102 | 11.1 | 8.5 | 14.4 |
| 45-54 | 1,472 | 163 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 11.0 |
| 55-64 | 2,058 | 202 | 9.2 | 7.6 | 11.1 |
| 65-74 | 1,764 | 170 | 8.9 | 7.3 | 10.8 |
| 75+ | 1,171 | 103 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 11.5 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 829 | 89 | 9.2 | 6.6 | 12.6 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 70 | 2 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 12.0 |
| Black/AA | 110 | 11 | 13.8 | 5.8 | 29.3 |
| Hispanic | 2,861 | 251 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 11.0 |
| White | 4,726 | 503 | 10.6 | 9.3 | 11.9 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,052 | 101 | 9.0 | 6.8 | 11.8 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,365 | 219 | 8.3 | 6.8 | 10.2 |
| Some College | 2,329 | 263 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 13.7 |
| College Graduate | 2,995 | 285 | 9.7 | 8.2 | 11.4 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 578 | 74 | 15.0 | 10.5 | 21.0 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,385 | 178 | 12.0 | 9.6 | 15.0 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,813 | 257 | 8.2 | 6.9 | 9.8 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,842 | 254 | 9.7 | 8.1 | 11.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,124 | 355 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 9.5 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,156 | 196 | 16.4 | 13.4 | 20.0 |
| Homemaker/Student | 935 | 84 | 9.7 | 7.1 | 13.3 |
| Retired | 2,505 | 231 | 9.4 | 7.9 | 11.1 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,080 | 200 | 8.6 | 7.1 | 10.4 |
| Northeast | 1,767 | 165 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 11.3 |
| Metropolitan | 1,778 | 191 | 10.6 | 8.9 | 12.6 |
| Southeast | 1,634 | 157 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 9.7 |
| Southwest | 1,620 | 168 | 10.5 | 8.6 | 12.8 |

[^21]
## Current Diagnosed Asthma

Table 33. Percentage of adult males who currently have diagnosed asthma, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Current Diagnosed Asthma Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Current Diagnosed Asthma | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Weighted } \\ \text { Percent } \\ (\%)^{\S} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,737 | 259 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 8.8 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,386 | 229 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 8.9 |
| LGBT** | 97 | 10 | 8.1 | 3.4 | 17.8 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 241 | 22 | 8.4 | 5.3 | 13.3 |
| 25-34 | 361 | 27 | 8.5 | 5.2 | 13.7 |
| 35-44 | 414 | 34 | 10.3 | 6.8 | 15.2 |
| 45-54 | 628 | 44 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 8.3 |
| 55-64 | 880 | 52 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 7.8 |
| 65-74 | 749 | 54 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 8.9 |
| 75+ | 428 | 25 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 12.7 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 305 | 20 | 8.3 | 4.3 | 15.3 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 52 | 2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 3.3 |
| Hispanic | 1,183 | 67 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 8.8 |
| White | 2,020 | 160 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 11.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 424 | 27 | 7.4 | 4.6 | 11.8 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,035 | 71 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 7.8 |
| Some College | 901 | 60 | 8.4 | 6.0 | 11.7 |
| College Graduate | 1,326 | 95 | 8.0 | 5.9 | 10.7 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 182 | 15 | 9.6 | 4.7 | 18.4 |
| \$10-19,999 | 507 | 40 | 8.1 | 5.2 | 12.2 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,181 | 66 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 7.9 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,400 | 106 | 8.9 | 6.8 | 11.7 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,016 | 121 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 8.4 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 490 | 53 | 12.0 | 8.2 | 17.1 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 99 | 10 | 9.2 | 4.5 | 18.0 |
| Retired | 1,074 | 71 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 9.4 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 804 | 44 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 7.6 |
| Northeast | 777 | 53 | 7.7 | 5.6 | 10.4 |
| Metropolitan | 766 | 64 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 11.2 |
| Southeast | 707 | 47 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 7.6 |
| Southwest | 683 | 51 | 8.2 | 5.9 | 11.4 |

[^22]
## Current Diagnosed Asthma - Females

Table 34. Percentage of adult females who currently have diagnosed asthma, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Current Diagnosed Asthma Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Current Diagnosed Asthma | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,142 | 622 | 12.2 | 10.9 | 13.7 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,728 | 567 | 12.2 | 10.8 | 13.8 |
| LGBT** | 124 | 30 | 21.5 | 13.3 | 33.0 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 239 | 32 | 14.8 | 9.8 | 21.6 |
| 25-34 | 458 | 56 | 12.2 | 8.6 | 17.1 |
| 35-44 | 601 | 68 | 12.0 | 8.4 | 16.8 |
| 45-54 | 844 | 119 | 12.3 | 9.8 | 15.4 |
| 55-64 | 1,178 | 150 | 12.8 | 10.3 | 15.8 |
| 65-74 | 1,015 | 116 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 14.2 |
| 75+ | 743 | 78 | 9.6 | 7.0 | 12.9 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 524 | 69 | 9.9 | 7.2 | 13.5 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 33 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 58 | 9 | 27.5 | 12.1 | 51.3 |
| Hispanic | 1,678 | 184 | 12.1 | 9.9 | 14.8 |
| White | 2,706 | 343 | 11.9 | 10.3 | 13.8 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 628 | 74 | 10.5 | 7.4 | 14.6 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,330 | 148 | 11.1 | 8.5 | 14.4 |
| Some College | 1,428 | 203 | 14.5 | 12.0 | 17.3 |
| College Graduate | 1,669 | 190 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 13.7 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 396 | 59 | 18.6 | 12.3 | 27.0 |
| \$10-19,999 | 878 | 138 | 15.1 | 11.6 | 19.3 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,632 | 191 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 13.3 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,442 | 148 | 10.7 | 8.5 | 13.2 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,108 | 234 | 10.5 | 8.8 | 12.5 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 666 | 143 | 20.4 | 16.0 | 25.6 |
| Homemaker/Student | 836 | 74 | 9.9 | 6.9 | 13.9 |
| Retired | 1,431 | 160 | 11.6 | 9.4 | 14.2 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,276 | 156 | 12.0 | 9.8 | 14.7 |
| Northeast | 990 | 112 | 11.2 | 9.1 | 13.7 |
| Metropolitan | 1,012 | 127 | 12.8 | 10.3 | 15.8 |
| Southeast | 927 | 110 | 10.7 | 8.6 | 13.2 |
| Southwest | 937 | 117 | 12.7 | 9.9 | 16.2 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\leftrightarrows$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Diagnosed Cancer

## Questions:

"Has a doctor, nurse or other health professional ever told you that you had skin cancer?"
"...any other types of cancer?"

Cancer is a term used for diseases in which abnormal cells divide without control and are able to invade other tissues. There are over 100 different types of cancer. ${ }^{10}$

The skin cancer question does not allow for identification of melanoma, a serious form of skin cancer. Roughly $75 \%$ of skin cancer survivors have had forms of skin cancer that are rarely fatal and do not require treatment beyond surgery. ${ }^{11}$ Consequently, estimates of cancer are presented in two ways, here, with skin cancer included under Any Cancer, and excluded under Any Non-skin Cancer.

## In New Mexico,

$\diamond 11.0 \%$ of adults had a history of cancer of any type and $6.9 \%$ of adults had a history of cancer other than skin cancer. There was no difference between NM and the U.S.
Women ( $12.1 \%$ and $8.6 \%$ ) were more likely to have a history of cancer than were men $(9.9 \%$ and 5.1\%).
$\diamond$ There was a strong association with age, older adults being much more likely to have a history of cancer.
$\diamond$ There was no measurable difference by sexual orientation.

History of any cancer was higher among White adults (18.2\%) than all other racial/ethnic groups and history of non-skin cancer was higher among White adults ( $9.8 \%$ ) than among American Indian, Asian NHOPI, and Hispanic adults.
$\diamond$ Adults who were retired or unable to work were more likely to have a history of skin or other type of cancer. Adjustment for age eliminated the difference between retired and categories other than unable to work. Adults who reported that they were unable to work were significantly more likely to have a history of any cancer and any non-skin cancer.
$\diamond$ History of skin cancer was higher among adults with higher education levels.
$\diamond$ Adults with history of cancer were more likely to currently have fair or poor general health status, $30.5 \%$ versus $19.5 \%$.


Adults who had a history of cancer were more likely to have some form of disability, $40.1 \%$ versus $21.2 \%$.

## Diagnosed Cancer, Any Type

Table 35. Percentage of adults with history of diagnosis of any type of cancer, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Res ponded to the Question* | Diagnosed Cancer - Any Type |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting Diagnosed Cancer | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence <br> Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8.917 | 1,397 | 11.0 | 10.2 | 11.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,148 | 1,281 | 10.8 | 10.0 | 11.7 |
| LGBT | 222 | 35 | 15.3 | 9.3 | 24.2 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 486 | 5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.5 |
| 25-34 | 825 | 33 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 5.9 |
| 35-44 | 1,021 | 52 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 8.3 |
| 45-54 | 1,470 | 125 | 8.3 | 6.6 | 10.3 |
| 55-64 | 2,064 | 319 | 14.9 | 12.9 | 17.2 |
| 65-74 | 1,774 | 437 | 24.2 | 21.5 | 27.2 |
| 75+ | 1,176 | 411 | 31.2 | 27.5 | 35.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 832 | 29 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 6.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 71 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 5.5 |
| Black/AA** | 112 | 10 | 7.2 | 3.3 | 15.0 |
| Hispanic | 2,873 | 229 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 7.0 |
| White | 4,744 | 1,080 | 18.2 | 16.7 | 19.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,061 | 111 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 9.4 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,373 | 309 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 9.5 |
| Some College | 2,336 | 370 | 12.5 | 10.8 | 14.3 |
| College Graduate | 3,007 | 590 | 15.4 | 13.7 | 17.1 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 581 | 61 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 11.5 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,395 | 200 | 10.4 | 8.4 | 12.7 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,827 | 472 | 10.9 | 9.5 | 12.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,848 | 459 | 11.9 | 10.5 | 13.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,141 | 408 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 8.2 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,160 | 174 | 12.0 | 9.6 | 14.9 |
| Homemaker/Student | 939 | 85 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 7.6 |
| Retired | 2.515 | 711 | 26.2 | 23.9 | 28.8 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,086 | 303 | 8.4 | 7.1 | 9.8 |
| Northeast | 1,770 | 279 | 11.6 | 10.1 | 13.2 |
| Metropolitan | 1,787 | 289 | 11.5 | 9.9 | 13.2 |
| Southeast | 1,643 | 265 | 12.0 | 10.5 | 13.8 |
| Southwest | 1,631 | 261 | 10.1 | 8.7 | 11.6 |

[^23]
## Diagnosed Cancer, Any Type - Males

Table 36. Percentage of adult males with history of diagnosis of any type of cancer, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Cancer - Any Type Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting Diagnosed | Weighted <br> Percent | 95\% <br> In | fidence |
|  |  | Cancer | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,754 | 581 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 11.1 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,401 | 532 | 9.8 | 8.6 | 11.0 |
| LGBT** | 97 | 14 | 16.3 | 7.0 | 33.5 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 244 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 25-34 | 364 | 12 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 6.6 |
| 35-44 | 417 | 11 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 8.2 |
| 45-54 | 628 | 39 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 8.0 |
| 55-64 | 880 | 127 | 13.2 | 10.5 | 16.4 |
| 65-74 | 752 | 201 | 24.6 | 20.7 | 29.0 |
| 75+ | 432 | 184 | 38.3 | 31.8 | 45.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 307 | 14 | 5.6 | 2.6 | 11.5 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 5 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 14.0 |
| Hispanic | 1,190 | 76 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 5.3 |
| White | 2,025 | 461 | 17.3 | 15.2 | 19.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 429 | 40 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 9.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,041 | 122 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 9.0 |
| Some College | 905 | 130 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 13.0 |
| College Graduate | 1,328 | 286 | 15.8 | 13.5 | 18.5 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 182 | 22 | 10.0 | 5.3 | 18.0 |
| \$10-19,999 | 514 | 60 | 8.6 | 5.9 | 12.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,189 | 185 | 8.8 | 7.1 | 10.8 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,400 | 239 | 11.4 | 9.6 | 13.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,023 | 166 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 6.9 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 491 | 64 | 9.7 | 6.5 | 14.2 |
| Homemaker/Student** ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 101 | 3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 4.6 |
| Retired | 1,080 | 345 | 29.3 | 25.6 | 33.3 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 807 | 124 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 11.2 |
| Northeast | 778 | 114 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 12.4 |
| Metropolitan | 771 | 121 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 12.6 |
| Southeast | 710 | 105 | 10.4 | 8.4 | 12.8 |
| Southwest | 688 | 117 | 9.6 | 7.8 | 11.9 |
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## Diagnosed Cancer, Any Type - Females

Table 37. Percentage of adult females with history of diagnosis of any type of cancer, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Cancer - Any Type Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting Diagnosed | Weighted Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { Int } \end{array}$ | fidence |
|  |  | Cancer | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,163 | 816 | 12.1 | 10.9 | 13.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,747 | 749 | 11.8 | 10.7 | 13.1 |
| LGBT** | 125 | 21 | 14.3 | 8.4 | 23.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 242 | 5 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 3.1 |
| 25-34 | 461 | 21 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 7.5 |
| 35-44 | 604 | 41 | 7.7 | 5.2 | 11.3 |
| 45-54 | 842 | 86 | 11.1 | 8.4 | 14.4 |
| 55-64 | 1,184 | 192 | 16.5 | 13.7 | 19.8 |
| 65-74 | 1,022 | 236 | 23.9 | 20.1 | 28.1 |
| 75+ | 744 | 227 | 26.0 | 22.1 | 30.5 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 525 | 15 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 3.0 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 59 | 5 | 9.5 | 3.3 | 24.3 |
| Hispanic | 1,683 | 153 | 7.8 | 6.3 | 9.6 |
| White | 2,719 | 619 | 19.1 | 17.1 | 21.3 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 632 | 71 | 8.1 | 6.0 | 11.0 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,332 | 187 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 11.3 |
| Some College | 1,431 | 240 | 14.5 | 12.2 | 17.1 |
| College Graduate | 1,679 | 304 | 14.9 | 12.8 | 17.3 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 399 | 39 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 10.3 |
| \$10-19,999 | 881 | 140 | 11.7 | 9.3 | 14.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,638 | 287 | 13.0 | 10.9 | 15.3 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,448 | 220 | 12.6 | 10.4 | 15.2 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,118 | 242 | 9.4 | 7.8 | 11.2 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 669 | 110 | 14.1 | 10.8 | 18.1 |
| Homemaker/Student ${ }^{\Psi}$ | 838 | 82 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 9.0 |
| Retired | 1,435 | 366 | 23.5 | 20.6 | 26.7 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,279 | 179 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 9.7 |
| Northeast | 992 | 165 | 13.0 | 10.9 | 15.4 |
| Metropolitan | 1,016 | 168 | 12.8 | 10.7 | 15.3 |
| Southeast | 933 | 160 | 13.6 | 11.4 | 16.2 |
| Southwest | 943 | 144 | 10.5 | 8.6 | 12.7 |
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## DIAGNOSED NON-SKIN CANCER

Table 38. Percentage of adults with history of diagnosis of any type of cancer, excluding skin, New Mexico, 2014.

|  |  |  |  |  | Diagnosis of Any Cancer, Excluding Skin |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Diagnosed non-skin Cancer - Males

Table 39. Percentage of adult males with history of diagnosis of any type of cancer, excluding skin, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosis of Any Cancer, Excluding Skin Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting Diagnosis of Any Cancer | Weighted Percent (\%) ${ }^{\S}$ | $95 \%$ Int <br> Lower | fidence ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Upper |
|  |  | Any Cancer | (\%) ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |  | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,758 | 283 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 6.1 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,405 | 259 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 6.2 |
| LGBT** | 96 | 8 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 13.5 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 244 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 25-34 | 364 | 7 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 6.0 |
| 35-44 | 418 | 6 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 7.2 |
| 45-54 | 629 | 19 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 5.1 |
| 55-64 | 882 | 59 | 6.0 | 4.3 | 8.3 |
| 65-74 | 752 | 104 | 12.9 | 10.0 | 16.4 |
| 75+ | 432 | 84 | 17.4 | 13.3 | 22.5 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 308 | 12 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 10.6 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 4 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 12.0 |
| Hispanic | 1,191 | 55 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 4.3 |
| White | 2,027 | 196 | 7.5 | 6.0 | 9.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 429 | 22 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 6.7 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,041 | 71 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 5.9 |
| Some College | 907 | 62 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 7.0 |
| College Graduate | 1,330 | 126 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 9.9 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 182 | 15 | 7.2 | 3.3 | 15.0 |
| \$10-19,999 | 513 | 37 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 9.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,191 | 87 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 5.9 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,402 | 104 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 6.8 |
| EMPLOYMENT  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,026 | 73 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 3.6 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 491 | 46 | 7.7 | 4.8 | 12.2 |
| Homemaker/Student | 101 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Retired | 1,081 | 161 | 14.0 | 11.6 | 16.9 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 809 | 66 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 7.0 |
| Northeast | 779 | 52 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 5.9 |
| Metropolitan | 770 | 57 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 7.6 |
| Southeast | 711 | 50 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 6.7 |
| Southwest | 689 | 58 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 6.8 |

[^26]
## Diagnosed Non-skin Cancer - Females

Table 40. Percentage of adult females with history of diagnosis of any type of cancer, excluding skin, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosis of Any Cancer, Excluding Skin Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting Diagnosis of Any Cancer | Weighted Percent (\%) ${ }^{\S}$ | $95 \%$ <br> Lower | fidence al ${ }^{\text { }}$ Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,165 | 536 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 9.7 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,749 | 494 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 9.6 |
| LGBT** | 125 | 12 | 8.9 | 4.3 | 17.6 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 242 | 5 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 3.1 |
| 25-34 | 461 | 18 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 7.1 |
| 35-44 | 604 | 34 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 10.3 |
| 45-54 | 842 | 61 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 10.2 |
| 55-64 | 1,184 | 139 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 15.3 |
| 65-74 | 1,022 | 144 | 16.6 | 13.3 | 20.6 |
| 75+ | 745 | 132 | 15.3 | 12.2 | 18.9 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 525 | 13 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 2.8 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 59 | 5 | 9.5 | 3.3 | 24.3 |
| Hispanic | 1,683 | 129 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 8.6 |
| White | 2,719 | 372 | 12.1 | 10.4 | 13.9 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 632 | 60 | 7.3 | 5.2 | 10.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,333 | 131 | 7.0 | 5.4 | 9.1 |
| Some College | 1,432 | 160 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 13.0 |
| College Graduate | 1,679 | 176 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 10.5 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 398 | 31 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 9.8 |
| \$10-19,999 | 883 | 104 | 9.3 | 7.1 | 12.1 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,638 | 177 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 11.3 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,448 | 143 | 8.4 | 6.7 | 10.7 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,118 | 155 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 8.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 668 | 92 | 12.0 | 9.0 | 15.7 |
| Homemaker/Student | 838 | 53 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 6.8 |
| Retired | 1,438 | 225 | 15.5 | 13.0 | 18.3 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,280 | 123 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 7.7 |
| Northeast | 991 | 112 | 9.3 | 7.5 | 11.5 |
| Metropolitan | 1,017 | 109 | 9.0 | 7.2 | 11.2 |
| Southeast | 933 | 111 | 10.7 | 8.7 | 13.2 |
| Southwest | 944 | 81 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 8.9 |
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## Any Diagnosed Cardiovascular Disease - Adults Age 50+

## Question:

"Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you had any of the following:
...a heart attack, also called a myocardial infarction? ...angina or coronary heart disease?
...a stroke?

Heart disease is the leading cause of death for both men and women in the U.S. ${ }^{12}$ It is also one of the leading causes of disability in the U.S. Stroke is the third leading cause of death in the US ${ }^{17}$
Health conditions such as high blood cholesterol levels, high blood pressure, obesity, and diabetes mellitus can increase the risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD). Behavioral factors, including tobacco and alcohol use, diet high in saturated fat and cholesterol, and physical inactivity, may also increase the risk of development of cardiovascular disease. ${ }^{18}$

## In New Mexico,

$14.4 \%$ report history of either myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease, stroke, or some combination of the three. The NM estimate of CVD was statistically lower than that of the U.S. (16.1\%).
$\diamond$ History of CVD was higher among men than women due to greater prevalence of history of myocardial infarction and coronary heart disease. There was no difference in history of stroke.
There was a strong relationship between CVD and age, with a significantly higher prevalence of CVD at each advanced age group, even across this narrow age range of $50+$.
$\diamond$ There was no measurable difference by race/ ethnicity. Small sample size prohibited presentation of estimates for some race groups.
Adults with less education or lower annual household income were more likely to have a history of CVD.
Adults who were unable to work were much more likely to have a history of CVD than those who were employed. Adjustment for age nearly eliminated the difference between retired and other employment categories but did not affect the difference between unable to work and other categories.
Current and former smokers were more likely to report cardiovascular disease, particularly myocardial infarction and stroke, than adults who had never smoked.

Any Diagnosed Cardiovascular Disease Among Adults Age 50+, by New Mexico and U.S., 2014


Any Diagnosed Cardiovascular Disease Among Adults Age 50+, by Gender, 2014


Any Diagnosed Cardiovascular Disease Among Adults Age 50+, by Age, 2014


Any Diagnosed Cardiovascular Disease Among Adults Age 50+, by Annual Household Income, 2014


Any Diagnosed Cardiovascular Disease Among Adults Age 50+, by Smoking History., 2014


## Any Diagnosed Cardiovascular Disease - Adults Age 50+

Table 41. Percentage of adults age 50+ with history of diagnosis of either myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease, or a stroke, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Any Diagnosed Cardiovascular Dis ease - Age 50+ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\qquad$ | Weighted <br> Percent <br> $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,881 | 883 | 14.4 | 13.2 | 15.8 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 5,378 | 802 | 14.5 | 13.2 | 15.9 |
| LGBT** | 117 | 15 | 10.9 | 4.7 | 23.4 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 888 | 71 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 8.8 |
| 55-64 | 2,055 | 216 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 13.3 |
| 65-74 | 1,767 | 301 | 18.3 | 15.7 | 21.2 |
| 75+ | 1.171 | 295 | 25.2 | 21.8 | 28.9 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 397 | 61 | 18.9 | 13.0 | 26.6 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 29 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 70 | 13 | 14.3 | 7.5 | 25.7 |
| Hispanic | 1,555 | 200 | 12.9 | 10.7 | 15.4 |
| White | 3,662 | 575 | 14.8 | 13.4 | 16.5 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 633 | 121 | 16.3 | 12.9 | 20.2 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,540 | 259 | 14.5 | 12.2 | 17.1 |
| Some College | 1,459 | 234 | 15.9 | 13.4 | 18.7 |
| College Graduate | 2,152 | 256 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 13.2 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 366 | 72 | 19.4 | 14.1 | 26.1 |
| \$10-19,999 | 893 | 183 | 20.4 | 16.7 | 24.7 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,858 | 296 | 14.5 | 12.5 | 16.8 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,910 | 178 | 8.9 | 7.3 | 10.8 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,174 | 167 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 8.6 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 741 | 198 | 25.5 | 21.4 | 30.2 |
| Homemaker/Student | 393 | 40 | 10.2 | 6.7 | 15.2 |
| Retired | 2,465 | 464 | 18.3 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,371 | 218 | 16.5 | 14.0 | 19.3 |
| Northeast | 1,208 | 140 | 11.4 | 9.5 | 13.6 |
| Metropolitan | 1,142 | 153 | 13.7 | 11.4 | 16.4 |
| Southeast | 1,072 | 197 | 17.7 | 15.4 | 20.4 |
| Southwest | 1,088 | 175 | 15.4 | 13.2 | 18.0 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add
to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## any Diagnosed Cardiovascular Disease - Males age 50+

Table 42. Percentage of adult males age 50+ with history of diagnosis of either myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease, or a stroke, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Any Diagnosed Cardiovascular Disease - Age 50+ Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Any Diagnosed | Weighted <br> Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \mathrm{C} \\ \text { Int } \end{array}$ | fidence ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\ddagger}$ |
|  |  | Cardiovascular Disease | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 2,439 | 448 | 16.8 | 14.8 | 19.0 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 2,208 | 399 | 17.0 | 14.9 | 19.3 |
| LGBT** | 55 | 9 | 14.2 | 4.7 | 35.7 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 384 | 38 | 8.4 | 5.5 | 12.5 |
| 55-64 | 879 | 108 | 13.2 | 10.2 | 16.9 |
| 65-74 | 747 | 176 | 24.0 | 19.8 | 28.9 |
| 75+ | 429 | 126 | 25.7 | 20.7 | 31.5 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian** | 136 | 31 | 24.6 | 14.6 | 38.4 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 18 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 634 | 88 | 14.1 | 10.7 | 18.4 |
| White | 1,527 | 301 | 18.1 | 15.7 | 20.8 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 236 | 40 | 15.3 | 10.2 | 22.3 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 637 | 130 | 16.4 | 12.9 | 20.7 |
| Some College | 536 | 117 | 20.6 | 16.4 | 25.5 |
| College Graduate | 993 | 157 | 14.3 | 11.8 | 17.4 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 113 | 27 | 24.8 | 14.8 | 38.6 |
| \$10-19,999 | 313 | 64 | 21.8 | 15.6 | 29.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 760 | 164 | 18.5 | 15.2 | 22.3 |
| \$50,000 or more | 964 | 129 | 12.4 | 9.8 | 15.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,028 | 103 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 11.9 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 304 | 86 | 27.7 | 21.2 | 35.4 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| Retired | 1,060 | 252 | 22.1 | 18.9 | 25.7 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 524 | 110 | 20.8 | 16.5 | 25.7 |
| Northeast | 524 | 73 | 12.4 | 9.6 | 15.9 |
| Metropolitan | 485 | 82 | 16.3 | 12.6 | 20.8 |
| Southeast | 445 | 96 | 19.8 | 16.1 | 24.1 |
| Southwest | 461 | 87 | 17.9 | 14.3 | 22.2 |
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## Any Diagnosed Cardiovascular Disease - Females Age 50+

Table 43. Percentage of adult females age 50+ with history of diagnosis of either myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease, or a stroke, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Any Diagnosed Cardiovascular Disease - Age 50+ Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Any Diagnosed | Weighted <br> Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \mathrm{C} \\ \text { Int } \end{array}$ | fidence al $^{\text { }}$ |
|  |  | Cardiovascular Disease | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,442 | 435 | 12.3 | 10.8 | 13.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,170 | 403 | 12.4 | 10.8 | 14.1 |
| LGBT** | 62 | 6 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 16.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 504 | 33 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 6.8 |
| 55-64 | 1,176 | 108 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 11.8 |
| 65-74 | 1,020 | 125 | 13.2 | 10.4 | 16.6 |
| 75+ | 742 | 169 | 24.8 | 20.4 | 29.8 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 261 | 30 | 15.1 | 8.9 | 24.4 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 11 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 36 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 921 | 112 | 11.6 | 9.1 | 14.8 |
| White | 2,135 | 274 | 12.0 | 10.2 | 14.1 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 397 | 81 | 17.0 | 13.0 | 22.0 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 903 | 129 | 12.6 | 10.0 | 15.8 |
| Some College | 923 | 117 | 12.1 | 9.3 | 15.6 |
| College Graduate | 1,159 | 99 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 10.4 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 253 | 45 | 16.2 | 11.0 | 23.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 580 | 119 | 19.5 | 15.2 | 24.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,098 | 132 | 11.0 | 8.7 | 13.8 |
| \$50,000 or more | 946 | 49 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 6.0 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,146 | 64 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 5.8 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 437 | 112 | 23.7 | 18.6 | 29.7 |
| Homemaker/Student | 383 | 38 | 10.1 | 6.6 | 15.3 |
| Retired | 1,405 | 212 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 17.8 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 847 | 108 | 12.6 | 10.0 | 15.8 |
| Northeast | 684 | 67 | 10.5 | 8.0 | 13.6 |
| Metropolitan | 657 | 71 | 11.4 | 8.7 | 14.8 |
| Southeast | 627 | 101 | 15.9 | 13.0 | 19.3 |
| Southwest | 627 | 88 | 13.0 | 10.4 | 16.1 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are male are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Diagnosed Coronary Heart Disease, Myocardial Infarction, or Stroke - Adults Age 50+

This page presents the three forms of cardiovascular disease included in the BRFSS, coronary heart disease (CHD), myocardial infarction (MI), and stroke, separately.

In New Mexico,
$7.0 \%$ have a history of coronary heart disease, $7.8 \%$ a history of myocardial infarction, and $4.9 \%$ a history of stroke. History of CHD was slightly lower in NM than the U.S.

Men were more likely than women to have a history of myocardial infarction and coronary heart disease but there was no difference between them for stroke.
There was a clear association between age and cardiovascular disease. For each type of CVD, there was a step-wise increase in prevalence across age groups.
$\diamond$ There was no measurable difference in history of CHD, MI or stroke by race/ethnicity.
$\diamond$ Adults with less education and lower annual household income were more likely to report one or more types of cardiovascular disease.

Adults with diabetes were more likely to report a history of cardiovascular disease of any type.
$\diamond$ There was a strong association between history of each type of cardiovascular disease and ability to work. Adults with history of coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, or stroke, were more likely to be unable to work than those with no history of each form of cardiovascular disease.


## Diagnosed Coronary Heart Disease - Age 50+

Table 44. Percentage of adults age 50+ with history of diagnosis of coronary heart disease, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who <br> Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Coronary Heart Dis ease - Age 50+ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Diagnosed Coronary Heart Disease | Weighted <br> Percent <br> $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,875 | 438 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 8.0 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 5,374 | 398 | 7.1 | 6.2 | 8.2 |
| LGBT** | 118 | 7 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 4.9 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 889 | 33 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 3.9 |
| 55-64 | 2,053 | 109 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 7.6 |
| 65-74 | 1,768 | 148 | 8.8 | 7.1 | 10.9 |
| 75+ | 1.165 | 148 | 12.2 | 9.9 | 14.9 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 396 | 25 | 7.6 | 3.8 | 14.6 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 29 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 70 | 8 | 8.9 | 3.8 | 19.5 |
| Hispanic | 1,556 | 100 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 8.0 |
| White | 3,657 | 290 | 7.3 | 6.3 | 8.5 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 629 | 45 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 7.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,540 | 129 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 9.3 |
| Some College | 1,458 | 126 | 8.9 | 7.0 | 11.2 |
| College Graduate | 2,152 | 132 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 7.2 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 364 | 34 | 8.4 | 5.2 | 13.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 895 | 89 | 9.6 | 7.1 | 13.0 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,854 | 144 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 8.8 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1.912 | 105 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 6.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,174 | 79 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 4.6 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 740 | 101 | 12.8 | 9.6 | 16.7 |
| Homemaker/Student | 392 | 15 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 5.8 |
| Retired | 2,462 | 236 | 9.2 | 7.8 | 10.8 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,366 | 107 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 10.3 |
| Northeast | 1,206 | 65 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 6.6 |
| Metropolitan | 1,145 | 83 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 8.8 |
| Southeast | 1,072 | 99 | 8.4 | 6.8 | 10.4 |
| Southwest | 1,086 | 84 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 9.6 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add
to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Diagnosed Coronary Heart Disease - Males Age 50+

Table 45. Percentage of adult males age 50+ with history of diagnosis of coronary heart disease, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Coronary Heart Disease - Age 50+ Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Diagnosed Coronary | Weighted <br> Percent | 95\% <br> In | fidence $\mathrm{al}^{\text { }}$ |
|  |  | Heart Disease | $(\%)^{\S}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 2,432 | 250 | 9.2 | 7.7 | 10.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 2,203 | 223 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 11.2 |
| LGBT** | 55 | 5 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 8.0 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 385 | 18 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 5.6 |
| 55-64 | 877 | 58 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 10.4 |
| 65-74 | 746 | 99 | 13.7 | 10.6 | 17.5 |
| 75+ | 424 | 75 | 15.6 | 11.7 | 20.4 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian** | 135 | 16 | 14.5 | 6.2 | 30.2 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 18 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 633 | 44 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 10.4 |
| White | 1,523 | 175 | 10.1 | 8.4 | 12.1 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 231 | 12 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 8.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 638 | 69 | 8.4 | 5.8 | 12.1 |
| Some College | 536 | 71 | 13.1 | 9.7 | 17.4 |
| College Graduate | 990 | 97 | 8.6 | 6.7 | 10.9 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 111 | 11 | 7.6 | 3.6 | 15.4 |
| \$10-19,999 | 313 | 41 | 14.4 | 9.2 | 21.7 |
| \$20-49,999 | 758 | 88 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 12.9 |
| \$50,000 or more | 964 | 79 | 7.1 | 5.2 | 9.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,028 | 57 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 7.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 302 | 46 | 14.6 | 9.6 | 21.5 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| Retired | 1,055 | 144 | 12.4 | 10.1 | 15.1 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 522 | 66 | 12.1 | 9.1 | 16.0 |
| Northeast | 522 | 36 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 8.4 |
| Metropolitan | 484 | 51 | 9.2 | 6.6 | 12.8 |
| Southeast | 446 | 49 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 12.7 |
| Southwest | 458 | 48 | 10.2 | 7.4 | 13.9 |
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## Diagnosed Coronary Heart Disease - Females Age 50+

Table 46. Percentage of adult females age 50+ with history of diagnosis of coronary heart disease, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Coronary Heart Disease - Age 50+ Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Diagnosed Coronary Heart Disease | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,443 | 188 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 6.1 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,171 | 175 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 6.4 |
| LGBT** | 63 | 2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 5.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 504 | 15 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 3.6 |
| 55-64 | 1,176 | 51 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 6.8 |
| 65-74 | 1,022 | 49 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 6.5 |
| 75+ | 741 | 73 | 9.8 | 7.2 | 13.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 261 | 9 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 7.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 11 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 36 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 923 | 56 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 7.4 |
| White | 2,134 | 115 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 6.3 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 398 | 33 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 8.3 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 902 | 60 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 8.5 |
| Some College | 922 | 55 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 8.1 |
| College Graduate | 1,162 | 35 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 4.7 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 253 | 23 | 8.8 | 4.8 | 15.7 |
| \$10-19,999 | 582 | 48 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 9.3 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,096 | 56 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 6.6 |
| \$50,000 or more | 948 | 26 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 3.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,146 | 22 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 438 | 55 | 11.3 | 7.7 | 16.2 |
| Homemaker/Student | 382 | 14 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 5.6 |
| Retired | 1,407 | 92 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 8.3 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 844 | 41 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 7.0 |
| Northeast | 684 | 29 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 6.6 |
| Metropolitan | 661 | 32 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 7.0 |
| Southeast | 626 | 50 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 10.0 |
| Southwest | 628 | 36 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 7.1 |
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## Diagnosed Myocardial Infarction - Age 50+

Table 47. Percentage of adults age $50+$ with history of diagnosis of myocardial infarction, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Myocardial Infarction - Age 50+ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Diagnosed With <br> Myocardial Infarction | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,896 | 471 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 8.8 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 5,393 | 420 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 8.8 |
| LGBT** | 118 | 7 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 18.8 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 888 | 39 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 5.6 |
| 55-64 | 2,064 | 115 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 7.9 |
| 65-74 | 1,771 | 160 | 9.7 | 7.8 | 12.0 |
| 75+ | 1,173 | 157 | 13.3 | 10.8 | 16.4 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 400 | 26 | 7.7 | 4.2 | 13.7 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 29 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 72 | 8 | 9.4 | 4.0 | 20.4 |
| Hispanic | 1,562 | 106 | 7.0 | 5.4 | 9.0 |
| White | 3,663 | 310 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 9.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 637 | 68 | 8.5 | 6.4 | 11.2 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,545 | 137 | 7.6 | 6.1 | 9.5 |
| Some College | 1,462 | 116 | 8.4 | 6.5 | 10.8 |
| College Graduate | 2,154 | 141 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 7.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 369 | 39 | 10.5 | 7.1 | 15.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 897 | 97 | 9.7 | 7.3 | 12.9 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,859 | 158 | 7.6 | 6.1 | 9.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,915 | 100 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 7.2 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,177 | 88 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 5.6 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 747 | 106 | 13.3 | 10.3 | 17.0 |
| Homemaker/Student | 393 | 18 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 6.5 |
| Retired | 2,471 | 250 | 9.6 | 8.2 | 11.4 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,376 | 120 | 8.9 | 7.0 | 11.3 |
| Northeast | 1,211 | 74 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 8.2 |
| Metropolitan | 1,144 | 81 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 9.6 |
| Southeast | 1,071 | 110 | 9.9 | 8.1 | 12.1 |
| Southwest | 1,094 | 86 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 9.8 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\Leftrightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Diagnosed Myocardial Infarction - Males Age 50+

Table 48. Percentage of adult males age 50+ with history of diagnosis of myocardial infarction, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Myocardial Infarction - Age 50+ Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Diagnosed With <br> Myocardial Infarction | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | $95 \%$ $\mathbf{I n}$ <br> Lower | fidence ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text { }}$ Upper |
| TOTAL | 2,445 | 267 | 10.1 | 8.6 | 11.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 2,215 | 233 | 9.9 | 8.4 | 11.8 |
| LGBT** | 56 | - | - | - | - |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 384 | 22 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 8.3 |
| 55-64 | 883 | 68 | 8.1 | 5.8 | 11.0 |
| 65-74 | 748 | 102 | 14.2 | 10.9 | 18.3 |
| 75+ | 430 | 75 | 15.7 | 11.8 | 20.7 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian** | 135 | 15 | 9.7 | 5.0 | 17.9 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 18 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 35 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 637 | 57 | 9.1 | 6.5 | 12.7 |
| White | 1,529 | 174 | 10.8 | 8.9 | 13.1 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 237 | 23 | 7.0 | 4.4 | 11.0 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 638 | 74 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 12.7 |
| Some College | 537 | 65 | 12.3 | 8.9 | 16.7 |
| College Graduate | 996 | 102 | 9.6 | 7.5 | 12.3 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 113 | 20 | 15.4 | 8.9 | 25.4 |
| \$10-19,999 | 313 | 35 | 8.9 | 5.7 | 13.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 763 | 90 | 10.3 | 7.8 | 13.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 966 | 82 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 11.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,029 | 60 | 6.0 | 4.2 | 8.5 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 307 | 49 | 14.7 | 10.3 | 20.6 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| Retired | 1,062 | 152 | 12.9 | 10.6 | 15.7 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 526 | 65 | 12.2 | 8.8 | 16.7 |
| Northeast | 526 | 41 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 10.7 |
| Metropolitan | 486 | 52 | 10.1 | 7.4 | 13.8 |
| Southeast | 443 | 60 | 12.0 | 9.1 | 15.5 |
| Southwest | 464 | 49 | 9.9 | 7.3 | 13.3 |

$\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are females are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Diagnosed Myocardial Infarction - Females Age 50+

Table 49. Percentage of adult females age 50+ with history of diagnosis of myocardial infarction, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Myocardial Infarction - Age 50+ Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Diagnosed With | Weighted <br> Percent <br> (\%) § | $95 \%$ <br> In | fidence |
|  |  | Myocardial Infarction | (\%) ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,451 | 204 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 7.0 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,178 | 187 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 7.1 |
| LGBT** | 62 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 4.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 504 | 17 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 4.5 |
| 55-64 | 1,181 | 47 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 6.5 |
| 65-74 | 1,023 | 58 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 8.2 |
| 75+ | 743 | 82 | 11.6 | 8.4 | 15.7 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 265 | 11 | 6.4 | 2.3 | 16.5 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 11 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 925 | 49 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 7.0 |
| White | 2,134 | 136 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 7.9 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 400 | 45 | 9.7 | 6.8 | 13.6 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 907 | 63 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 8.2 |
| Some College | 925 | 51 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 8.2 |
| College Graduate | 1,158 | 39 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 4.2 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 256 | 19 | 7.5 | 4.3 | 12.9 |
| \$10-19,999 | 584 | 62 | 10.3 | 7.0 | 14.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,096 | 68 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 7.1 |
| \$50,000 or more | 949 | 18 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 2.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,148 | 28 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 3.0 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 440 | 57 | 12.2 | 8.5 | 17.2 |
| Homemaker/Student | 383 | 16 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 6.3 |
| Retired | 1,409 | 98 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 8.9 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 850 | 55 | 6.0 | 4.3 | 8.3 |
| Northeast | 685 | 33 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 7.5 |
| Metropolitan | 658 | 29 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 7.8 |
| Southeast | 628 | 50 | 8.2 | 6.1 | 10.9 |
| Southwest | 630 | 37 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 8.1 |
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## Diagnosed Stroke - Age 50+

Table 50. Percentage of adults age 50+ with history of diagnosis of stroke, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Stroke - Age 50+ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Diagnosed with Stroke | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,903 | 300 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 5.8 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 5,395 | 270 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 5.8 |
| LGBT** | 120 | 6 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 14.4 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 891 | 20 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 3.6 |
| 55-64 | 2,063 | 71 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 4.9 |
| 65-74 | 1,770 | 104 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 8.9 |
| 75+ | 1,179 | 105 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 11.3 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 402 | 27 | 9.1 | 4.9 | 16.0 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 29 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 72 | 4 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 8.6 |
| Hispanic | 1,559 | 70 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 6.3 |
| White | 3,671 | 189 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 5.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 641 | 44 | 6.4 | 4.1 | 9.8 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,549 | 91 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 7.3 |
| Some College | 1,461 | 80 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 7.0 |
| College Graduate | 2,155 | 80 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 4.4 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 368 | 24 | 6.8 | 3.5 | 12.9 |
| \$10-19,999 | 903 | 77 | 9.1 | 6.5 | 12.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,859 | 97 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 6.2 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,916 | 42 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.7 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,180 | 48 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.9 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 749 | 79 | 10.4 | 7.6 | 14.0 |
| Homemaker/Student | 393 | 17 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 10.3 |
| Retired | 2,474 | 151 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 7.3 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,380 | 80 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 7.7 |
| Northeast | 1,211 | 47 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 5.0 |
| Metropolitan | 1,145 | 50 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 6.8 |
| Southeast | 1,075 | 54 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 6.8 |
| Southwest | 1,092 | 69 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 7.2 |

$\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Diagnosed Stroke - Males Age 50+

Table 51. Percentage of adult males age 50+ with history of diagnosis of stroke, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Stroke - Age 50+ Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Diagnosed with Stroke | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 2,447 | 120 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 6.4 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 2,213 | 106 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 6.5 |
| LGBT** | 57 | 2 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 24.2 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 386 | 9 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 6.0 |
| 55-64 | 880 | 31 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 7.1 |
| 65-74 | 749 | 48 | 6.8 | 4.3 | 10.6 |
| 75+ | 432 | 32 | 6.5 | 4.1 | 10.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian** | 137 | 12 | 13.0 | 5.1 | 29.3 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 18 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 35 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 635 | 27 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 7.7 |
| White | 1,531 | 75 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 6.1 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 239 | 15 | 6.8 | 3.2 | 13.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 640 | 42 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 8.7 |
| Some College | 537 | 29 | 6.1 | 3.8 | 9.4 |
| College Graduate | 995 | 32 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 4.3 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 113 | 8 | 10.3 | 3.5 | 26.7 |
| \$10-19,999 | 318 | 20 | 9.4 | 5.0 | 17.0 |
| \$20-49,999 | 760 | 55 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 8.5 |
| \$50,000 or more | 967 | 22 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 3.3 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,031 | 24 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 3.8 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 306 | 31 | 11.5 | 7.0 | 18.4 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| Retired | 1,064 | 62 | 6.0 | 4.1 | 8.6 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 527 | 30 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 8.3 |
| Northeast | 526 | 22 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 5.6 |
| Metropolitan | 485 | 23 | 5.4 | 3.2 | 9.0 |
| Southeast | 446 | 21 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 8.1 |
| Southwest | 463 | 24 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 6.6 |
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## Diagnosed Stroke - Females Age 50+

Table 52. Percentage of adult females age 50+ with history of diagnosis of stroke, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Stroke - Age 50+ Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Diagnosed with Stroke | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,456 | 180 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,182 | 164 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 6.0 |
| LGBT** | 63 | 4 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 14.8 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-54 | 505 | 11 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 2.6 |
| 55-64 | 1,183 | 40 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 4.2 |
| 65-74 | 1,021 | 56 | 6.6 | 4.6 | 9.4 |
| 75+ | 747 | 73 | 10.5 | 7.7 | 14.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 265 | 15 | 6.5 | 3.4 | 12.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 11 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 924 | 43 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 6.9 |
| White | 2,140 | 114 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 6.3 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 402 | 29 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 9.8 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 909 | 49 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 8.0 |
| Some College | 924 | 51 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 6.6 |
| College Graduate | 1,160 | 48 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 5.6 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 255 | 16 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 8.3 |
| \$10-19,999 | 585 | 57 | 8.8 | 6.1 | 12.6 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,099 | 42 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 5.6 |
| \$50,000 or more | 949 | 20 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 2.9 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,149 | 24 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.9 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 443 | 48 | 9.4 | 6.5 | 13.5 |
| Homemaker/Student | 383 | 16 | 5.2 | 2.5 | 10.3 |
| Retired | 1,410 | 89 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 7.5 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 853 | 50 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 9.0 |
| Northeast | 685 | 25 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 5.7 |
| Metropolitan | 660 | 27 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 6.6 |
| Southeast | 629 | 33 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 7.3 |
| Southwest | 629 | 45 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 9.3 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Diagnosed Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Question:
"Have you ever been told by a doctor, nurse or other health professional that you have COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), emphysema or chronic bronchitis?"

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, or COPD, is a serious lung disease that makes it hard to breathe and gets worse over time. COPD includes two main conditions, emphysema and chronic bronchitis. ${ }^{19}$ Other causes include exposure to wood-burning smoke and worksite dusts and chemicals. ${ }^{20}$

## In New Mexico,

$5.7 \%$ of adults had been diagnosed with some form of COPD. This was lower than the U.S. COPD prevalence, $6.6 \%$.
$\diamond$ The prevalence of COPD among women (6.4\%) was statistically significantly higher than among men (5.0\%).
$\diamond$ There was no measurable difference by sexual orientation.
$\diamond$ The prevalence of COPD was higher among adults in older age groups, $15.5 \%$ among those age 75 or more, and lowest ( $1.4 \%$ ) among adults less than age 35 .
White adults ( $8.2 \%$ ) were more likely to have COPD than American Indian (2.8\%) and Hispanic ( $3.8 \%$ ) adults. This population is older, on average, than the other two groups and age adjustment eliminated the difference between Whites and American Indians but not Hispanics. Age adjustment eliminated the difference between Black/AA adults and others.
$\diamond$ The prevalence of COPD was lower among adults with a college degree or more education with no difference between the other three education levels.
$\diamond$ There was a gradient in COPD prevalence by level of household income. Those living in households with higher income had a lower prevalence of COPD ( $3.4 \%$ ), and those at lower income levels had higher COPD prevalence (9.5\%).

The prevalence of a history of COPD was much higher among adults who were unable to work.
The prevalence of history of COPD was higher among adult residents of the Southeast Region than all other Regions.


History of COPD was higher among current and former smokers.
$55.8 \%$ of adults with COPD had Fair or Poor general health status, versus $18.5 \%$ of adults with no history of COPD and $58.4 \%$ of those with COPD had some degree of disability, versus $21.1 \%$ of adults without COPD.

## Diagnosed Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Table 53. Percentage of adults with diagnosed COPD, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting Diagnosed COPD | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8,905 | 712 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 6.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,141 | 659 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 6.3 |
| LGBT | 218 | 21 | 7.4 | 4.2 | 12.7 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 485 | 10 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 3.3 |
| 25-34 | 823 | 18 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.0 |
| 35-44 | 1,018 | 36 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 6.2 |
| 45-54 | 1,469 | 79 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 6.7 |
| 55-64 | 2,064 | 175 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 9.1 |
| 65-74 | 1,771 | 206 | 10.8 | 8.9 | 12.9 |
| 75+ | 1,175 | 186 | 15.5 | 12.7 | 18.7 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 831 | 38 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 4.2 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 71 | 1 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 13.5 |
| Black/AA** | 111 | 12 | 7.7 | 3.8 | 14.8 |
| Hispanic | 2,867 | 156 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 4.8 |
| White | 4,739 | 483 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 9.3 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,051 | 102 | 6.8 | 5.3 | 8.6 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,372 | 234 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 7.4 |
| Some College | 2,337 | 206 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 7.3 |
| College Graduate | 3,006 | 163 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 4.9 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 580 | 63 | 9.5 | 6.4 | 14.0 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,389 | 162 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 9.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,825 | 267 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 7.1 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,847 | 125 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 4.3 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,139 | 153 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 3.4 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,152 | 188 | 11.1 | 9.1 | 13.4 |
| Homemaker/Student | 938 | 52 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 5.7 |
| Retired | 2.516 | 311 | 12.0 | 10.4 | 13.9 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,084 | 184 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 7.1 |
| Northeast | 1,771 | 114 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 7.0 |
| Metropolitan | 1,782 | 119 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 6.1 |
| Southeast | 1,638 | 162 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 9.2 |
| Southwest | 1,630 | 133 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 7.3 |

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add
to the total across some categories for some variables.
§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
$\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease - Males

Table 54. Percentage of adult males with diagnosed COPD, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting Diagnosed COPD | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,746 | 257 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 5.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,394 | 233 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 5.8 |
| LGBT** | 95 | 6 | 5.1 | 1.6 | 15.6 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 244 | 5 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 4.9 |
| 25-34 | 363 | 7 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 2.6 |
| 35-44 | 415 | 14 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 8.2 |
| 45-54 | 627 | 29 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 7.2 |
| 55-64 | 879 | 67 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 7.6 |
| 65-74 | 751 | 76 | 10.4 | 7.8 | 13.8 |
| 75+ | 430 | 58 | 11.9 | 8.3 | 16.7 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 308 | 20 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 6.3 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 3 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 12.0 |
| Hispanic | 1,186 | 55 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 5.0 |
| White | 2,020 | 168 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 8.3 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 425 | 35 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 8.0 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,039 | 93 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 7.0 |
| Some College | 906 | 69 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 7.6 |
| College Graduate | 1,325 | 58 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 4.7 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 181 | 22 | 11.6 | 6.6 | 19.6 |
| \$10-19,999 | 512 | 54 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 8.9 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,186 | 99 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 6.2 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,399 | 55 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 4.8 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,021 | 66 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 3.5 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 488 | 71 | 10.6 | 7.7 | 14.3 |
| Homemaker/Student** ${ }^{*}$ | 100 | 2 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 8.7 |
| Retired | 1,078 | 115 | 10.1 | 7.9 | 12.8 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 807 | 66 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 7.9 |
| Northeast | 777 | 40 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 7.0 |
| Metropolitan | 766 | 43 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 5.7 |
| Southeast | 709 | 56 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 8.3 |
| Southwest | 687 | 52 | 6.0 | 4.3 | 8.4 |
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## Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease <br> Females

Table 55. Percentage of adult females with diagnosed COPD, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting Diagnosed | Weighted Percent | $95 \%$ Int | idence |
|  |  | COPD | $(\%)^{\S}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,159 | 455 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 7.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,747 | 426 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 7.4 |
| LGBT** | 123 | 15 | 9.6 | 5.2 | 17.0 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 241 | 5 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 3.6 |
| 25-34 | 460 | 11 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 2.3 |
| 35-44 | 603 | 22 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 6.9 |
| 45-54 | 842 | 50 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 7.7 |
| 55-64 | 1,185 | 108 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 11.8 |
| 65-74 | 1,020 | 130 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 14.0 |
| 75+ | 745 | 128 | 18.1 | 14.4 | 22.5 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 523 | 18 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 3.8 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 58 | 9 | 12.2 | 5.4 | 25.4 |
| Hispanic | 1,681 | 101 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 5.4 |
| White | 2,719 | 315 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 11.3 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 626 | 67 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 10.8 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,333 | 141 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 9.2 |
| Some College | 1,431 | 137 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 8.2 |
| College Graduate | 1,681 | 105 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 5.9 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 399 | 41 | 8.3 | 4.7 | 14.1 |
| \$10-19,999 | 877 | 108 | 8.8 | 6.7 | 11.4 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,639 | 168 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 9.1 |
| \$50,000+ | 1,448 | 70 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 4.7 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,118 | 87 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 3.9 |
| Unemployed Unable to Work | 664 | 117 | 11.5 | 8.9 | 14.8 |
| Homemaker Student ${ }^{\Psi}$ | 838 | 50 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 6.4 |
| Retired | 1,438 | 196 | 13.8 | 11.4 | 16.6 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,277 | 118 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 7.5 |
| Northeast | 994 | 74 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 8.2 |
| Metropolitan | 1,016 | 76 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 7.7 |
| Southeast | 929 | 106 | 9.3 | 7.5 | 11.5 |
| Southwest | 943 | 81 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 7.6 |
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## Question:

"Have you ever been told you have a depressive disorder (including depression, major depression, dysthymia, or minor depression)?"

Depression is characterized by depressed or sad mood, diminished interest in activities which used to be pleasurable, weight gain or loss, psychomotor agitation or retardation, fatigue, inappropriate guilt, difficulties concentrating, as well as recurrent thoughts of death. ${ }^{21}$ The BRFSS produces estimates of history of a depressive disorder. The figures here do not represent current prevalence of depression but the percentage of adults that have ever been diagnosed with a depressive disorder.

## In New Mexico,

The percentage of adults in New Mexico ever diagnosed with depression was $21.1 \%$. The NM rate was higher than that of the U.S., $17.8 \%$.
$\diamond$ Almost half (46.7\%) of adults who were LGBT had a history of diagnosed depression compared to $20.3 \%$ of heterosexual adults.
$\diamond$ Women $(26.0 \%)$ were more likely than men ( $16.0 \%$ ) to have ever been diagnosed with depression.
$\diamond$ History of diagnosed depression was highest across the middle age groups, from 35 to 64 years, and lowest at both extremes of the adult age spectrum.
$\diamond$ White (23.2\%) and Hispanic (20.1\%) adults were more likely than American Indian (15.9\%) adults to have a history of diagnosed depression. Sample size precluded comparison of other groups.
$\diamond$ There was a gradient in prevalence of history of diagnosed depression by level of household income. Over one-third ( $36.2 \%$ ) of lower income adults had ever been diagnosed with a depressive disorder, stepping down to $16.3 \%$ of adults in the highest household income level.
$\diamond$ Over fifty-five percent ( $58.8 \%$ ) of adults who were unable to work and $35.1 \%$ of unemployed adults had a history of diagnosed depression.
$\diamond$ Residents of the Northwest Region were less likely to have a history of diagnosed depression than residents of the Metropolitan Region.
Adults with fair or poor general health status were much more likely to have a history of diagnosed depression (41.7\%) than other adults (15.7\%).

Adults with a disability were much more likely to have a history of depression (45.5\%) than adults without a disability (14.4\%).

Ever Diagnosed With Depressive Disorder



Adults who were underweight or obese were more likely to have ever been diagnosed with depression than adults at an appropriate weight or who were overweight.
Adults who were current smokers were more likely to have ever been diagnosed with depression (33.9\%) than were non-smokers (18.8\%).

## Diagnosed Depressive Disorder

Table 56. Percentage of adults ever diagnosed with depressive disorder, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who <br> Responded to the Question* | Ever Diagnosed With Depressive Disorder |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Ever Diagnosed With Depressive Disorder | Weighted <br> Percent <br> $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8,919 | 1,833 | 21.1 | 19.8 | 22.5 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,152 | 1,640 | 20.3 | 19.0 | 21.7 |
| LGBT | 221 | 92 | 46.7 | 37.3 | 56.4 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 484 | 75 | 14.4 | 11.1 | 18.6 |
| 25-34 | 824 | 169 | 21.9 | 18.1 | 26.2 |
| 35-44 | 1,022 | 214 | 23.5 | 19.8 | 27.6 |
| 45-54 | 1,472 | 358 | 24.7 | 21.7 | 27.9 |
| 55-64 | 2,064 | 481 | 23.5 | 21.0 | 26.2 |
| 65-74 | 1,771 | 377 | 21.5 | 18.8 | 24.4 |
| 75+ | 1,180 | 148 | 13.9 | 10.8 | 17.7 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 833 | 127 | 15.9 | 12.5 | 20.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 71 | 9 | 14.1 | 5.9 | 29.8 |
| Black/AA** | 112 | 28 | 23.6 | 13.3 | 38.4 |
| Hispanic | 2,874 | 587 | 20.1 | 18.1 | 22.3 |
| White | 4,743 | 1,032 | 23.2 | 21.3 | 25.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,060 | 280 | 27.0 | 23.2 | 31.0 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,372 | 451 | 18.4 | 16.1 | 20.9 |
| Some College | 2,336 | 508 | 22.2 | 19.8 | 24.9 |
| College Graduate | 3,011 | 579 | 18.7 | 16.8 | 20.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 582 | 190 | 36.2 | 30.6 | 42.3 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,393 | 387 | 25.7 | 22.5 | 29.3 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,827 | 590 | 21.3 | 18.9 | 23.9 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,850 | 466 | 16.3 | 14.4 | 18.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,143 | 669 | 15.6 | 14.1 | 17.4 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,157 | 541 | 48.0 | 43.8 | 52.3 |
| Homemaker/Student | 938 | 167 | 17.2 | 13.8 | 21.1 |
| Retired | 2.520 | 439 | 18.4 | 16.1 | 20.8 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,089 | 378 | 17.2 | 15.0 | 19.6 |
| Northeast | 1,772 | 355 | 19.6 | 17.3 | 22.0 |
| Metropolitan | 1,787 | 416 | 22.9 | 20.4 | 25.6 |
| Southeast | 1,640 | 341 | 20.3 | 18.0 | 22.9 |
| Southwest | 1,631 | 343 | 20.7 | 18.1 | 23.5 |
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## Diagnosed Depressive Disorder - Males

Table 57. Percentage of adult males ever diagnosed with a depressive disorder, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Ever Diagnosed With Depressive Dis order Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Ever Diagnosed With Depressive Disorder | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,755 | 572 | 16.0 | 14.3 | 17.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,402 | 507 | 15.4 | 13.6 | 17.4 |
| LGBT** | 97 | 31 | 42.4 | 28.0 | 58.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 244 | 31 | 11.7 | 7.7 | 17.3 |
| 25-34 | 364 | 62 | 19.1 | 14.0 | 25.4 |
| 35-44 | 417 | 57 | 15.8 | 11.5 | 21.3 |
| 45-54 | 628 | 97 | 16.8 | 13.0 | 21.5 |
| 55-64 | 881 | 163 | 18.0 | 15.0 | 21.6 |
| 65-74 | 751 | 124 | 15.8 | 12.6 | 19.7 |
| 75+ | 433 | 35 | 11.4 | 6.4 | 19.4 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 308 | 43 | 13.8 | 9.3 | 19.9 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 10 | 17.7 | 6.6 | 39.5 |
| Hispanic | 1,189 | 183 | 14.8 | 12.2 | 17.8 |
| White | 2,026 | 311 | 17.5 | 15.0 | 20.3 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 429 | 79 | 19.4 | 14.8 | 25.0 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,041 | 151 | 13.9 | 11.2 | 17.2 |
| Some College | 905 | 157 | 18.5 | 15.0 | 22.7 |
| College Graduate | 1,329 | 180 | 12.8 | 10.4 | 15.7 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 182 | 53 | 30.2 | 22.0 | 39.9 |
| \$10-19,999 | 514 | 121 | 19.5 | 15.2 | 24.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,189 | 196 | 18.5 | 15.2 | 22.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,401 | 149 | 10.6 | 8.4 | 13.3 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,026 | 206 | 10.8 | 8.9 | 13.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 490 | 208 | 43.2 | 37.2 | 49.5 |
| Homemaker/Student** ${ }^{*}$ | 101 | 5 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 9.4 |
| Retired | 1,079 | 146 | 15.6 | 12.3 | 19.6 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 810 | 118 | 15.6 | 12.4 | 19.5 |
| Northeast | 777 | 123 | 16.1 | 13.1 | 19.6 |
| Metropolitan | 770 | 128 | 16.3 | 13.2 | 20.0 |
| Southeast | 710 | 96 | 15.3 | 11.8 | 19.4 |
| Southwest | 688 | 107 | 16.0 | 12.3 | 20.5 |

[^36]Table 58. Percentage of adult females ever diagnosed with a depressive disorder, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Ever Diagnosed With Depressive Disorder Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Ever Diagnosed With Depressive Disorder | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,164 | 1,261 | 26.0 | 24.1 | 27.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,750 | 1,133 | 25.0 | 23.1 | 27.0 |
| LGBT** | 124 | 61 | 51.1 | 39.4 | 62.6 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 240 | 44 | 17.5 | 12.3 | 24.1 |
| 25-34 | 460 | 107 | 24.8 | 19.5 | 31.0 |
| 35-44 | 605 | 157 | 31.2 | 25.7 | 37.2 |
| 45-54 | 844 | 261 | 32.2 | 27.9 | 36.8 |
| 55-64 | 1,183 | 318 | 28.5 | 24.8 | 32.5 |
| 65-74 | 1,020 | 253 | 26.5 | 22.6 | 30.9 |
| 75+ | 747 | 113 | 15.7 | 12.2 | 19.8 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 525 | 84 | 17.8 | 13.0 | 23.9 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 59 | 18 | 29.9 | 14.7 | 51.4 |
| Hispanic | 1,685 | 404 | 25.2 | 22.2 | 28.5 |
| White | 2,717 | 721 | 28.7 | 26.0 | 31.5 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 631 | 201 | 34.0 | 28.6 | 39.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,331 | 300 | 23.1 | 19.6 | 27.0 |
| Some College | 1,431 | 351 | 25.5 | 22.3 | 29.0 |
| College Graduate | 1,682 | 399 | 24.5 | 21.6 | 27.6 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 400 | 137 | 40.1 | 32.9 | 47.8 |
| \$10-19,999 | 879 | 266 | 30.7 | 26.2 | 35.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,638 | 394 | 24.1 | 20.9 | 27.7 |
| \$50,000+ | 1,449 | 317 | 23.2 | 20.1 | 26.7 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,117 | 463 | 22.3 | 19.8 | 25.1 |
| Unemployed Unable to Work | 667 | 333 | 52.4 | 46.7 | 58.0 |
| Homemaker Student ${ }^{\Psi}$ | 837 | 162 | 19.9 | 16.0 | 24.6 |
| Retired | 1,441 | 293 | 20.8 | 17.9 | 24.1 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,279 | 260 | 18.7 | 15.9 | 21.9 |
| Northeast | 995 | 232 | 22.9 | 19.8 | 26.3 |
| Metropolitan | 1,017 | 288 | 29.1 | 25.5 | 33.0 |
| Southeast | 930 | 245 | 25.5 | 22.3 | 28.9 |
| Southwest | 943 | 236 | 25.3 | 21.8 | 29.0 |
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## Diagnosed Diabetes

## Question:

"Have you ever been told by a doctor that you have diabetes?"

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is a group of diseases characterized by high levels of blood glucose resulting from insufficient insulin production, insulin action, or both. Diabetes can be associated with serious complications including cardiovascular disease, end-stage renal disease, blindness, amputation, and premature death, but people with diabetes can take steps to control the disease and lower the risk of complications. ${ }^{22}$

## In New Mexico,

$\diamond$ The percentage of adults in New Mexico with diagnosed diabetes was $11.5 \%$. The NM rate was higher that of the U.S. $(10.0 \%)$. The prevalence of diagnosed diabetes has increased in recent years, in NM and nationally.
$\diamond$ There was no difference in diabetes prevalence by gender or by LGBT status.
$\diamond$ Diagnosed diabetes was higher among American Indian ( $16.2 \%$ ) and Hispanic ( $13.0 \%$ ) adults than White adults (9.1\%). Sample size precluded comparison of other groups. Adjustment for differences in age distribution across race/ethnicity groups did not change this relationship.
$\diamond$ Adults with lower education and less income were more likely to have been diagnosed with diabetes. Also, the prevalence of diagnosed diabetes was much higher among adults who were unable to work than among adults in all other employment categories.
$\diamond$ Adults who were obese had the highest prevalence of diagnosed diabetes ( $19.4 \%$ ), followed by overweight individuals (11.1\%).
$\diamond$ Nearly half of adults (49.7\%) with diagnosed diabetes had fair or poor general health status, compared to $16.9 \%$ of adults without diagnosed diabetes. Over $40 \%$ ( $41.4 \%$ ) of adults with diagnosed diabetes were disabled compared to $21.0 \%$ of those without diagnosed diabetes.
$\diamond$ Only $41.2 \%$ of adults with diagnosed diabetes had received all recommended prevention services in the past year., including a retinal exam, feet checked for sores/irritations, and A1C checked at least twice.
$\diamond$ Among adults with diabetes, $55.5 \%$ had taken a class on diabetes management.


## DIA GNOSED DIABETES

Table 59. Percentage of adults with diagnosed diabetes, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Diabetes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Diagnosed Diabetes | Weighted <br> Percent <br> (\%)§ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ Confidence Interval $\ddagger$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8,927 | 1,207 | 11.5 | 10.7 | 12.5 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,158 | 1,100 | 11.6 | 10.6 | 12.5 |
| LGBT | 222 | 25 | 12.7 | 6.9 | 22.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 485 | 6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.0 |
| 25-34 | 824 | 20 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 3.5 |
| 35-44 | 1,024 | 68 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 9.2 |
| 45-54 | 1,470 | 175 | 12.1 | 9.9 | 14.7 |
| 55-64 | 2,066 | 327 | 18.1 | 15.7 | 20.8 |
| 65-74 | 1,776 | 378 | 24.8 | 21.9 | 28.0 |
| 75+ | 1,180 | 219 | 22.9 | 19.2 | 27.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 831 | 156 | 16.2 | 12.8 | 20.2 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 71 | 7 | 6.9 | 2.7 | 16.6 |
| Black/AA** | 112 | 23 | 15.3 | 9.2 | 24.3 |
| Hispanic | 2,875 | 494 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 14.6 |
| White | 4.752 | 490 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 10.4 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,059 | 227 | 16.4 | 13.8 | 19.4 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,378 | 386 | 12.7 | 11.1 | 14.6 |
| Some College | 2,340 | 311 | 10.9 | 9.3 | 12.8 |
| College Graduate | 3,010 | 258 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 8.7 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 583 | 125 | 16.6 | 13.1 | 20.7 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,396 | 254 | 16.4 | 13.8 | 19.4 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,831 | 405 | 11.1 | 9.6 | 12.7 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,851 | 233 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 8.7 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,140 | 334 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 8.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,163 | 273 | 19.3 | 16.5 | 22.5 |
| Homemaker/Student | 940 | 102 | 8.0 | 6.1 | 10.3 |
| Retired | 2,522 | 477 | 22.1 | 19.6 | 24.7 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,088 | 298 | 12.6 | 10.9 | 14.5 |
| Northeast | 1,776 | 207 | 9.9 | 8.4 | 11.6 |
| Metropolitan | 1,788 | 227 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 13.1 |
| Southeast | 1,644 | 235 | 11.9 | 10.3 | 13.7 |
| Southwest | 1,631 | 240 | 12.7 | 10.9 | 14.8 |

$\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to
the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Diagnosed Diabeties <br> Males

Table 60. Percentage of adult males with diagnosed diabetes, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Diabetes Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Diagnosed Diabetes | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,755 | 528 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 13.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,401 | 472 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 12.9 |
| LGBT** | 97 | 19 | 22.1 | 11.2 | 38.9 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24** | 243 | 4 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 3.6 |
| 25-34 | 363 | 8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 4.5 |
| 35-44 | 418 | 33 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 11.5 |
| 45-54 | 628 | 78 | 12.8 | 9.7 | 16.7 |
| 55-64 | 882 | 149 | 18.4 | 14.9 | 22.5 |
| 65-74 | 752 | 157 | 23.6 | 19.4 | 28.5 |
| 75+ | 432 | 92 | 27.8 | 21.3 | 35.5 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 307 | 57 | 14.8 | 10.2 | 21.0 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 12 | 14.2 | 7.0 | 26.7 |
| Hispanic | 1,190 | 190 | 12.4 | 10.3 | 14.9 |
| White | 2,027 | 244 | 10.5 | 8.7 | 12.5 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 428 | 72 | 12.5 | 9.4 | 16.4 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,040 | 170 | 12.2 | 9.9 | 14.9 |
| Some College | 907 | 134 | 12.9 | 10.3 | 16.2 |
| College Graduate | 1,329 | 144 | 9.3 | 7.4 | 11.5 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 183 | 34 | 14.3 | 9.4 | 21.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 512 | 91 | 14.5 | 10.9 | 19.1 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,190 | 192 | 12.4 | 10.3 | 15.0 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,402 | 141 | 8.6 | 6.8 | 10.8 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,023 | 175 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 8.7 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 492 | 120 | 20.7 | 16.4 | 25.8 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 101 | 2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.6 |
| Retired | 1,080 | 223 | 25.1 | 21.2 | 29.5 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 807 | 130 | 12.6 | 10.0 | 15.6 |
| Northeast | 779 | 101 | 10.7 | 8.4 | 13.5 |
| Metropolitan | 771 | 102 | 11.5 | 9.1 | 14.3 |
| Southeast | 710 | 103 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 15.4 |
| Southwest | 688 | 92 | 12.6 | 9.8 | 16.0 |

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\square$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Diagnosed Diabeties

Table 61. Percentage of adult females with diagnosed diabetes, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Diabetes Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Diagnosed Diabetes | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,172 | 679 | 11.3 | 10.1 | 12.5 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,757 | 628 | 11.7 | 10.5 | 13.1 |
| LGBT** | 125 | 6 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 8.2 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 242 | 2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
| 25-34 | 461 | 12 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 4.3 |
| 35-44 | 606 | 35 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 9.2 |
| 45-54 | 842 | 97 | 11.5 | 8.6 | 15.2 |
| 55-64 | 1,184 | 178 | 17.8 | 14.6 | 21.5 |
| 65-74 | 1,024 | 221 | 25.9 | 22.0 | 30.2 |
| 75+ | 748 | 127 | 19.3 | 15.5 | 23.8 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 524 | 99 | 17.4 | 12.9 | 23.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 59 | 11 | 16.4 | 7.8 | 31.2 |
| Hispanic | 1,685 | 304 | 13.6 | 11.7 | 15.8 |
| White | 2,725 | 246 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 9.4 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 631 | 155 | 20.1 | 16.2 | 24.7 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,338 | 216 | 13.3 | 11.1 | 15.9 |
| Some College | 1,433 | 177 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 11.2 |
| College Graduate | 1,681 | 114 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 7.2 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 400 | 91 | 18.0 | 13.6 | 23.5 |
| \$10-19,999 | 884 | 163 | 17.9 | 14.4 | 22.0 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,641 | 213 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 11.9 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,449 | 92 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 7.4 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,117 | 159 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 8.5 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 671 | 153 | 18.1 | 14.6 | 22.1 |
| Homemaker/Student | 839 | 100 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 12.3 |
| Retired | 1,442 | 254 | 19.3 | 16.5 | 22.5 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,281 | 168 | 12.6 | 10.5 | 15.1 |
| Northeast | 997 | 106 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 11.2 |
| Metropolitan | 1,017 | 125 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 13.7 |
| Southeast | 934 | 132 | 11.3 | 9.4 | 13.6 |
| Southwest | 943 | 148 | 12.8 | 10.6 | 15.4 |
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## Disabiluty

## Questions:

"Are you limited in any way in any activities because of physical, mental, or emotional problems?"
"Do you now have any health problem that requires you to use special equipment, such as a cane, a wheelchair, a special bed, or a special telephone?"

Here, respondents answering "Yes" to either or both of the above questions were considered to have a disability.

## In New Mexico,

The prevalence of disability was higher (25.4\%) than in the U.S. (23.0\%).
$\diamond$ There was no difference by gender, even after adjusting for differences in age distribution.
LGBT adults (39.0\%) were more likely to have a disability than heterosexual adults (24.9\%), even after adjusting for differences in the age distribution.
$\diamond$ Adults in older age groups were more likely to report having a disability. Nearly $45 \%$ adults age 75 or more reported a disability.
$\diamond$ White adults were more likely to report having a disability (29.8\%) than American Indian (22.3\%) and Hispanic (21.1\%) adults. Even after adjusting for differences in age distribution between the race/ ethnicity groups, White adults still had a significantly higher prevalence of disability than Hispanic adults.
$\diamond$ Nearly $85 \%$ of those who were unable to work had some form of disability. Only $30 \%$ of adults with a disability were employed while over $60 \%$ of adults without a disability were employed. $27.3 \%$ of those with a disability were unable to work, while less than two percent of adults without a disability were unable to work.
After adjusting for age, the prevalence of disability among those with a college degree was lower than all other education levels.


There was a gradient in prevalence of disability by level of annual household income. As level of income increases, the prevalence of disability was significantly lower, even after adjustment for age.

## Disabiluty

Table 62. Percentage of adults who have a disability, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Disability |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Disability | Weighted Percent $(\%) \S$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 95\% } \\ \text { Int } \\ \text { Lower } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fidence } \\ & \text { al } \ddagger \\ & \text { Upper } \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL | 8,493 | 2,487 | 25.4 | 24.1 | 26.8 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 7,830 | 2,265 | 24.9 | 23.5 | 26.3 |
| LGBT | 215 | 80 | 39.0 | 29.8 | 49.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 462 | 43 | 10.1 | 7.0 | 14.3 |
| 25-34 | 782 | 115 | 14.5 | 11.6 | 18.0 |
| 35-44 | 969 | 171 | 20.9 | 17.3 | 25.1 |
| 45-54 | 1,403 | 369 | 25.9 | 22.8 | 29.3 |
| 55-64 | 1,970 | 685 | 36.3 | 33.3 | 39.4 |
| 65-74 | 1,701 | 604 | 36.4 | 33.2 | 39.8 |
| 75+ | 1,121 | 486 | 42.8 | 38.6 | 47.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 769 | 190 | 22.3 | 18.4 | 26.8 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 65 | 14 | 19.7 | 10.6 | 33.7 |
| Black/AA** | 106 | 42 | 29.6 | 19.1 | 42.8 |
| Hispanic | 2,697 | 688 | 21.1 | 19.1 | 23.2 |
| White | 4,594 | 1,464 | 29.8 | 27.8 | 31.9 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,015 | 342 | 29.5 | 25.7 | 33.5 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,269 | 678 | 24.5 | 22.2 | 27.1 |
| Some College | 2,264 | 707 | 26.4 | 23.9 | 29.1 |
| College Graduate | 2.922 | 755 | 22.1 | 20.1 | 24.3 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 570 | 265 | 41.2 | 35.5 | 47.1 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,350 | 529 | 33.6 | 30.0 | 37.4 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,774 | 826 | 25.0 | 22.6 | 27.6 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,811 | 575 | 17.7 | 15.8 | 19.8 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 3,969 | 637 | 14.3 | 12.8 | 16.0 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,129 | 757 | 60.5 | 56.2 | 64.6 |
| Homemaker/Student | 915 | 175 | 13.3 | 10.8 | 16.2 |
| Retired | 2,456 | 911 | 37.9 | 35.2 | 40.7 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,977 | 588 | 25.4 | 22.8 | 28.1 |
| Northeast | 1,688 | 445 | 23.9 | 21.5 | 26.5 |
| Metropolitan | 1,703 | 517 | 25.8 | 23.2 | 28.5 |
| Southeast | 1,569 | 462 | 25.8 | 23.3 | 28.5 |
| Southwest | 1,556 | 475 | 25.6 | 23.0 | 28.4 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Disabiluty

Table 63. Percentage of adult males who have a disability, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Disability Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Disability | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,565 | 1,058 | 25.9 | 23.9 | 28.1 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,262 | 956 | 25.5 | 23.4 | 27.8 |
| LGBT** | 94 | 33 | 33.8 | 20.3 | 50.6 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 232 | 22 | 11.6 | 6.9 | 18.8 |
| 25-34 | 341 | 57 | 17.3 | 12.7 | 23.2 |
| 35-44 | 389 | 72 | 21.8 | 16.6 | 28.0 |
| 45-54 | 596 | 156 | 27.0 | 22.2 | 32.4 |
| 55-64 | 840 | 297 | 36.3 | 31.9 | 41.0 |
| 65-74 | 720 | 270 | 37.8 | 33.0 | 42.9 |
| 75+ | 419 | 178 | 38.9 | 32.2 | 46.0 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 291 | 87 | 27.2 | 20.6 | 34.9 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 32 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 50 | 18 | 31.4 | 16.0 | 52.4 |
| Hispanic | 1,112 | 289 | 22.1 | 19.1 | 25.5 |
| White | 1,951 | 611 | 29.0 | 26.0 | 32.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 406 | 128 | 27.4 | 22.1 | 33.5 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 989 | 294 | 24.1 | 20.6 | 27.9 |
| Some College | 876 | 282 | 28.3 | 24.2 | 32.7 |
| College Graduate | 1,284 | 352 | 23.8 | 20.6 | 27.2 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 180 | 92 | 43.9 | 34.2 | 54.1 |
| \$10-19,999 | 491 | 214 | 38.1 | 31.9 | 44.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,165 | 348 | 26.0 | 22.4 | 29.9 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,381 | 299 | 17.9 | 15.3 | 20.9 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,926 | 324 | 15.6 | 13.3 | 18.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 479 | 321 | 60.1 | 53.8 | 66.1 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 97 | 11 | 10.5 | 5.3 | 19.8 |
| Retired | 1,054 | 400 | 38.6 | 34.5 | 42.9 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 765 | 253 | 28.9 | 24.8 | 33.3 |
| Northeast | 736 | 195 | 23.6 | 20.0 | 27.6 |
| Metropolitan | 731 | 221 | 26.5 | 22.6 | 30.7 |
| Southeast | 681 | 193 | 25.2 | 21.4 | 29.5 |
| Southwest | 652 | 196 | 25.4 | 21.5 | 29.8 |
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## Disabiluty - Females

Table 64. Percentage of adult females who have a disability, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Disability Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Disability | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 4,928 | 1,429 | 25.0 | 23.2 | 26.8 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,568 | 1,309 | 24.2 | 22.5 | 26.1 |
| LGBT** | 121 | 47 | 44.0 | 32.4 | 56.2 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 230 | 21 | 8.4 | 5.1 | 13.5 |
| 25-34 | 441 | 58 | 11.6 | 8.5 | 15.8 |
| 35-44 | 580 | 99 | 20.1 | 15.3 | 26.0 |
| 45-54 | 807 | 213 | 24.9 | 21.0 | 29.2 |
| 55-64 | 1,130 | 388 | 36.3 | 32.3 | 40.4 |
| 65-74 | 981 | 334 | 35.2 | 31.0 | 39.6 |
| 75+ | 702 | 308 | 45.8 | 40.6 | 51.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 478 | 103 | 18.1 | 13.9 | 23.2 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 33 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 56 | 24 | 27.7 | 15.6 | 44.3 |
| Hispanic | 1,585 | 399 | 20.2 | 17.7 | 22.9 |
| White | 2,643 | 853 | 30.5 | 27.9 | 33.3 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 609 | 214 | 31.3 | 26.2 | 36.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,280 | 384 | 25.0 | 21.8 | 28.5 |
| Some College | 1,388 | 425 | 24.7 | 21.7 | 27.9 |
| College Graduate | 1,638 | 403 | 20.5 | 18.0 | 23.2 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 390 | 173 | 39.4 | 32.6 | 46.6 |
| \$10-19,999 | 859 | 315 | 30.1 | 26.0 | 34.6 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,609 | 478 | 24.1 | 21.1 | 27.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,430 | 276 | 17.4 | 14.8 | 20.4 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,043 | 313 | 12.7 | 10.8 | 14.8 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 650 | 436 | 60.8 | 55.0 | 66.4 |
| Homemaker/Student | 818 | 164 | 13.8 | 11.1 | 17.0 |
| Retired | 1,402 | 511 | 37.2 | 33.7 | 40.9 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,212 | 335 | 22.0 | 19.1 | 25.4 |
| Northeast | 952 | 250 | 24.3 | 21.1 | 27.7 |
| Metropolitan | 972 | 296 | 25.1 | 21.9 | 28.6 |
| Southeast | 888 | 269 | 26.4 | 23.2 | 29.8 |
| Southwest | 904 | 279 | 25.7 | 22.4 | 29.4 |
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## Disability - Activity Limitation \& Use of Specialized Equipmient

## Questions:

"Are you limited in any way in any activities because of physical, mental, or emotional problems?"
"Do you now have any health problem that requires you to use special equipment, such as a cane, a wheelchair, a special bed, or a special telephone?"

Here, activity limitations and use of specialized equipment are presented separately.

## In New Mexico,

$\diamond$ The prevalence of limitations and use of special equipment was higher among older age groups.
$\diamond$ There was no difference in activity limitation or use of specialized equipment by gender.
$\diamond$ Adults with less than a high school education were more likely to be limited in activities and to use specialized equipment.
$\diamond$ There was a strong association with annual household income. Adults living in households with lower annual income were more likely to be limited in activities due to health problems and were more likely to use specialized equipment.
$\diamond$ After adjustment for age, White adults had a significantly higher prevalence of activity limitation (27.5\%) than Hispanic adults (19.3\%), but there was no difference by race/ethnicity in need for special equipment.
$\diamond$ Adults who were unable to work were considerably more likely to be limited in activities due to health problems and to use specialized equipment. $80 \%$ of adults who were unable to work were limited in activities due to a physical, mental, or emotional problem and $47.5 \%$ of them utilized some form of specialized equipment.
Adults who were obese were more likely to be limited in activities or to utilize specialized equipment than adults who were overweight, and both obese and overweight adults were more likely to be limited in activities or to utilize specialized equipment than adults who were not overweight.

Activity Limitation Due to Physical, Mental or Emotional Problem and Use of Special Equipment, by Age, 2014


Activity Limitation Due to Physical, Mental or Emotional Problem and Use of Special Equipment, by Annual Household Income, 2014
$\square<\$ 10,000 \quad$ - $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 19,999 \quad$ - $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 49,999 \quad$ ロ $\$ 50,000+$



Table 65. Percentage of adults who are limited in activities, New Mexico, 2014.

\left.|  |  | Activity Limitation Due to Physical, Mental or Emotional |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problems |  |  |  |  |$\right)$
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## DISABILITY <br> Activity Limitation, Males

Table 66. Percentage of adult males who are limited in activities, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Activity Limitation Due to Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting <br> Activity Limitation | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,565 | 962 | 23.7 | 21.7 | 25.8 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,262 | 873 | 23.5 | 21.5 | 25.7 |
| LGBT** | 94 | 30 | 29.3 | 16.6 | 46.2 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 232 | 21 | 11.3 | 6.7 | 18.6 |
| 25-34 | 341 | 54 | 16.0 | 11.6 | 21.7 |
| 35-44 | 389 | 63 | 20.0 | 14.9 | 26.2 |
| 45-54 | 596 | 149 | 25.9 | 21.2 | 31.3 |
| 55-64 | 840 | 275 | 33.3 | 29.1 | 37.9 |
| 65-74 | 720 | 239 | 32.1 | 27.6 | 36.9 |
| 75+ | 419 | 156 | 34.1 | 27.6 | 41.3 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 291 | 81 | 25.8 | 19.4 | 33.5 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 32 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 50 | 17 | 29.7 | 14.7 | 50.7 |
| Hispanic | 1,112 | 254 | 19.8 | 16.9 | 23.1 |
| White | 1,951 | 562 | 26.5 | 23.6 | 29.6 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 406 | 116 | 25.2 | 20.1 | 31.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 989 | 263 | 21.8 | 18.5 | 25.5 |
| Some College | 876 | 261 | 25.9 | 22.0 | 30.3 |
| College Graduate | 1,284 | 320 | 21.6 | 18.6 | 25.0 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 180 | 88 | 42.8 | 33.2 | 53.0 |
| \$10-19,999 | 491 | 197 | 35.0 | 29.0 | 41.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,165 | 315 | 23.7 | 20.1 | 27.6 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,381 | 263 | 15.7 | 13.2 | 18.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,926 | 283 | 13.8 | 11.6 | 16.2 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 479 | 307 | 58.1 | 51.8 | 64.2 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 97 | 11 | 10.5 | 5.3 | 19.8 |
| Retired | 1,054 | 359 | 33.8 | 29.9 | 38.1 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 765 | 230 | 27.0 | 23.0 | 31.4 |
| Northeast | 736 | 180 | 21.9 | 18.4 | 25.8 |
| Metropolitan | 731 | 199 | 23.9 | 20.2 | 28.1 |
| Southeast | 681 | 174 | 23.5 | 19.8 | 27.8 |
| Southwest | 652 | 179 | 22.8 | 19.1 | 27.0 |

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\pm 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Disabillity <br> Activity Limitation, Females

Table 67. Percentage of adult females who are limited in activities, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Activity Limitation Due to Physical, Mental or Emotional Problems Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Activity Limitation | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Weighted } \\ \text { Percent } \\ (\%)^{\S} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 4,929 | 1,291 | 23.1 | 21.4 | 24.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,569 | 1,187 | 22.5 | 20.8 | 24.3 |
| LGBT** | 121 | 46 | 42.7 | 31.2 | 55.0 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 230 | 21 | 8.4 | 5.1 | 13.5 |
| 25-34 | 441 | 54 | 11.3 | 8.1 | 15.4 |
| 35-44 | 580 | 96 | 19.8 | 15.0 | 25.7 |
| 45-54 | 807 | 204 | 24.2 | 20.4 | 28.4 |
| 55-64 | 1,130 | 366 | 34.1 | 30.2 | 38.2 |
| 65-74 | 981 | 295 | 31.6 | 27.6 | 35.8 |
| 75+ | 703 | 247 | 36.8 | 31.8 | 42.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 478 | 84 | 16.1 | 12.0 | 21.2 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 33 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 56 | 21 | 24.7 | 13.5 | 40.7 |
| Hispanic | 1,585 | 362 | 18.8 | 16.4 | 21.4 |
| White | 2,644 | 781 | 28.5 | 25.9 | 31.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 609 | 183 | 28.2 | 23.2 | 33.7 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,280 | 344 | 23.6 | 20.5 | 27.0 |
| Some College | 1,388 | 390 | 23.2 | 20.3 | 26.3 |
| College Graduate | 1,639 | 371 | 18.7 | 16.3 | 21.3 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 390 | 159 | 37.4 | 30.8 | 44.6 |
| \$10-19,999 | 859 | 283 | 27.9 | 23.9 | 32.4 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,609 | 432 | 22.2 | 19.3 | 25.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,431 | 252 | 16.2 | 13.6 | 19.1 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,043 | 285 | 12.0 | 10.2 | 14.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 650 | 416 | 58.8 | 53.0 | 64.4 |
| Homemaker/Student | 819 | 138 | 12.3 | 9.7 | 15.4 |
| Retired | 1,402 | 447 | 32.2 | 28.9 | 35.8 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,212 | 288 | 19.4 | 16.6 | 22.7 |
| Northeast | 952 | 239 | 23.6 | 20.5 | 27.0 |
| Metropolitan | 972 | 268 | 23.3 | 20.2 | 26.7 |
| Southeast | 888 | 246 | 24.2 | 21.1 | 27.6 |
| Southwest | 905 | 250 | 23.5 | 20.2 | 27.1 |
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## Disability, Use of Special Equipmient

Table 68. Percentage of adults using special equipment, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Use of Special Equipment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Use of Special Equipment | Weighted Percent $(\%) \S$ | 95\% Confidence Interval $\ddagger$ |  |
| TOTAL | 8,485 | 1,072 | 10.2 | 9.3 | 11.2 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 7,819 | 973 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 11.1 |
| LGBT | 214 | 28 | 10.1 | 5.9 | 16.9 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 460 | 4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 2.6 |
| 25-34 | 780 | 27 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 6.1 |
| 35-44 | 967 | 56 | 8.2 | 5.5 | 12.0 |
| 45-54 | 1,394 | 109 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 8.9 |
| 55-64 | 1,969 | 289 | 15.7 | 13.5 | 18.2 |
| 65-74 | 1,702 | 277 | 18.7 | 16.0 | 21.7 |
| 75+ | 1,127 | 306 | 25.5 | 22.2 | 29.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 769 | 89 | 10.2 | 7.6 | 13.7 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 66 | 9 | 12.1 | 5.5 | 24.5 |
| Black/AA** | 107 | 22 | 16.9 | 9.1 | 29.4 |
| Hispanic | 2,695 | 309 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 9.9 |
| White | 4,586 | 608 | 11.3 | 10.0 | 12.8 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,019 | 172 | 12.8 | 10.2 | 15.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,263 | 320 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 12.2 |
| Some College | 2,264 | 295 | 10.1 | 8.5 | 11.9 |
| College Graduate | 2,915 | 283 | 8.2 | 6.9 | 9.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 575 | 136 | 20.6 | 16.4 | 25.5 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,341 | 262 | 15.6 | 13.1 | 18.4 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,768 | 347 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 11.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,805 | 191 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 6.8 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 3,964 | 165 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 4.3 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,129 | 393 | 29.0 | 25.2 | 33.0 |
| Homemaker/Student | 910 | 62 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 4.6 |
| Retired | 2,459 | 451 | 19.8 | 17.6 | 22.2 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,979 | 269 | 9.9 | 8.4 | 11.6 |
| Northeast | 1,688 | 176 | 9.2 | 7.7 | 10.8 |
| Metropolitan | 1,694 | 214 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 12.6 |
| Southeast | 1,566 | 212 | 10.1 | 8.7 | 11.8 |
| Southwest | 1,558 | 201 | 10.1 | 8.5 | 12.0 |
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## Disablutty — Use of Special Equipment, Males

Table 69. Percentage of adult males using special equipment, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Use of Special Equipment Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Use | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | $95 \%$ In | idence ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |
| TOTAL | 3,557 | 431 | 10.2 | 8.9 | 11.7 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,255 | 387 | 10.1 | 8.7 | 11.6 |
| LGBT** | 94 | 17 | 11.9 | 5.4 | 24.2 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 233 | 2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 3.1 |
| 25-34 | 339 | 17 | 5.9 | 3.3 | 10.5 |
| 35-44 | 388 | 31 | 10.9 | 6.9 | 16.9 |
| 45-54 | 588 | 48 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 10.3 |
| 55-64 | 839 | 135 | 15.9 | 12.8 | 19.5 |
| 65-74 | 722 | 112 | 18.8 | 14.8 | 23.7 |
| 75+ | 420 | 84 | 18.1 | 13.9 | 23.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 291 | 37 | 12.5 | 8.0 | 19.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 33 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 50 | 7 | 15.2 | 4.9 | 38.6 |
| Hispanic | 1,106 | 134 | 9.2 | 7.3 | 11.5 |
| White | 1,948 | 230 | 10.1 | 8.4 | 12.1 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 405 | 53 | 9.9 | 6.8 | 14.2 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 985 | 137 | 10.4 | 8.3 | 13.0 |
| Some College | 877 | 112 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 14.1 |
| College Graduate | 1,280 | 129 | 9.3 | 7.2 | 12.1 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 180 | 41 | 20.3 | 13.4 | 29.7 |
| \$10-19,999 | 487 | 110 | 18.5 | 14.2 | 23.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,162 | 140 | 9.6 | 7.4 | 12.3 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,378 | 101 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 7.9 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,922 | 89 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 5.4 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 476 | 168 | 30.2 | 24.7 | 36.4 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 96 | 2 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 5.4 |
| Retired | 1,055 | 172 | 18.2 | 15.1 | 21.8 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 765 | 105 | 10.8 | 8.4 | 13.8 |
| Northeast | 734 | 74 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 11.6 |
| Metropolitan | 726 | 91 | 11.1 | 8.6 | 14.3 |
| Southeast | 680 | 79 | 8.0 | 6.3 | 10.2 |
| Southwest | 652 | 82 | 10.3 | 7.8 | 13.3 |

[^44]
## Disability - Use of Special Equipmient, Females

Table 70. Percentage of adult females using special equipment, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Use of Special Equipment Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Use of Special Equipment | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 4,928 | 641 | 10.2 | 9.1 | 11.5 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,564 | 586 | 10.0 | 8.9 | 11.4 |
| LGBT** | 120 | 11 | 8.4 | 4.0 | 16.9 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 227 | 2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 4.8 |
| 25-34 | 441 | 10 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 3.2 |
| 35-44 | 579 | 25 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 11.4 |
| 45-54 | 806 | 61 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 9.3 |
| 55-64 | 1,130 | 154 | 15.6 | 12.6 | 19.2 |
| 65-74 | 980 | 165 | 18.6 | 15.2 | 22.6 |
| 75+ | 707 | 222 | 31.1 | 26.5 | 36.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 478 | 52 | 8.2 | 5.7 | 11.7 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 33 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 57 | 15 | 18.6 | 9.4 | 33.5 |
| Hispanic | 1,589 | 175 | 7.9 | 6.5 | 9.5 |
| White | 2,638 | 378 | 12.5 | 10.6 | 14.8 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 614 | 119 | 15.3 | 11.5 | 20.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,278 | 183 | 10.5 | 8.5 | 12.9 |
| Some College | 1,387 | 183 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 11.5 |
| College Graduate | 1,635 | 154 | 7.1 | 5.7 | 8.9 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 395 | 95 | 20.7 | 15.9 | 26.5 |
| \$10-19,999 | 854 | 152 | 13.3 | 10.6 | 16.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,606 | 207 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 12.1 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,427 | 90 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 6.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,042 | 76 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 3.5 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 653 | 225 | 27.9 | 23.0 | 33.4 |
| Homemaker/Student | 814 | 60 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 5.1 |
| Retired | 1,404 | 279 | 21.3 | 18.3 | 24.6 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,214 | 164 | 9.0 | 7.4 | 10.9 |
| Northeast | 954 | 102 | 9.3 | 7.4 | 11.5 |
| Metropolitan | 968 | 123 | 10.3 | 8.1 | 12.9 |
| Southeast | 886 | 133 | 12.2 | 10.1 | 14.8 |
| Southwest | 906 | 119 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 12.3 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Falls - Age 45+

## Questions:

"The next questions ask about recent falls. By a fall, we mean when a person unintentionally comes to rest on the ground or another lower level."
"In the past 3 months, how many times have you fall-
en?"
"How many of these falls caused an injury? By an injury, we mean the fall caused you to limit your regular activities for at least a day or to go see a doctor."

Nationally, in 2014, falls was the leading cause of nonfatal injury among adults age 45 or more. ${ }^{23}$

## In New Mexico,

$\rangle$ Among adults age 45 or older, $27.3 \%$ had fallen at least once in the previous 12 months. This was similar to the national rate ( $27.5 \%$ ). $10.8 \%$ of adults age 45 or older had been injured in at least one fall in the previous 12 months. This was similar to the national rate (11.1\%).
$\diamond$ Women reported a higher prevalence of falls and falls with injury than did men.
$\diamond$ LGBT women $45+$ years old had a higher prevalence of falls than heterosexual women, but LGBT men 45+ years old had a lower prevalence of falls than heterosexual men.
$\diamond$ Falls were more common among those 55-64 years old than those 45-54. The age pattern was similar for the prevalence of falls with injury.
$\diamond$ The prevalence of falls in the previous 12 months was lower among Hispanic adults than White adults. There was no difference in falls with injury by race/ethnicity.
$\diamond$ The prevalence of falls and falls with injury among adults age 45+ was highest for those living in households with annual income less than $\$ 10,000$, with a stepwise decrease in prevalence as household income increased.
$\diamond$ For many health outcomes, education and annual household income demonstrate similar patterns. This is not the case with falls and falls with injury. While there was a clear and significant difference by annual household income, there was no difference in falls or falls with injury by education level.
$\diamond$ Adults whose employment status was unable to work were significantly more likely to have fallen and to have fallen with injury.
$\diamond$ There was no significant difference in falls or falls with injury by Region of residence.


## Falls - Age 45+

Table 71. Percentage of adults age $45+$ who had one or more falls in the previous 12 months, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | One or More Falls within Past 12 Months - Age 45+ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting 1+ Falls | Weighted Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { Int } \end{array}$ | idence |
|  |  | within Past 90 Days | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 6,065 | 1,769 | 27.3 | 25.8 | 29.0 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 5,618 | 1,636 | 27.4 | 25.7 | 29.1 |
| LGBT** | 125 | 41 | 26.8 | 17.8 | 38.2 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45-54 | 1,344 | 355 | 25.3 | 22.1 | 28.7 |
| 55-64 | 1,907 | 573 | 29.4 | 26.6 | 32.4 |
| 65-74 | 1,651 | 488 | 28.2 | 25.2 | 31.4 |
| 75+ | 1,083 | 340 | 27.2 | 23.8 | 30.9 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 410 | 128 | 31.7 | 25.0 | 39.3 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 33 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 72 | 22 | 28.5 | 17.4 | 43.0 |
| Hispanic | 1,646 | 447 | 25.2 | 22.3 | 28.2 |
| White | 3,712 | 1.116 | 28.6 | 26.6 | 30.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 678 | 201 | 27.3 | 23.1 | 32.0 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,577 | 446 | 26.4 | 23.5 | 29.6 |
| Some College | 1,532 | 454 | 28.6 | 25.4 | 32.0 |
| College Graduate | 2,262 | 667 | 27.0 | 24.7 | 29.5 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 377 | 148 | 37.2 | 30.7 | 44.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 925 | 326 | 33.8 | 29.4 | 38.4 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,948 | 565 | 25.2 | 22.6 | 27.9 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,081 | 521 | 24.3 | 21.7 | 27.1 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,449 | 566 | 21.2 | 18.9 | 23.7 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 801 | 399 | 47.7 | 42.9 | 52.6 |
| Homemaker/Student | 435 | 110 | 22.8 | 17.9 | 28.6 |
| Retired | 2,364 | 688 | 26.2 | 23.8 | 28.7 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,415 | 437 | 29.7 | 26.6 | 32.9 |
| Northeast | 1,272 | 378 | 26.9 | 24.2 | 29.8 |
| Metropolitan | 1,164 | 307 | 25.9 | 22.9 | 29.2 |
| Southeast | 1,086 | 337 | 31.0 | 28.0 | 34.2 |
| Southwest | 1,128 | 310 | 27.1 | 24.0 | 30.4 |

$\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\Leftrightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Falls - Males Age 45+

Table 72. Percentage of adult males age $45+$ who had one or more falls in the previous 12 months, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | One or More Falls within Past 12 Months - Age 45+ Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting 1+ Falls within Past 90 Days | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 2,493 | 640 | 24.4 | 22.1 | 27.0 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 2,298 | 587 | 24.7 | 22.3 | 27.4 |
| LGBT** | 58 | 13 | 9.5 | 4.1 | 20.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45-54 | 564 | 139 | 25.5 | 20.6 | 31.1 |
| 55-64 | 804 | 210 | 26.0 | 22.0 | 30.5 |
| 65-74 | 702 | 178 | 23.8 | 19.8 | 28.4 |
| 75+ | 399 | 110 | 20.5 | 16.3 | 25.6 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian** | 141 | 43 | 34.9 | 23.9 | 47.9 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 19 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 36 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 663 | 166 | 25.3 | 20.8 | 30.3 |
| White | 1,538 | 398 | 23.5 | 20.9 | 26.4 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 254 | 58 | 21.5 | 15.4 | 29.2 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 649 | 173 | 25.2 | 20.9 | 30.0 |
| Some College | 562 | 154 | 27.1 | 22.2 | 32.6 |
| College Graduate | 1,022 | 255 | 23.0 | 19.8 | 26.5 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 110 | 40 | 38.4 | 26.9 | 51.3 |
| \$10-19,999 | 316 | 102 | 31.0 | 23.9 | 39.3 |
| \$20-49,999 | 790 | 210 | 23.0 | 19.4 | 27.0 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,042 | 221 | 21.4 | 17.9 | 25.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,139 | 228 | 19.9 | 16.6 | 23.7 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 330 | 154 | 44.5 | 37.3 | 51.9 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 14 | - | - | - | - |
| Retired | 1,006 | 255 | 21.8 | 18.8 | 25.2 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 535 | 154 | 28.1 | 23.4 | 33.4 |
| Northeast | 554 | 146 | 23.8 | 20.1 | 28.1 |
| Metropolitan | 474 | 104 | 23.0 | 18.4 | 28.2 |
| Southeast | 457 | 118 | 26.4 | 22.2 | 31.2 |
| Southwest | 473 | 118 | 25.0 | 20.5 | 30.1 |
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## Falls - Females Age 45+

Table 73. Percentage of females age 45+ who had one or more falls in the previous 12 months, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | One or More Falls within Past 12 Months - Age 45+ Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting 1+ Falls | Weighted Percent | 95\% <br> Int | fidence al ${ }^{\text { }}$ |
|  |  | within Past 90 Days | $(\%)^{\S}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,572 | 1,129 | 29.9 | 27.8 | 32.1 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,320 | 1,049 | 29.6 | 27.4 | 31.9 |
| LGBT** | 67 | 28 | 46.6 | 32.1 | 61.7 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45-54 | 780 | 216 | 25.1 | 21.2 | 29.4 |
| 55-64 | 1,103 | 363 | 32.4 | 28.6 | 36.5 |
| 65-74 | 949 | 310 | 32.1 | 27.9 | 36.6 |
| 75+ | 684 | 230 | 32.0 | 27.4 | 37.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 269 | 85 | 29.9 | 21.9 | 39.2 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 14 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 36 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 983 | 281 | 25.1 | 21.7 | 28.8 |
| White | 2,174 | 718 | 32.9 | 30.1 | 35.8 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 424 | 143 | 31.8 | 26.2 | 37.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 928 | 273 | 27.6 | 23.8 | 31.7 |
| Some College | 970 | 300 | 29.8 | 25.8 | 34.1 |
| College Graduate | 1,240 | 412 | 31.0 | 27.6 | 34.7 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 267 | 108 | 36.5 | 29.0 | 44.8 |
| \$10-19,999 | 609 | 224 | 35.5 | 30.1 | 41.3 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,158 | 355 | 27.2 | 23.6 | 31.0 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,039 | 300 | 27.8 | 24.2 | 31.8 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,310 | 338 | 22.7 | 19.7 | 26.0 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 471 | 245 | 50.4 | 44.0 | 56.8 |
| Homemaker/Student | 421 | 108 | 23.3 | 18.3 | 29.2 |
| Retired | 1,358 | 433 | 30.0 | 26.7 | 33.6 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 880 | 283 | 31.1 | 27.3 | 35.1 |
| Northeast | 718 | 232 | 29.9 | 26.1 | 33.9 |
| Metropolitan | 690 | 203 | 28.3 | 24.4 | 32.6 |
| Southeast | 629 | 219 | 35.2 | 31.1 | 39.5 |
| Southwest | 655 | 192 | 29.1 | 25.1 | 33.5 |

$\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are male are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Falls with Injury- Age 45+

Table 74. Percentage of adults age $45+$ who had one or more falls with injury during the previous 12 months, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | One or More Falls with Injury within Past 12 Months - Age 45+ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting $1+$ Falls with | Weighted Percent | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Int } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | fidence |
|  |  | Injury in Past 90 Days | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 6,060 | 708 | 10.8 | 9.8 | 11.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 5,614 | 660 | 11.0 | 9.9 | 12.2 |
| LGBT** | 125 | 17 | 9.5 | 5.0 | 17.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45-54 | 1,341 | 158 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 11.9 |
| 55-64 | 1,906 | 250 | 12.7 | 10.7 | 14.9 |
| 65-74 | 1,650 | 187 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 13.8 |
| 75+ | 1,083 | 107 | 8.5 | 6.7 | 10.7 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 409 | 59 | 13.7 | 9.7 | 19.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 33 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 72 | 12 | 16.6 | 8.4 | 30.3 |
| Hispanic | 1,644 | 198 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 13.3 |
| White | 3,710 | 413 | 10.0 | 8.8 | 11.4 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 678 | 92 | 12.6 | 9.7 | 16.2 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,575 | 189 | 11.1 | 9.3 | 13.2 |
| Some College | 1,529 | 180 | 10.2 | 8.3 | 12.5 |
| College Graduate | 2,262 | 246 | 10.2 | 8.6 | 11.9 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 376 | 78 | 18.1 | 13.4 | 23.9 |
| \$10-19,999 | 922 | 155 | 16.2 | 13.2 | 19.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,948 | 218 | 10.4 | 8.6 | 12.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,080 | 183 | 7.7 | 6.4 | 9.4 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,447 | 198 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 8.9 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 798 | 216 | 22.8 | 19.2 | 26.8 |
| Homemaker/Student | 435 | 50 | 11.1 | 7.6 | 16.1 |
| Retired | 2,364 | 241 | 9.2 | 7.8 | 10.9 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,414 | 184 | 12.7 | 10.7 | 15.1 |
| Northeast | 1,272 | 137 | 10.1 | 8.3 | 12.2 |
| Metropolitan | 1,162 | 127 | 10.2 | 8.3 | 12.4 |
| Southeast | 1,085 | 134 | 12.1 | 10.1 | 14.3 |
| Southwest | 1,127 | 126 | 10.8 | 8.8 | 13.3 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Falls with Injury - Males Age 45+

Table 75. Percentage of males age $45+$ who had one or more falls with injury in the previous 12 months, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | One or More Falls with Injury <br> within Past 12 Months - Age 45+ Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting 1+ Falls with Injury in Past 90 Days | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | $95 \%$ <br> Int <br> Lower | fidence al $^{\text { }}$ Upper |
| TOTAL | 2,489 | 219 | 7.5 | 6.3 | 8.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 2,295 | 202 | 7.7 | 6.5 | 9.2 |
| LGBT** | 58 | 8 | 7.3 | 2.7 | 18.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45-54 | 562 | 52 | 7.4 | 5.3 | 10.4 |
| 55-64 | 803 | 79 | 7.8 | 5.9 | 10.3 |
| 65-74 | 701 | 64 | 9.4 | 6.7 | 13.0 |
| 75+ | 399 | 23 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 7.6 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian** | 140 | 16 | 10.3 | 6.0 | 17.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 19 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 36 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 661 | 61 | 7.7 | 5.5 | 10.6 |
| White | 1,537 | 128 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 8.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 254 | 23 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 11.3 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 647 | 63 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 11.9 |
| Some College | 560 | 50 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 10.6 |
| College Graduate | 1,022 | 83 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 9.1 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 110 | 17 | 11.3 | 6.3 | 19.3 |
| \$10-19,999 | 313 | 45 | 12.1 | 8.4 | 17.2 |
| \$20-49,999 | 790 | 76 | 7.7 | 5.7 | 10.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,041 | 66 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 8.1 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,137 | 68 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 7.3 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 328 | 76 | 17.6 | 13.3 | 23.1 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 14 | - | - | - | - |
| Retired | 1,006 | 74 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 8.2 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 535 | 59 | 10.5 | 7.8 | 14.1 |
| Northeast | 554 | 45 | 7.7 | 5.6 | 10.6 |
| Metropolitan | 472 | 33 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 8.8 |
| Southeast | 456 | 37 | 8.1 | 5.8 | 11.3 |
| Southwest | 472 | 45 | 9.0 | 6.4 | 12.5 |

[^46]
## Falls with Injury - Females Age 45+

Table 76. Percentage of females age $45+$ who had one or more falls with injury in the previous 12 months, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | One or More Falls with Injury <br> within Past 12 Months - Age 45+ Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting 1+ Falls with Injury in Past 90 Days | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | $95 \%$ <br> Int <br> Lower | fidence <br> Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,571 | 489 | 13.6 | 12.1 | 15.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,319 | 458 | 13.9 | 12.2 | 15.7 |
| LGBT** | 67 | 9 | 12.0 | 5.3 | 25.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45-54 | 779 | 106 | 11.8 | 9.1 | 15.1 |
| 55-64 | 1,103 | 171 | 17.0 | 13.9 | 20.7 |
| 65-74 | 949 | 123 | 13.1 | 10.2 | 16.6 |
| 75+ | 684 | 84 | 11.4 | 8.8 | 14.7 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 269 | 43 | 15.6 | 10.1 | 23.2 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 14 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 36 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 983 | 137 | 14.2 | 11.4 | 17.6 |
| White | 2,173 | 285 | 12.6 | 10.8 | 14.6 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 424 | 69 | 16.9 | 12.5 | 22.4 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 928 | 126 | 13.0 | 10.4 | 16.2 |
| Some College | 969 | 130 | 12.5 | 9.8 | 15.8 |
| College Graduate | 1,240 | 163 | 13.4 | 10.9 | 16.3 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 266 | 61 | 21.7 | 15.5 | 29.6 |
| \$10-19,999 | 609 | 110 | 18.8 | 14.6 | 23.7 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,158 | 142 | 12.7 | 10.1 | 15.9 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,039 | 117 | 10.1 | 8.0 | 12.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,310 | 130 | 9.7 | 7.6 | 12.2 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 470 | 140 | 27.0 | 21.8 | 32.8 |
| Homemaker/Student | 421 | 49 | 11.5 | 7.8 | 16.6 |
| Retired | 1,358 | 167 | 11.9 | 9.6 | 14.5 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 879 | 125 | 14.7 | 11.9 | 18.0 |
| Northeast | 718 | 92 | 12.2 | 9.6 | 15.4 |
| Metropolitan | 690 | 94 | 13.7 | 10.9 | 17.1 |
| Southeast | 629 | 97 | 15.6 | 12.7 | 19.1 |
| Southwest | 655 | 81 | 12.5 | 9.6 | 16.1 |
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## Diagnosed Kidney Disease

## Questions:

"Has a doctor, nurse, or any other health professional ever told you that you have kidney disease?"
"Do NOT include kidney stones, bladder infection or incontinence."

The kidneys perform the essential function of filtering excess fluid, electrolytes, and wastes from the blood. ${ }^{24}$ Risk factors for Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) include diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, obesity, high cholesterol, lupus, or family history of CKD. End-stage Renal (Kidney) Disease requires dialysis or kidney transplant. ${ }^{25}$

## In New Mexico,

$3.3 \%$ of adults had been diagnosed with CKD. This was not significantly higher than that of the nation, as a whole, at $2.8 \%$.
$\diamond$ There was not a significant difference in prevalence of CKD by gender or sexual orientation.
$\diamond$ CKD was strongly associated with age. Older adults were more likely to have been diagnosed with CKD.
$\diamond$ There was no difference in diagnosed CKD by race/ethnicity.
Diagnosed CKD was higher among adults with less education and adults living in households with an annual income below $\$ 20,000$.
Residents of the Southwest Region of the state had a slightly higher prevalence of CKD than those in the Northeast, Northwest, or Metropolitan Regions.
$8.5 \%$ of adults with diagnosed diabetes had been diagnosed with CKD versus only $2.6 \%$ of adults without diagnosed diabetes.
$\diamond 11.1 \%$ of adults with a history of diagnosed cardiovascular disease had been diagnosed with CKD versus $2.8 \%$ of adults with no history of diagnosed card6ovascular disease.
$27.4 \%$ of adults with diagnosed CKD were unable to work versus only $7.6 \%$ of adults without diagnosed CKD.


## Kidney Disease

Table 77. Percentage of adults who have been diagnosed with kidney disease, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Kidney Dis ease |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting Diagnosed <br> Kidney Disease | Weighted Percent (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8,922 | 357 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 8,154 | 324 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
| LGBT | 222 | 8 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 4.0 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 486 | 9 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 4.4 |
| 25-34 | 823 | 8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.7 |
| 35-44 | 1,023 | 15 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 3.0 |
| 45-54 | 1,470 | 57 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 6.8 |
| 55-64 | 2,066 | 87 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 5.7 |
| 65-74 | 1,775 | 98 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 7.1 |
| 75+ | 1,177 | 79 | 6.4 | 4.8 | 8.5 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 833 | 30 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 6.6 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 71 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/AA** | 111 | 5 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 10.1 |
| Hispanic | 2,873 | 115 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 4.2 |
| White | 4,748 | 199 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 4.0 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,057 | 65 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 7.4 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,376 | 91 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 4.5 |
| Some College | 2,339 | 84 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 3.7 |
| College Graduate | 3,010 | 114 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 3.4 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 582 | 37 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 7.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,395 | 82 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 7.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,826 | 113 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 3.7 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,851 | 76 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 3.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 4,141 | 95 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.5 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,158 | 104 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 10.6 |
| Homemaker/Student | 939 | 16 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 4.1 |
| Retired | 2.522 | 138 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 6.0 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 2,086 | 87 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 4.2 |
| Northeast | 1,774 | 63 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 4.2 |
| Metropolitan | 1,789 | 67 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 4.0 |
| Southeast | 1,640 | 63 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 4.4 |
| Southwest | 1,633 | 77 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 5.9 |
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## Kidney Disease - Males

Table 78. Percentage of adult males who have been diagnosed with kidney disease, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Kidney Disease Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting Diagnosed Kidney Disease | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,754 | 147 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 3.9 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,401 | 132 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 4.0 |
| LGBT** | 97 | 4 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 6.5 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 244 | 3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 2.4 |
| 25-34 | 363 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 35-44 | 417 | 6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 4.0 |
| 45-54 | 627 | 22 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 8.4 |
| 55-64 | 883 | 37 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 7.4 |
| 65-74 | 751 | 39 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 7.0 |
| 75+ | 432 | 38 | 8.2 | 5.6 | 12.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 307 | 10 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 10.4 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 52 | 3 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 14.8 |
| Hispanic | 1,189 | 45 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 4.7 |
| White | 2,027 | 83 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 3.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 426 | 22 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 8.8 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,039 | 34 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 5.3 |
| Some College | 908 | 26 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 2.5 |
| College Graduate | 1,330 | 64 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 4.4 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 183 | 13 | 5.2 | 2.5 | 10.5 |
| \$10-19,999 | 512 | 29 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 9.3 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,189 | 45 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 4.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,402 | 45 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 3.2 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,024 | 44 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 2.6 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 489 | 38 | 7.8 | 5.1 | 11.8 |
| Homemaker/Student** $\psi$ | 100 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Retired | 1,082 | 64 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 7.2 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 808 | 33 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 5.4 |
| Northeast | 779 | 28 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 5.2 |
| Metropolitan | 771 | 25 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 4.0 |
| Southeast | 708 | 26 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 4.3 |
| Southwest | 688 | 35 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 7.2 |
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## Kidney Disease - Females

Table 79. Percentage of adult females who have been diagnosed with kidney disease, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Diagnosed Kidney Disease Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting Diagnosed | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | $95 \%$ <br> In | fidence |
|  |  | Kidney Disease | (\%) ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,168 | 210 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 4.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,753 | 192 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 4.3 |
| LGBT** | 125 | 4 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 4.4 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 242 | 6 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 8.6 |
| 25-34 | 460 | 8 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 3.4 |
| 35-44 | 606 | 9 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 3.8 |
| 45-54 | 843 | 35 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 7.3 |
| 55-64 | 1,183 | 50 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 5.4 |
| 65-74 | 1,024 | 59 | 6.0 | 4.1 | 8.8 |
| 75+ | 745 | 41 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 7.5 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 526 | 20 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 6.5 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 59 | 2 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 15.8 |
| Hispanic | 1,684 | 70 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 4.5 |
| White | 2,721 | 116 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 5.5 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 631 | 43 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 8.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,337 | 57 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 4.8 |
| Some College | 1,431 | 58 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 5.5 |
| College Graduate | 1,680 | 50 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 3.0 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 399 | 24 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 7.4 |
| \$10-19,999 | 883 | 53 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 8.6 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,637 | 68 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 3.8 |
| \$50,000+ | 1,449 | 31 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 5.0 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,117 | 51 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 3.1 |
| Unemployed Unable to Work | 669 | 66 | 8.4 | 5.9 | 11.8 |
| Homemaker Student ${ }^{\Psi}$ | 839 | 16 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 4.9 |
| Retired | 1,440 | 74 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 5.9 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,278 | 54 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 4.1 |
| Northeast | 995 | 35 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 4.5 |
| Metropolitan | 1,018 | 42 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 5.1 |
| Southeast | 932 | 37 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 5.6 |
| Southwest | 945 | 42 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 6.1 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond, or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Overweight and Obesity

"About how much do you weigh without shoes?"
"About how tall are you without shoes?"

Being overweight or obese is a known risk factor for diabetes, heart disease and stroke, hypertension, gallbladder disease, osteoarthritis (degeneration of cartilage and bone of joints), sleep apnea and other breathing problems, and some forms of cancer (uterine, breast, colorectal, kidney, and gallbladder). ${ }^{26}$

Body Mass Index (BMI) is the measurement of choice for many obesity researchers and other health professionals. BMI is based on height and weight and is not gender-specific in adults. Overweight is defined as a BMI of 25.0 to less than 30.0. Obesity is defined as a BMI of 30.0 or greater. ${ }^{27}$ The confidence intervals presented in these charts are associated with the over-all Overweight/Obese measure in bold text above each bar.

## In New Mexico,

$\diamond 64.9 \%$ of the adults were either overweight or obese: $36.4 \%$ of adults were overweight and an additional $28.4 \%$ were obese, based on Body Mass Index (BMI). There was no significant difference between NM and the U.S.
$\Delta$ In only a decade, the percentage of adults who were overweight or obese has risen from $60.4 \%$ in 2005 to $64.9 \%$ in 2014. The prevalence of overweight has remained constant, while the prevalence of obesity has risen from $21.7 \%$ to 28.4\%.
$\Delta$ A greater percentage of men were overweight, $41.9 \%$ versus $30.9 \%$, but a similar percentage of men and women were obese.
$\diamond$ High rates of overweight and obesity were common to all racial/ethnic groups. A greater percentage of American Indians and Hispanics were obese than White and AsianNHOPI. Though the prevalence of overweight among AsianNHOPI adults was similar to that of other groups, the percentage of AsianNHOPI adults who were obese was extremely low, much lower than adults of any other group.
$\diamond$ While the percentage of overweight was similar by Region, residents of the Northeast Region were less likely to be obese than residents of the other Regions.
$\diamond$ Obesity prevalence was highest among those with a lower level of education. Adults without a High School diploma had a rate of $35.8 \%$ and those at the other end of the spectrum with a college education had a rate of $22.2 \%$.


There was little difference by income category though adults living in households with an annual income of $\$ 50,000$ or more were slightly less likely to be obese than adults living in households with an annual income of less than $\$ 10,000$.

## OvERWEIGHT

Table 80. Percentage of adults who were overweight (but not obese) based on Body Mass Index (BMI = 25.0 to $<30.0$ ), New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Overweight: BMI 25.0 to Less than 30.0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Classified as Overweight | Weighted <br> Percent |  | fidence <br> al |
|  |  | BMI 25.0 to $<30.0$ | (\%)§ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8.284 | 3.052 | 36.4 | 34.8 | 38.0 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 7,641 | 2,805 | 36.3 | 34.6 | 38.0 |
| LGBT | 215 | 75 | 34.5 | 25.6 | 44.8 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 437 | 125 | 24.8 | 20.1 | 30.1 |
| 25-34 | 743 | 251 | 33.6 | 29.1 | 38.4 |
| 35-44 | 939 | 328 | 36.4 | 32.2 | 40.8 |
| 45-54 | 1,371 | 529 | 41.0 | 37.4 | 44.7 |
| 55-64 | 1,936 | 701 | 37.7 | 34.7 | 40.9 |
| 65-74 | 1,687 | 663 | 40.6 | 37.3 | 44.1 |
| 75+ | 1,111 | 434 | 42.7 | 38.5 | 47.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 760 | 285 | 38.6 | 33.6 | 43.9 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 63 | 22 | 32.3 | 18.7 | 49.9 |
| Black/AA** | 108 | 40 | 35.7 | 22.2 | 52.0 |
| Hispanic | 2,592 | 959 | 35.9 | 33.3 | 38.6 |
| White | 4.514 | 1,646 | 36.4 | 34.3 | 38.5 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 941 | 335 | 36.2 | 32.0 | 40.7 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,236 | 873 | 38.1 | 35.1 | 41.2 |
| Some College | 2,225 | 771 | 33.9 | 31.0 | 36.8 |
| College Graduate | 2.868 | 1.067 | 38.3 | 35.6 | 41.0 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 542 | 160 | 31.1 | 25.5 | 37.4 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,319 | 453 | 35.8 | 31.8 | 39.9 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,722 | 1,023 | 36.3 | 33.5 | 39.2 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2.777 | 1,086 | 38.6 | 36.0 | 41.4 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 3,878 | 1,444 | 36.6 | 34.3 | 38.9 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,103 | 369 | 33.8 | 29.8 | 38.0 |
| Homemaker/Student | 845 | 277 | 32.8 | 28.3 | 37.6 |
| Retired | 2,438 | 956 | 41.0 | 38.2 | 43.8 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,927 | 712 | 37.1 | 34.2 | 40.2 |
| Northeast | 1,641 | 602 | 35.7 | 32.8 | 38.7 |
| Metropolitan | 1,668 | 623 | 36.5 | 33.6 | 39.6 |
| Southeast | 1,536 | 557 | 36.4 | 33.5 | 39.5 |
| Southwest | 1,512 | 558 | 36.3 | 33.2 | 39.6 |

[^50]Table 81. Percentage of adults who were obese based on Body Mass Index ( $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 30$ ), New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Obese - BMI $\geq 30.0$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Classified as Obese | Weighted <br> Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { Int } \end{array}$ | fidence <br> l |
|  |  | BMI $\geq 30.0$ | (\%)§ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8,284 | 2,290 | 28.4 | 27.0 | 30.0 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 7,641 | 2,156 | 28.9 | 27.4 | 30.6 |
| LGBT | 215 | 44 | 24.2 | 16.9 | 33.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 437 | 85 | 19.1 | 14.9 | 24.1 |
| 25-34 | 743 | 246 | 33.2 | 28.6 | 38.1 |
| 35-44 | 939 | 317 | 34.1 | 29.9 | 38.5 |
| 45-54 | 1,371 | 441 | 31.0 | 27.8 | 34.4 |
| 55-64 | 1,936 | 587 | 32.4 | 29.4 | 35.5 |
| 65-74 | 1,687 | 433 | 24.9 | 22.1 | 28.0 |
| 75+ | 1,111 | 169 | 14.8 | 12.2 | 18.0 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 760 | 310 | 40.7 | 35.6 | 45.9 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 63 | 8 | 9.7 | 4.2 | 21.0 |
| Black/AA** | 108 | 39 | 38.9 | 26.1 | 53.4 |
| Hispanic | 2,592 | 815 | 31.2 | 28.6 | 33.8 |
| White | 4,514 | 1,056 | 23.7 | 21.8 | 25.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 941 | 341 | 35.8 | 31.5 | 40.4 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,236 | 654 | 28.1 | 25.4 | 30.9 |
| Some College | 2,225 | 652 | 29.5 | 26.8 | 32.4 |
| College Graduate | 2,868 | 640 | 22.2 | 20.0 | 24.5 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 542 | 205 | 30.6 | 25.7 | 36.1 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,319 | 426 | 33.0 | 29.2 | 36.9 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,722 | 736 | 29.6 | 26.9 | 32.5 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,777 | 727 | 26.0 | 23.7 | 28.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 3,878 | 1,147 | 29.8 | 27.6 | 32.0 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,103 | 402 | 37.3 | 33.2 | 41.6 |
| Homemaker/Student | 845 | 209 | 21.0 | 17.3 | 25.2 |
| Retired | 2,438 | 524 | 22.2 | 19.9 | 24.7 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,927 | 625 | 35.6 | 32.6 | 38.7 |
| Northeast | 1,641 | 338 | 21.1 | 18.6 | 23.7 |
| Metropolitan | 1,668 | 433 | 27.5 | 24.8 | 30.5 |
| Southeast | 1,536 | 480 | 31.8 | 29.0 | 34.8 |
| Southwest | 1,512 | 414 | 30.0 | 26.8 | 33.3 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\emptyset$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Overweight or Obese

Table 82. Percentage of adults who were overweight or obese based on Body Mass Index (BMI $\geq 25.0$ ), New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Overweight or Obese - BMI $\geq 25.0$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Classified as Overweight or Obese <br> BMI $\geq 25.0$ | Weighted Percent(\%)§ | 95\% Confidence Interval: |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8,284 | 5,342 | 64.9 | 63.2 | 66.5 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 7,641 | 4,961 | 65.2 | 63.5 | 66.9 |
| LGBT | 215 | 119 | 58.7 | 48.8 | 68.0 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 437 | 210 | 43.9 | 38.0 | 49.9 |
| 25-34 | 743 | 497 | 66.8 | 61.9 | 71.3 |
| 35-44 | 939 | 645 | 70.5 | 66.4 | 74.3 |
| 45-54 | 1,371 | 970 | 72.0 | 68.6 | 75.2 |
| 55-64 | 1,936 | 1,288 | 70.1 | 67.3 | 72.7 |
| 65-74 | 1,687 | 1,096 | 65.6 | 62.3 | 68.7 |
| 75+ | 1,111 | 603 | 57.6 | 53.4 | 61.6 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 760 | 595 | 79.3 | 74.9 | 83.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 63 | 30 | 42.1 | 26.4 | 59.5 |
| Black/AA** | 108 | 79 | 74.6 | 61.4 | 84.5 |
| Hispanic | 2,592 | 1,774 | 67.1 | 64.2 | 69.8 |
| White | 4,514 | 2,702 | 60.1 | 57.9 | 62.3 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 941 | 676 | 72.0 | 67.6 | 76.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,236 | 1,527 | 66.2 | 62.9 | 69.3 |
| Some College | 2,225 | 1,423 | 63.4 | 60.3 | 66.3 |
| College Graduate | 2,868 | 1,707 | 60.4 | 57.7 | 63.1 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 542 | 365 | 61.7 | 55.2 | 67.8 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,319 | 879 | 68.7 | 64.4 | 72.7 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,722 | 1,759 | 65.9 | 63.1 | 68.6 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,777 | 1,813 | 64.7 | 61.9 | 67.4 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 3,878 | 2,591 | 66.4 | 64.0 | 68.7 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,103 | 771 | 71.0 | 67.0 | 74.7 |
| Homemaker/Student | 845 | 486 | 53.8 | 48.7 | 58.8 |
| Retired | 2,438 | 1,480 | 63.2 | 60.5 | 65.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,927 | 1,337 | 72.7 | 69.9 | 75.4 |
| Northeast | 1,641 | 940 | 56.8 | 53.6 | 59.9 |
| Metropolitan | 1,668 | 1,056 | 64.1 | 60.9 | 67.1 |
| Southeast | 1,536 | 1,037 | 68.3 | 65.2 | 71.1 |
| Southwest | 1,512 | 972 | 66.3 | 63.1 | 69.4 |

[^51]Table 83. Percentage of adult males who were overweight or obese based on Body Mass Index (BMI $\geq$ 25.0), New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Overweight or Obese - BMI $\geq$ 25.0 Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Classified as Overweight or Obese | Weighted <br> Percent | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{9 5 \%} \mathbf{C} \\ \text { Inte } \end{array}$ | fidence 1 |
|  |  | BMI $\geq 25.0$ | (\%)§ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,586 | 2,545 | 69.4 | 67.0 | 71.8 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,278 | 2,347 | 69.8 | 67.2 | 72.3 |
| LGBT** | 94 | 51 | 61.1 | 45.4 | 74.8 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 233 | 119 | 44.0 | 36.0 | 52.3 |
| 25-34 | 342 | 242 | 70.1 | 62.7 | 76.5 |
| 35-44 | 394 | 296 | 76.0 | 70.0 | 81.0 |
| 45-54 | 596 | 460 | 78.3 | 73.3 | 82.6 |
| 55-64 | 844 | 620 | 74.9 | 70.9 | 78.5 |
| 65-74 | 727 | 523 | 71.9 | 67.2 | 76.2 |
| 75+ | 423 | 266 | 64.9 | 58.4 | 70.9 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 293 | 230 | 80.3 | 73.1 | 86.0 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 32 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 52 | 39 | 80.0 | 61.6 | 90.9 |
| Hispanic | 1,111 | 834 | 71.1 | 66.8 | 75.1 |
| White | 1,969 | 1,334 | 65.7 | 62.4 | 68.9 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 397 | 288 | 69.3 | 62.3 | 75.6 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,006 | 743 | 70.7 | 66.0 | 75.0 |
| Some College | 883 | 627 | 68.4 | 63.6 | 72.9 |
| College Graduate | 1,292 | 880 | 69.2 | 65.3 | 72.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 178 | 115 | 58.3 | 47.6 | 68.3 |
| \$10-19,999 | 499 | 349 | 66.3 | 58.6 | 73.2 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,166 | 819 | 71.0 | 66.8 | 74.8 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,390 | 1,026 | 71.9 | 68.0 | 75.4 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,937 | 1,405 | 69.8 | 66.4 | 73.0 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 485 | 346 | 71.8 | 66.0 | 77.0 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 95 | 52 | 46.1 | 34.1 | 58.7 |
| Retired | 1,061 | 737 | 72.3 | 68.5 | 75.7 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 772 | 581 | 77.5 | 73.3 | 81.1 |
| Northeast | 741 | 468 | 60.8 | 55.9 | 65.4 |
| Metropolitan | 729 | 508 | 67.1 | 62.3 | 71.6 |
| Southeast | 687 | 518 | 75.2 | 70.9 | 79.0 |
| Southwest | 657 | 470 | 73.0 | 68.4 | 77.1 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond, or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

Table 84. Percentage of adult females who were overweight or obese based on Body Mass Index (BMI $\geq$ 25.0), New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Overweight or Obese - BMI $\geq$ 25.0 Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Classified as Overweight or Obese <br> BMI $\geq 25.0$ | Weighted Percent (\%)§ | 95\% Confidence Interval $\ddagger$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 4,698 | 2,797 | 60.3 | 58.1 | 62.4 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,363 | 2,614 | 60.7 | 58.4 | 62.9 |
| LGBT** | 121 | 68 | 56.5 | 44.2 | 68.0 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24** | 204 | 91 | 43.7 | 35.2 | 52.6 |
| 25-34 | 401 | 255 | 63.0 | 56.4 | 69.2 |
| 35-44 | 545 | 349 | 64.7 | 58.8 | 70.1 |
| 45-54 | 775 | 510 | 65.8 | 61.1 | 70.3 |
| 55-64 | 1,092 | 668 | 65.5 | 61.6 | 69.3 |
| 65-74 | 960 | 573 | 59.7 | 55.2 | 64.1 |
| 75+ | 688 | 337 | 51.9 | 46.6 | 57.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 467 | 365 | 78.3 | 72.7 | 83.0 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 31 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 56 | 40 | 68.9 | 49.2 | 83.6 |
| Hispanic | 1,481 | 940 | 63.0 | 59.2 | 66.6 |
| White | 2,545 | 1,368 | 54.5 | 51.5 | 57.4 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 544 | 388 | 74.8 | 69.5 | 79.4 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,230 | 784 | 61.2 | 56.6 | 65.7 |
| Some College | 1,342 | 796 | 58.6 | 54.6 | 62.5 |
| College Graduate | 1,576 | 827 | 51.6 | 48.0 | 55.1 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 364 | 250 | 64.1 | 55.9 | 71.6 |
| \$10-19,999 | 820 | 530 | 70.7 | 66.0 | 75.0 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,556 | 940 | 60.6 | 56.8 | 64.3 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,387 | 787 | 55.7 | 51.7 | 59.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,941 | 1,186 | 61.4 | 58.0 | 64.6 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 618 | 425 | 70.3 | 64.7 | 75.4 |
| Homemaker/Student | 750 | 434 | 55.4 | 49.9 | 60.7 |
| Retired | 1,377 | 743 | 54.6 | 50.9 | 58.3 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,155 | 756 | 68.0 | 64.1 | 71.6 |
| Northeast | 900 | 472 | 52.7 | 48.6 | 56.7 |
| Metropolitan | 939 | 548 | 61.2 | 57.0 | 65.2 |
| Southeast | 849 | 519 | 60.8 | 56.5 | 64.8 |
| Southwest | 855 | 502 | 59.4 | 54.9 | 63.7 |

$\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond, or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
$\leftrightarrows$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Alcohol Consumption

## Questions:

A drink of alcohol is 12 -ounce beer, a 5-ounce glass of wine, or a drink with one shot of liquor.
"During the past 30 days, how many days per week or per month did you have at least 1 drink of any alcoholic beverage?"
"During the past 30 days, on the days when you drank, about how many drinks did you drink on the average?"
"Considering all types of alcoholic beverages, how many times during the past 30 days did you have ( 5 (men) or 4 (women)) or more drinks on an occasion?" "During the past 30 days, what is the largest number of drinks you had on any occasion? "

Excessive alcohol consumption is a contributing factor to morbidity and mortality from many causes. ${ }^{28}$ Acute binge drinking (defined as 5 or more drinks for males and 4 or more drinks for females on at least one occasion during the past month) is strongly associated with injuries and death from motor vehicle crashes, homicide, suicide, falls and drug overdose. Chronic 'heavy' drinking (defined as $>2$ drinks per day for men and $>1$ drink per day for women on average during the past month) is strongly associated with numerous alcohol-related diseases, most notably alcohol-related chronic liver disease. ${ }^{29}$

Though the rates of binge drinking and heavy drinking were lower in NM than the U.S., over the past 20 years, New Mexico has consistently had among the highest alcohol-related death rates in the U.S. ${ }^{29}$

## In New Mexico,

$\diamond 13.7 \%$ of adults were binge drinkers and $5.7 \%$ were heavy drinkers. Both estimates were lower than the corresponding estimates for the U.S. median, $16.0 \%$ and $5.9 \%$, respectively.

LGBT adults had significantly higher prevalence of both binge drinking and heavy drinking.
$\diamond$ Binge drinking prevalence was high in the younger age groups, but was relatively uncommon in the older age groups, ranging from a low of $1.6 \%$ in those $75+$ to a high of $24.3 \%$ in those 25-34 years. Heavy drinking was more evenly distribute across age groups.
$\diamond$ Binge drinking was higher among adult males ( $20.5 \%$ ) than adult females ( $7.4 \%$ ). Similarly for prevalence of heavy drinking, $6.9 \%$ and $4.5 \%$ respectively.

There was no measurable difference in heavy drinking by race/ethnicity. After age adjustment, Hispanic adults and White adults were more likely to have binged than American Indian adults.


Adults residing in the Southwest Region were more likely to binge drink (18.5\%). Adults in the Northwest Region were less likely to drink heavily (3.4\%).

## Alcohol Consumption - Binge Drinking

Table 85. Percentage of adults who binged at least once in the past 30 days (males $\geq 5$ drinks on one occasion or females $\geq 4$ drinks), New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | At Least One Binge Occasion in Past 30 Days |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting 1+Binge in | Weighted Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { Int } \end{array}$ | fidence al $^{\ddagger}$ |
|  |  | Past 30 Days | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8,238 | 814 | 13.7 | 12.5 | 15.1 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 7,607 | 753 | 13.7 | 12.4 | 15.1 |
| LGBT | 212 | 34 | 24.3 | 16.4 | 34.5 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 448 | 87 | 19.3 | 14.8 | 24.8 |
| 25-34 | 755 | 173 | 24.3 | 20.2 | 28.9 |
| 35-44 | 932 | 149 | 17.3 | 14.1 | 20.9 |
| 45-54 | 1,355 | 168 | 12.8 | 10.6 | 15.4 |
| 55-64 | 1,916 | 144 | 8.4 | 6.8 | 10.3 |
| 65-74 | 1,666 | 71 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 7.2 |
| 75+ | 1,089 | 17 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.9 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 741 | 78 | 11.9 | 8.6 | 16.3 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 63 | 1 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 15.7 |
| Black/AA** | 98 | 10 | 17.6 | 8.8 | 32.0 |
| Hispanic | 2,604 | 317 | 15.9 | 13.7 | 18.3 |
| White | 4,482 | 382 | 12.2 | 10.7 | 13.8 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 984 | 80 | 11.8 | 8.9 | 15.5 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,185 | 247 | 16.5 | 13.8 | 19.5 |
| Some College | 2,197 | 243 | 14.8 | 12.6 | 17.4 |
| College Graduate | 2,854 | 243 | 10.5 | 8.9 | 12.3 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 550 | 44 | 10.8 | 7.2 | 15.7 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,292 | 135 | 14.3 | 11.1 | 18.2 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,708 | 275 | 15.0 | 12.8 | 17.5 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,751 | 305 | 14.3 | 12.4 | 16.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 3,848 | 557 | 18.8 | 16.8 | 20.9 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,090 | 93 | 11.0 | 8.5 | 14.1 |
| Homemaker/Student | 886 | 63 | 9.0 | 6.5 | 12.4 |
| Retired | 2,391 | 99 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 6.4 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,926 | 145 | 11.1 | 9.1 | 13.5 |
| Northeast | 1,644 | 150 | 11.7 | 9.5 | 14.2 |
| Metropolitan | 1,638 | 178 | 12.9 | 10.7 | 15.4 |
| Southeast | 1,510 | 158 | 14.3 | 12.0 | 16.9 |
| Southwest | 1,520 | 183 | 18.5 | 15.5 | 21.9 |
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## Alcohol Consumption - Heavy Drinking

Table 86. Percentage of adults who reported heavy drinking (more than 2 drinks per day for men and more than 1 drink per day for women, on average, in past month), New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Heavy Drinking |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Who Reported Heavy | Weighted Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { In } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fidence } \\ & \text { al }^{\ddagger} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Drinking | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8,254 | 425 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 6.5 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 7,621 | 382 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 6.3 |
| LGBT | 212 | 21 | 12.9 | 7.5 | 21.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 449 | 23 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 6.9 |
| 25-34 | 759 | 54 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 10.8 |
| 35-44 | 931 | 62 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 10.2 |
| 45-54 | 1,357 | 82 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 7.9 |
| 55-64 | 1,925 | 91 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 6.1 |
| 65-74 | 1,667 | 79 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 7.5 |
| 75+ | 1,089 | 34 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 3.8 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 743 | 21 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 8.2 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 63 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 3.8 |
| Black/AA** | 99 | 8 | 13.5 | 6.0 | 27.8 |
| Hispanic | 2,608 | 114 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 6.0 |
| White | 4,492 | 269 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 8.0 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 983 | 33 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 7.7 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,194 | 106 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 7.3 |
| Some College | 2,200 | 111 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 7.2 |
| College Graduate | 2,859 | 175 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 7.6 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 552 | 20 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 9.1 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,293 | 57 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 6.7 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,714 | 132 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 7.4 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,754 | 186 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 8.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 3,850 | 251 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 8.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,093 | 40 | 5.0 | 3.2 | 7.5 |
| Homemaker/Student | 890 | 20 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 4.0 |
| Retired | 2,398 | 112 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 6.8 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,932 | 58 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 4.7 |
| Northeast | 1,643 | 104 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 7.8 |
| Metropolitan | 1,640 | 97 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 7.2 |
| Southeast | 1,517 | 78 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 8.3 |
| Southwest | 1,522 | 88 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 8.2 |

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Alcohol Consumption - Males

The relationship of drinking behavior to demographic factors follows similar patterns between men and women but the magnitude is quite different. Males have roughly twice the alcoholrelated death rate of females, in both the United States and in New Mexico. ${ }^{29}$

## In New Mexico,

$\diamond 20.5 \%$ of adult males reported binge drinking in the past 30 days which was similar to that of the U.S. (21.4\%).
$\diamond$ The prevalence of binge drinking among men has remained relatively stable over the past several years at around $20 \%$. The increase after 2010 is likely due to improvements to the BRFSS sampling methodology.
$\diamond 6.9 \%$ of adult males reported heavy drinking, which was similar to that of the U.S. (6.5\%).
$\diamond$ Among males, binge drinking was highest among those age 18-34 and was much lower among older adult males. For heavy drinking the smaller differences by age were not statistically significant.
$\diamond$ White adults (16.7\%) were significantly less likely to binge drink than Hispanic adults ( $25.9 \%$ ), but there were no significant differences in heavy drinking by race/ethnicity. Small sample size prevented reporting of all race/ethnicity groups.
$\diamond$ Men with a college degree were significantly less likely to binge drink. They also had a lower prevalence of heavy drinking, but it was not statistically significant.
$\diamond$ Binge and heavy drinking were not associated with annual household income.
$\diamond$ Men residing in the Southwest Region had the highest rate of binge drinking (27.7\%) compared to the other Regions.
$\diamond$ Employment categories of retired or unable to work had the lowest percentages of binge drinking. After adjustment for differences in age distribution across employment categories, only those who were unable to work (disability) had a lower percentage of binge drinking. There was no difference in heavy drinking by employment status.


## Binge Drinking - Males

Table 87. Percentage of adult males who binged ( $\geq 5$ drinks on an occasion) at least once in the past 30 days, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | At Least One Binge Occasion in Past 30 Days Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting $1+$ Binge in Past 30 Days | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,432 | 535 | 20.5 | 18.4 | 22.8 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,146 | 497 | 20.8 | 18.5 | 23.3 |
| LGBT** | 93 | 19 | 29.4 | 17.1 | 45.7 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 226 | 64 | 27.6 | 20.2 | 36.4 |
| 25-34 | 327 | 111 | 33.8 | 27.2 | 41.1 |
| 35-44 | 377 | 91 | 25.1 | 19.7 | 31.4 |
| 45-54 | 566 | 107 | 19.1 | 15.1 | 23.8 |
| 55-64 | 806 | 99 | 13.6 | 10.6 | 17.3 |
| 65-74 | 702 | 47 | 7.8 | 5.0 | 11.8 |
| 75+ | 405 | 14 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 6.3 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 282 | 53 | 19.8 | 13.5 | 28.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 31 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 45 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 1,053 | 224 | 25.9 | 22.0 | 30.2 |
| White | 1,902 | 236 | 16.7 | 14.2 | 19.6 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 380 | 68 | 22.8 | 17.2 | 29.6 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 945 | 173 | 23.4 | 19.1 | 28.3 |
| Some College | 847 | 156 | 22.1 | 18.2 | 26.5 |
| College Graduate | 1,252 | 138 | 13.5 | 10.9 | 16.7 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 165 | 26 | 21.3 | 13.2 | 32.3 |
| \$10-19,999 | 466 | 82 | 20.7 | 15.0 | 27.8 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,131 | 187 | 22.6 | 18.8 | 27.0 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,350 | 207 | 19.5 | 16.5 | 23.0 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,861 | 376 | 25.0 | 21.9 | 28.3 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 454 | 62 | 17.1 | 12.8 | 22.5 |
| Homemaker/Student** ${ }^{*}$ | 92 | 20 | 19.2 | 11.5 | 30.2 |
| Retired | 1,018 | 77 | 7.9 | 5.7 | 10.9 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 746 | 100 | 18.0 | 14.4 | 22.2 |
| Northeast | 713 | 88 | 16.5 | 12.7 | 21.1 |
| Metropolitan | 695 | 105 | 18.5 | 14.8 | 23.0 |
| Southeast | 651 | 116 | 23.4 | 19.3 | 28.1 |
| Southwest | 627 | 126 | 27.7 | 22.7 | 33.3 |
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## Heavy Drinking - Males

Table 88. Percentage of adult males who reported heavy drinking (more than 2 drinks per day, on average, in past month), New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Heavy Drinking Among Adult Men: > 2 Drinks/Day |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Who Reported Heavy | Weighted Percent | $95 \%$ <br> In | fidence |
|  |  | Drinking | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,441 | 217 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 8.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,153 | 197 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 8.3 |
| LGBT** | 93 | 8 | 12.9 | 5.5 | 27.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 224 | 17 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 10.2 |
| 25-34 | 328 | 27 | 7.8 | 4.7 | 12.8 |
| 35-44 | 378 | 30 | 9.3 | 6.0 | 14.2 |
| 45-54 | 567 | 40 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 10.7 |
| 55-64 | 814 | 51 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 8.8 |
| 65-74 | 703 | 36 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 10.1 |
| 75+ | 404 | 16 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 5.6 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 280 | 11 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 16.6 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 31 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 45 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 1,057 | 80 | 7.8 | 5.9 | 10.3 |
| White | 1,910 | 116 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 8.4 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 379 | 30 | 10.1 | 6.3 | 15.7 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 953 | 67 | 7.0 | 5.1 | 9.5 |
| Some College | 846 | 55 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 9.2 |
| College Graduate | 1,255 | 65 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 7.0 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000** | 165 | 11 | 9.4 | 4.2 | 19.6 |
| \$10-19,999 | 468 | 28 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 9.1 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,137 | 69 | 7.2 | 5.1 | 10.1 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,351 | 95 | 7.4 | 5.6 | 9.6 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,861 | 129 | 7.4 | 5.8 | 9.3 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 457 | 27 | 7.6 | 4.6 | 12.2 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 92 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 5.4 |
| Retired | 1,024 | 59 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 9.0 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 748 | 34 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 7.8 |
| Northeast | 712 | 44 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 9.7 |
| Metropolitan | 696 | 42 | 6.0 | 4.1 | 8.6 |
| Southeast | 656 | 50 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 13.6 |
| Southwest | 629 | 47 | 8.3 | 5.8 | 11.8 |

[^54]
## Alcohol Consumption - Females

Among females, binge drinking is defined as 4 or more drinks on at least one occasion during the past month; and heavy drinking is defined as drinking more than 1 drink per day, on average, during the past month.
In New Mexico, as across the country, the prevalence of binge drinking among women was much lower than among men, and the prevalence of heavy drinking was slightly lower among women than men.

## In New Mexico,

$\diamond$ Binge drinking among women has declined from $10.1 \%$ in 2011 to $7.4 \%$ in 2014. The NM rate has been consistently lower than the U.S. rate over the past decade.
$\diamond 4.5 \%$ of adult females reported heavy drinking, which was slightly lower than the U.S. (5.2\%).
$\diamond$ Binge and heavy drinking were higher among female LGBT adults than among female heterosexual adults.
$\diamond$ Binge drinking and heavy drinking among women were highest in the 25-34 year age group.
$\diamond$ Black/African American women had statically higher rates of binge and heavy drinking than any other groups, however because the sample size is small, results should be interpreted with caution. American Indian women ( $0.8 \%$ ) and Hispanic women ( $1.9 \%$ ) had significantly lower rates of heavy drinking than did White women (6.9\%).
$\diamond$ Women without a high school diploma had lower rates of binge and heavy drinking than women with more education.
$\diamond$ There were no measurable differences in binge or heavy drinking patterns among women by household income.
$\diamond$ Employed women had the highest rates of binge ( $10.4 \%$ ) and heavy ( $6.1 \%$ ) drinking. Retired women had a significantly lower rate of binge drinking ( $2.0 \%$ ).
$\diamond$ Women residing in the Southwest Region had the highest rate of binge drinking (9.9\%), and women in the Northwest Region had a very low rate of heavy drinking (1.6\%).


## Binge Drinking - Females

Table 89. Percentage of adult females who binged ( $\geq 4$ drinks on an occasion) at least once in the past 30 days, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | At Least One Binge Occasion in Past 30 Days Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting 1+ Binge in Past 30 Days | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 4,806 | 279 | 7.4 | 6.3 | 8.7 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,461 | 256 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 8.3 |
| LGBT** | 119 | 15 | 19.6 | 10.7 | 33.2 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 222 | 23 | 10.2 | 6.5 | 15.9 |
| 25-34 | 428 | 62 | 14.7 | 10.5 | 20.1 |
| 35-44 | 555 | 58 | 9.5 | 6.8 | 13.1 |
| 45-54 | 789 | 61 | 7.3 | 5.3 | 9.9 |
| 55-64 | 1,110 | 45 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 5.3 |
| 65-74 | 964 | 24 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 4.8 |
| 75+ | 684 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 459 | 25 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 8.4 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 32 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 6 | 25.3 | 11.2 | 47.7 |
| Hispanic | 1,551 | 93 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 8.9 |
| White | 2,580 | 146 | 7.8 | 6.3 | 9.6 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 604 | 12 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 5.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,240 | 74 | 9.3 | 6.7 | 12.9 |
| Some College | 1,350 | 87 | 8.4 | 6.4 | 10.9 |
| College Graduate | 1,602 | 105 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 9.7 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 385 | 18 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 7.9 |
| \$10-19,999 | 826 | 53 | 9.3 | 6.3 | 13.7 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,577 | 88 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 9.9 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,401 | 98 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 10.4 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,987 | 181 | 10.4 | 8.6 | 12.6 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 636 | 31 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 9.5 |
| Homemaker/Student ${ }^{\Psi}$ | 794 | 43 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 10.6 |
| Retired | 1,373 | 22 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 3.6 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,180 | 45 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 6.8 |
| Northeast | 931 | 62 | 7.1 | 5.3 | 9.4 |
| Metropolitan | 943 | 73 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 10.3 |
| Southeast | 859 | 42 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 7.4 |
| Southwest | 893 | 57 | 9.9 | 7.1 | 13.5 |
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## Heavy Drinking

Table 90. Percentage of adult females who reported heavy drinking (more than 1 drink per day, on average, in past month), New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Heavy Drinking Among Adult Women: > 1 Drink/Day |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Who Reported Heavy | Weighted <br> Percent | $95 \%$ <br> In | fidence |
|  |  | Drinking | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 4,813 | 208 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 5.5 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,468 | 185 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 5.1 |
| LGBT** | 119 | 13 | 12.9 | 6.4 | 24.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 225 | 6 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 6.3 |
| 25-34 | 431 | 27 | 7.3 | 4.4 | 11.9 |
| 35-44 | 553 | 32 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 8.5 |
| 45-54 | 790 | 42 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 7.0 |
| 55-64 | 1,111 | 40 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 4.9 |
| 65-74 | 964 | 43 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 7.1 |
| 75+ | 685 | 18 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 3.7 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 463 | 10 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.7 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 32 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 54 | 5 | 22.1 | 9.0 | 45.0 |
| Hispanic | 1,551 | 34 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 3.0 |
| White | 2,582 | 153 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 8.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 604 | 3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.7 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,241 | 39 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 6.8 |
| Some College | 1,354 | 56 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 6.9 |
| College Graduate | 1,604 | 110 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 9.5 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 387 | 9 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 5.1 |
| \$10-19,999 | 825 | 29 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 6.7 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,577 | 63 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 6.2 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,403 | 91 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 8.7 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,989 | 122 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 8.0 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 636 | 13 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 6.0 |
| Homemaker/Student | 798 | 19 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 4.7 |
| Retired | 1,374 | 53 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 6.2 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,184 | 24 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.7 |
| Northeast | 931 | 60 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 7.5 |
| Metropolitan | 944 | 55 | 5.4 | 3.8 | 7.5 |
| Southeast | 861 | 28 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 4.2 |
| Southwest | 893 | 41 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 6.6 |
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## No Leisure-time Physical Activity

## Question:

"During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activities or exercises such as running, calisthenics, golf, gardening, or walking for exercise?"

Among the health benefits of regular physical activity are reduced risk of coronary heart disease, lower heart rate and blood pressure, reduced weight, lower serum triglyceride levels, increased "good" cholesterol, reduced risk of osteoporosis by increasing bone density, boosting of immune function, beneficial effect on clotting mechanisms and improved psychological well-being and quality of life. ${ }^{30,31}$
During even years, the BRFSS includes only a single question about leisure-time physical activity, as presented here. The odd year BRFSS questionnaire includes an expanded set of physical activity questions that provide for description of frequency and intensity of physical activity.

## In New Mexico,

23.3\% of adults did not participate in any form of leisure-time physical activity. This percentage was similar to that of the U.S. (23.7\%).
$\diamond$ Adult males (20.3\%) were significantly less likely to have gone without any leisure-time physical activity than were adult females (26.1\%).
$\diamond$ There was not a significant difference in lei-sure-time physical activity between Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, or Transgender adults ( $26.6 \%$ ) and heterosexual adults ( $23.0 \%$ ).
$\diamond$ The percentage of adults who did not engage in any leisure-time physical activity was higher in the older age groups.
American Indian (25.2\%) and Hispanic (26.7\%)adults were significantly more likely to have gone without any leisure-time physical activity than White adults (19.1\%).


## No Leisure-time Physical Activity

## In New Mexico,

There was a gradient in leisure-time physical activity by level of education and by annual household income. $38.7 \%$ of adults with less than a high school education did not engage in leisure-time physical activity, compared to $11.0 \%$ of those with a college education. Similarly, $35.4 \%$ of adults living in households with annual income of less than $\$ 10,000$ did not engage in leisure-time physical activity, compared to $11.5 \%$ of those living in households with annual income of $\$ 50,000$ or more.
$\diamond$ By employment status, lack of leisure-time physical activity was highest among those unable to work ( $47.6 \%$ ), followed by those unemployed (27.4\%). Employed adults had the lowest rate of no leisure-time physical activity at $18.8 \%$.
$\diamond$ Adults residing in the Southeast Region (31.1\%) of the state were less likely to have engaged in leisure-time physical activity than those in any other Regions of the state. Adults residing in the Northwest and Northeast Regions had rates of $24.8 \%$ and $20.0 \%$, respectively, and $21.3 \%$ in the Metropolitan Region.
Adults who engaged in leisure-time physical activity were less likely to concurrently have fair or poor general health status ( $16.1 \%$ vs. $36.1 \%$ ), less likely to have a disability ( $19.7 \%$ vs. $35.6 \%$ ), diabetes ( $10.0 \%$ vs. $16.6 \%$ ), any cardiovascular disease ( $13.1 \%$ vs. $18.2 \%$ ), to be obese ( $25.9 \%$ vs. $27.1 \%$ ), or to be unable to work ( $5.6 \%$ vs. 16.9\%). The BRFSS is a cross-sectional survey, so it is not possible to infer the direction of these relationships; in other words, which came first, the poor health condition which might then limit physical activity, or low levels of physical activity which might increase likelihood of a given health condition.


## No Leisure-time Physical Activity

Table 91. Percentage of adults not engaging in leisure-time physical activity, New Mexico, 2014.

|  |  | No Leisure-time Physical Activity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^57]No Leisure-time Physical Activity - Males
Table 92. Percentage of adult males not engaging in leisure-time physical activity, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | No Leisure-time Physical Activity Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting No Leisure-time Physical Activity | Weighted <br> Percent <br> (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,755 | 779 | 20.3 | 18.4 | 22.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,403 | 698 | 19.9 | 17.9 | 22.0 |
| LGBT** | 97 | 21 | 31.4 | 18.2 | 48.5 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 243 | 42 | 17.3 | 12.0 | 24.3 |
| 25-34 | 364 | 55 | 17.6 | 12.6 | 24.0 |
| 35-44 | 418 | 78 | 21.1 | 16.1 | 27.1 |
| 45-54 | 629 | 125 | 18.5 | 14.8 | 22.7 |
| 55-64 | 882 | 181 | 19.8 | 16.6 | 23.5 |
| 65-74 | 750 | 167 | 23.3 | 19.2 | 28.1 |
| 75+ | 432 | 124 | 31.7 | 25.3 | 38.9 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 308 | 70 | 23.6 | 16.9 | 31.9 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 37 | 8 | 25.4 | 11.2 | 47.9 |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 10 | 15.0 | 6.9 | 29.5 |
| Hispanic | 1,188 | 312 | 24.1 | 20.9 | 27.6 |
| White | 2,027 | 344 | 15.3 | 13.1 | 17.8 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 428 | 166 | 37.2 | 31.0 | 43.8 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,038 | 291 | 25.7 | 22.0 | 29.8 |
| Some College | 907 | 152 | 13.9 | 11.2 | 17.3 |
| College Graduate | 1,331 | 161 | 10.4 | 8.4 | 12.8 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 183 | 66 | 34.0 | 25.1 | 44.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 512 | 157 | 29.6 | 23.8 | 36.1 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,190 | 295 | 24.2 | 20.7 | 28.1 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,402 | 161 | 9.5 | 7.7 | 11.7 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,025 | 340 | 16.7 | 14.4 | 19.3 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 490 | 175 | 35.3 | 29.5 | 41.5 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 101 | 12 | 11.2 | 5.7 | 20.8 |
| Retired | 1,080 | 238 | 23.4 | 19.8 | 27.4 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 809 | 175 | 21.8 | 18.2 | 25.8 |
| Northeast | 777 | 122 | 17.4 | 13.9 | 21.6 |
| Metropolitan | 770 | 127 | 16.7 | 13.4 | 20.6 |
| Southeast | 710 | 196 | 28.9 | 24.9 | 33.3 |
| Southwest | 689 | 159 | 23.9 | 19.9 | 28.3 |

[^58]Table 93. Percentage of adult females not engaging in leisure-time physical activity, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who <br> Responded to the Question* | No Leisure-time Physical Activity Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting No Leis ure-time Physical | Weighted Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { Int } \end{array}$ | fidence $a^{\text {* }}$ |
|  |  | Activity | (\%) ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 5,172 | 1,322 | 26.1 | 24.2 | 28.1 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,756 | 1,204 | 25.9 | 23.9 | 27.9 |
| LGBT** | 125 | 28 | 22.0 | 14.3 | 32.4 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 242 | 58 | 23.4 | 17.2 | 31.0 |
| 25-34 | 461 | 97 | 24.5 | 19.2 | 30.8 |
| 35-44 | 605 | 134 | 25.8 | 20.5 | 32.0 |
| 45-54 | 843 | 202 | 22.8 | 19.2 | 26.9 |
| 55-64 | 1,185 | 280 | 26.5 | 23.0 | 30.4 |
| 65-74 | 1,024 | 272 | 28.5 | 24.4 | 32.9 |
| 75+ | 749 | 271 | 36.1 | 31.4 | 41.0 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 524 | 153 | 26.6 | 21.8 | 32.1 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 34 | 8 | 11.2 | 3.9 | 28.2 |
| Black/AA** | 59 | 25 | 37.1 | 21.4 | 56.0 |
| Hispanic | 1,686 | 508 | 29.3 | 26.2 | 32.7 |
| White | 2,725 | 591 | 22.8 | 20.3 | 25.6 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 632 | 266 | 40.2 | 34.6 | 46.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,335 | 467 | 34.3 | 30.2 | 38.5 |
| Some College | 1,434 | 342 | 22.2 | 19.3 | 25.5 |
| College Graduate | 1,682 | 218 | 11.6 | 9.7 | 13.9 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 401 | 154 | 36.3 | 29.7 | 43.5 |
| \$10-19,999 | 884 | 332 | 37.2 | 32.6 | 42.1 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,640 | 430 | 26.3 | 22.8 | 30.0 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,449 | 188 | 14.0 | 11.4 | 17.0 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,118 | 429 | 21.8 | 19.1 | 24.8 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 669 | 275 | 41.3 | 35.7 | 47.2 |
| Homemaker/Student | 839 | 214 | 23.5 | 19.6 | 28.0 |
| Retired | 1,444 | 370 | 26.0 | 22.8 | 29.4 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,279 | 343 | 27.6 | 24.3 | 31.2 |
| Northeast | 997 | 210 | 22.6 | 19.5 | 25.9 |
| Metropolitan | 1,017 | 229 | 25.7 | 22.1 | 29.6 |
| Southeast | 934 | 310 | 33.2 | 29.6 | 37.0 |
| Southwest | 945 | 230 | 24.0 | 20.7 | 27.7 |
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## Questions:

"How often do you use seat belts when you drive or ride in a car?"

The consistent use of seat belts greatly reduces the risk of injury and increases the probability of survival. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) estimated that nearly 13,000 lives were saved by seat belts during 2009. ${ }^{32}$ The Healthy People 2020 Objective IVP-15 set the objective of $92 \%$ of adults using a seat belt every time when driving or riding in a car. ${ }^{33}$

## In New Mexico,

90.8\% of New Mexico adults always used a seatbelt when driving or riding in a car, which was significantly higher than the percentage of adults across the U.S. (86.9\%).
$\diamond 88.8 \%$ of men always used a seatbelt when driving or riding in a car, which was significantly lower than the percentage of women (92.6\%).
$\diamond$ There was no difference in seatbelt use by sexual orientation.
$\diamond$ The percentage of adults who always wore a seatbelt when driving or riding in a car was lower among adults less than 35 years of age.
$\diamond$ American Indian adults were significantly less likely to always use seatbelts ( $87.1 \%$ ) compared to White adults (91.5\%) and Asian/NHOPI adults (99.5\%).
$\diamond$ There was not a significant difference in the prevalence of consistent seatbelt use by level of education or by annual household income.
$\diamond$ After adjusting for age, the prevalence of consistent seatbelt use was significantly lower among adults who were retired than all other employment categories.
$\diamond$ The prevalence of consistent seatbelt use was higher in the Metropolitan Region (92.3\%) than in the Northwest (87.2\%) and Southeast (87.6\%) Regions.

Seatbelt Use - Always When Driving or Riding in a Car


Seatbelt Use - Always When Driving or Riding in a Car
by Gender, 2014
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## Seatbelt Use

Table 94. Percentage of adults who always use a seatbelt when driving or riding in a car, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Seatbelt Use - Always When Driving or Riding in a Car |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting Always Wearing Seatbelt | Weighted <br> Percent <br> (\%) ${ }^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 8.213 | 7.482 | 90.8 | 89.7 | 91.7 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 7,582 | 6,915 | 90.8 | 89.8 | 91.8 |
| LGBT | 209 | 192 | 90.7 | 82.7 | 95.3 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 441 | 358 | 82.5 | 77.7 | 86.5 |
| 25-34 | 753 | 648 | 87.1 | 83.5 | 90.0 |
| 35-44 | 924 | 827 | 91.0 | 88.3 | 93.1 |
| 45-54 | 1,350 | 1,251 | 93.6 | 91.6 | 95.1 |
| 55-64 | 1,917 | 1,794 | 94.9 | 93.6 | 96.0 |
| 65-74 | 1,658 | 1,532 | 93.6 | 91.9 | 94.9 |
| 75+ | 1,090 | 1,001 | 93.3 | 91.3 | 94.9 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 723 | 640 | 87.1 | 83.5 | 90.0 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 64 | 63 | 99.5 | 96.5 | 99.9 |
| Black/AA** | 99 | 93 | 96.7 | 88.3 | 99.1 |
| Hispanic | 2,595 | 2,360 | 90.5 | 88.7 | 92.1 |
| White | 4,481 | 4,110 | 91.5 | 90.1 | 92.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 983 | 882 | 90.7 | 87.9 | 92.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,170 | 1,982 | 90.2 | 88.1 | 91.9 |
| Some College | 2,196 | 1,996 | 90.2 | 88.0 | 92.0 |
| College Graduate | 2,844 | 2.604 | 92.3 | 90.8 | 93.6 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 545 | 487 | 88.1 | 83.5 | 91.6 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,284 | 1,170 | 91.8 | 89.4 | 93.7 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,690 | 2,446 | 89.8 | 87.9 | 91.5 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,743 | 2,518 | 92.2 | 90.6 | 93.7 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 3,831 | 3,443 | 89.4 | 87.8 | 90.9 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,089 | 979 | 90.2 | 87.3 | 92.5 |
| Homemaker/Student | 885 | 818 | 91.9 | 89.2 | 93.9 |
| Retired | 2.384 | 2.221 | 94.5 | 93.2 | 95.5 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,913 | 1,725 | 87.2 | 84.6 | 89.4 |
| Northeast | 1,635 | 1,488 | 90.4 | 88.2 | 92.2 |
| Metropolitan | 1,637 | 1,524 | 92.3 | 90.3 | 93.9 |
| Southeast | 1,510 | 1,349 | 87.6 | 85.0 | 89.7 |
| Southwest | 1,518 | 1,396 | 91.6 | 89.5 | 93.3 |
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## Seatbelt Use - Males

Table 95. Percentage of adult males who always use a seatbelt when driving or riding in a car, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Seatbelt Use - Always When Driving or Riding in a Car Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number <br> Reporting Always <br> Wearing Seatbelt | Weighted Percent$(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,424 | 3,035 | 88.8 | 87.0 | 90.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,141 | 2,793 | 88.9 | 87.1 | 90.5 |
| LGBT** | 92 | 83 | 88.6 | 73.4 | 95.6 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24** | 222 | 177 | 80.5 | 72.9 | 86.4 |
| 25-34 | 326 | 276 | 84.9 | 78.9 | 89.4 |
| 35-44 | 368 | 322 | 90.6 | 86.7 | 93.4 |
| 45-54 | 568 | 514 | 91.3 | 87.7 | 93.9 |
| 55-64 | 809 | 744 | 93.4 | 91.0 | 95.1 |
| 65-74 | 704 | 627 | 91.7 | 89.0 | 93.8 |
| 75+ | 403 | 353 | 89.7 | 85.4 | 92.8 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 273 | 240 | 86.6 | 80.7 | 90.9 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 32 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 46 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 1,053 | 933 | 88.3 | 85.0 | 90.9 |
| White | 1,900 | 1,688 | 89.6 | 87.4 | 91.4 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 384 | 329 | 87.2 | 82.0 | 91.1 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 935 | 819 | 87.6 | 84.2 | 90.3 |
| Some College | 851 | 754 | 88.5 | 84.7 | 91.4 |
| College Graduate | 1,246 | 1,125 | 91.5 | 89.2 | 93.4 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 164 | 133 | 82.5 | 72.9 | 89.1 |
| \$10-19,999 | 462 | 410 | 89.7 | 85.1 | 93.0 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,130 | 1,005 | 88.8 | 85.8 | 91.2 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,343 | 1,211 | 90.8 | 88.1 | 93.0 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,849 | 1,621 | 88.0 | 85.5 | 90.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 458 | 406 | 88.2 | 83.2 | 91.8 |
| Homemaker/Student** ${ }^{+}$ | 91 | 79 | 87.5 | 77.5 | 93.5 |
| Retired | 1,018 | 922 | 92.4 | 90.2 | 94.2 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 739 | 648 | 86.1 | 82.1 | 89.4 |
| Northeast | 713 | 630 | 87.7 | 83.9 | 90.7 |
| Metropolitan | 692 | 628 | 90.4 | 86.9 | 93.0 |
| Southeast | 650 | 557 | 83.8 | 79.4 | 87.3 |
| Southwest | 630 | 572 | 91.1 | 87.7 | 93.6 |
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## Seatbelt Use - Females

Table 96. Percentage of adult females who always use a seatbelt when driving or riding in a car, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Seatbelt Use - Always When Driving or Riding in a Car Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Number Reporting Always | Weighted Percent | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \% \\ \text { Int } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fidence } \\ & \text { al }^{\ddagger} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Wearing Seatbelt | $(\%)^{8}$ | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 4,789 | 4,447 | 92.6 | 91.4 | 93.7 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,441 | 4,122 | 92.6 | 91.3 | 93.7 |
| LGBT** | 117 | 109 | 92.8 | 83.1 | 97.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 219 | 181 | 84.7 | 78.4 | 89.3 |
| 25-34 | 427 | 372 | 89.4 | 84.9 | 92.6 |
| 35-44 | 556 | 505 | 91.3 | 87.3 | 94.1 |
| 45-54 | 782 | 737 | 95.6 | 93.6 | 97.0 |
| 55-64 | 1,108 | 1,050 | 96.3 | 94.9 | 97.3 |
| 65-74 | 954 | 905 | 95.2 | 93.0 | 96.8 |
| 75+ | 687 | 648 | 96.0 | 94.0 | 97.3 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 450 | 400 | 87.6 | 82.6 | 91.2 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 32 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 53 | 49 | 98.0 | 93.8 | 99.4 |
| Hispanic | 1,542 | 1,427 | 92.7 | 90.6 | 94.3 |
| White | 2,581 | 2,422 | 93.3 | 91.4 | 94.7 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 599 | 553 | 93.7 | 91.1 | 95.7 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,235 | 1,163 | 92.8 | 90.2 | 94.8 |
| Some College | 1,345 | 1,242 | 91.7 | 89.0 | 93.7 |
| College Graduate | 1,598 | 1,479 | 93.1 | 91.0 | 94.7 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 381 | 354 | 91.5 | 86.3 | 94.9 |
| \$10-19,999 | 822 | 760 | 93.4 | 90.7 | 95.3 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,560 | 1,441 | 90.9 | 88.2 | 93.1 |
| \$50,000+ | 1,400 | 1,307 | 93.9 | 91.9 | 95.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,982 | 1,822 | 91.3 | 89.1 | 93.1 |
| Unemployed Unable to Work | 631 | 573 | 91.9 | 88.4 | 94.5 |
| Homemaker Student ${ }^{\Psi}$ | 794 | 739 | 92.7 | 90.0 | 94.8 |
| Retired | 1,366 | 1,299 | 96.3 | 94.9 | 97.3 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,174 | 1,077 | 88.2 | 84.7 | 91.0 |
| Northeast | 922 | 858 | 93.1 | 90.7 | 94.9 |
| Metropolitan | 945 | 896 | 94.1 | 91.7 | 95.9 |
| Southeast | 860 | 792 | 91.3 | 88.6 | 93.4 |
| Southwest | 888 | 824 | 92.1 | 89.3 | 94.2 |
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## Current Cigarette Smoking

| QUESTIONS: |
| :---: |
| "Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your |
| entire life?" |
| "Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, |
| or not at all?" |

Smoking cigarettes harms nearly every organ of the body. It causes about $85 \%$ of deaths from lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Smokers are 2 to 4 times more likely to have coronary heart disease and stroke. ${ }^{36}$ An estimated 42,000 New Mexicans suffer from chronic smokingrelated illnesses and about 2,100 die every year. ${ }^{37,38}$ Exposure to second-hand smoke can cause serious health effects, including sudden infant death syndrome, asthma in children, heart attacks, and lung cancer. ${ }^{36}$ BRFSS defines current smokers as respondents who have smoked at least 100 cigarettes and now report smoking cigarettes "Every Day" or "Some Days".

## In New Mexico,

$19.1 \%$ of New Mexico adults were current smokers, which was higher than the U.S. rate of $17.4 \%$.
$\diamond$ Men (22.0\%) were more likely to be current cigarette smokers than were women ( $16.7 \%$ ).
$\diamond$ There was not a significant difference in prevalence of current smoking by LGBT status.
$\diamond$ The prevalence of tobacco use was highest among adults age 25-44. Tobacco use was lowest among adults age 65-74 and 75+.
$\diamond$ American Indian adults were less likely to be current cigarette smokers (13.0\%) than Hispanic adults (20.0\%) or White adults (19.1\%).
$\diamond$ The prevalence of tobacco use was highest among those with the lowest level of education and annual household income.
$\diamond$ The prevalence of tobacco use was higher among unemployed adults and adults who were unable to work.
$\diamond$ Current smokers were more likely than nonsmokers to be without some form of health care coverage; to have a disability; to describe their general health as Fair or Poor; to have been diagnosed with depression; to have been diagnosed with COPD, emphysema or chronic bronchitis, or to be unable to work.

Current Smoking of Cigarettes
by NM and U.S., 2014


Current Smoking of Cigarettes
by Sexual Orientation, 2014


Current Smoking of Cigarettes
by Race/Ethnicity,2014


$62.3 \%$ of adult current smokers tried to quit smoking at least once during the past year.
$25.5 \%$ of adults are former smokers, and $55.4 \%$ of adults have never smoked cigarettes.

## Current Cigarette Smoking

Table 97. Percentage of adults who were current smokers, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Current Smoking of Cigarettes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Current Smoking of Cigarettes | Weighted Percent (\%)§ | $95 \%$ <br> Int <br> Lower | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fidence } \\ & \text { al } \ddagger \\ & \text { Upper } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL | 8,365 | 1,322 | 19.1 | 17.8 | 20.6 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 7,721 | 1,220 | 19.3 | 17.8 | 20.9 |
| LGBT | 210 | 46 | 23.2 | 16.1 | 32.1 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 454 | 81 | 17.9 | 13.8 | 22.9 |
| 25-34 | 767 | 194 | 28.8 | 24.3 | 33.7 |
| 35-44 | 948 | 179 | 21.0 | 17.3 | 25.2 |
| 45-54 | 1,382 | 264 | 20.2 | 17.4 | 23.4 |
| 55-64 | 1,952 | 333 | 18.9 | 16.4 | 21.5 |
| 65-74 | 1,677 | 210 | 13.3 | 10.9 | 16.0 |
| 75+ | 1,104 | 57 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 7.2 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 753 | 93 | 13.0 | 9.9 | 17.0 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 65 | 4 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 9.4 |
| Black/AA** | 101 | 22 | 29.0 | 15.7 | 47.3 |
| Hispanic | 2,656 | 476 | 20.0 | 17.7 | 22.4 |
| White | 4,533 | 687 | 19.1 | 17.2 | 21.2 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 1,003 | 230 | 26.6 | 22.7 | 30.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 2,227 | 460 | 21.9 | 19.4 | 24.6 |
| Some College | 2,227 | 400 | 20.2 | 17.6 | 23.1 |
| College Graduate | 2,889 | 231 | 9.1 | 7.2 | 11.4 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 565 | 135 | 25.3 | 20.3 | 31.2 |
| \$10-19,999 | 1,314 | 303 | 24.2 | 20.9 | 27.9 |
| \$20-49,999 | 2,737 | 482 | 23.5 | 20.7 | 26.5 |
| \$50,000 or more | 2,784 | 280 | 11.5 | 9.7 | 13.5 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 3,915 | 653 | 20.5 | 18.4 | 22.7 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 1,110 | 310 | 30.6 | 26.6 | 34.9 |
| Homemaker/Student | 898 | 111 | 12.9 | 9.9 | 16.7 |
| Retired | 2,418 | 245 | 10.4 | 8.8 | 12.4 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,947 | 293 | 17.4 | 15.0 | 20.0 |
| Northeast | 1,658 | 213 | 15.5 | 13.2 | 18.1 |
| Metropolitan | 1,669 | 259 | 19.4 | 16.8 | 22.3 |
| Southeast | 1,542 | 304 | 23.0 | 20.4 | 25.9 |
| Southwest | 1,549 | 253 | 19.6 | 16.8 | 22.7 |

§ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

* Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to
the total across some categories for some variables.
$\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.


## Current Cigarette Smoking - Males

Table 98. Percentage of adult males who were current smokers, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Current Smoking of Cigarettes Among Adult Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Current Smoking of Cigarettes | Weighted Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 3,504 | 627 | 22.0 | 19.8 | 24.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 3,211 | 568 | 22.0 | 19.7 | 24.5 |
| LGBT** | 93 | 27 | 30.9 | 18.9 | 46.2 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 229 | 53 | 22.3 | 16.1 | 30.2 |
| 25-34 | 331 | 109 | 37.6 | 30.6 | 45.2 |
| 35-44 | 381 | 86 | 22.7 | 17.5 | 28.9 |
| 45-54 | 585 | 108 | 18.4 | 14.5 | 23.2 |
| 55-64 | 833 | 159 | 20.8 | 17.2 | 25.0 |
| 65-74 | 709 | 86 | 13.4 | 9.9 | 17.8 |
| 75+ | 412 | 24 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 8.8 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 283 | 53 | 19.1 | 13.4 | 26.5 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 32 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 46 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 1,091 | 254 | 26.1 | 22.5 | 30.1 |
| White | 1,927 | 288 | 18.9 | 16.1 | 22.1 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 396 | 122 | 33.3 | 27.4 | 39.9 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 970 | 221 | 21.6 | 18.2 | 25.5 |
| Some College | 861 | 178 | 24.6 | 20.3 | 29.5 |
| College Graduate | 1,269 | 105 | 10.9 | 7.7 | 15.3 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 176 | 52 | 26.8 | 18.9 | 36.7 |
| \$10-19,999 | 475 | 133 | 28.9 | 23.0 | 35.5 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,152 | 244 | 27.5 | 23.3 | 32.1 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,368 | 144 | 13.1 | 10.5 | 16.3 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 1,898 | 351 | 22.8 | 19.8 | 26.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 465 | 142 | 33.7 | 27.9 | 40.1 |
| Homemaker/Student** | 95 | 19 | 14.8 | 8.7 | 23.9 |
| Retired | 1,038 | 115 | 11.6 | 9.0 | 14.7 |
| Geographic Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 748 | 128 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 26.6 |
| Northeast | 724 | 110 | 18.7 | 15.0 | 23.0 |
| Metropolitan | 713 | 116 | 21.1 | 17.1 | 25.7 |
| Southeast | 670 | 155 | 27.4 | 23.2 | 32.1 |
| Southwest | 649 | 118 | 22.6 | 18.2 | 27.8 |
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## Current Cigarette Smoking

Table 99. Percentage of adult females who were current smokers, New Mexico, 2014.

| Demographic Characteristics | Total Number Who Responded to the Question* | Current Smoking of Cigarettes Among Adult Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total \# Reporting Current Smoking of Cigarettes | Weighted <br> Percent $(\%)^{\S}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| TOTAL | 4,861 | 695 | 16.5 | 14.8 | 18.3 |
| SEXUAL ORIENTATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual | 4,510 | 652 | 16.8 | 15.0 | 18.7 |
| LGBT** | 117 | 19 | 15.9 | 9.3 | 25.7 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 225 | 28 | 12.9 | 8.4 | 19.5 |
| 25-34 | 436 | 85 | 19.9 | 15.1 | 25.9 |
| 35-44 | 567 | 93 | 19.3 | 14.3 | 25.5 |
| 45-54 | 797 | 156 | 21.9 | 18.0 | 26.3 |
| 55-64 | 1,119 | 174 | 17.0 | 14.0 | 20.5 |
| 65-74 | 968 | 124 | 13.2 | 10.3 | 16.8 |
| 75+ | 692 | 33 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 8.1 |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | 470 | 40 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 11.5 |
| Asian NHOPI** | 33 | - | - | - | - |
| Black/AA** | 55 | 14 | 32.6 | 17.0 | 53.3 |
| Hispanic | 1,565 | 222 | 14.2 | 11.8 | 17.0 |
| White | 2,606 | 399 | 19.3 | 16.7 | 22.1 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than High School Graduate | 607 | 108 | 20.7 | 15.9 | 26.5 |
| High School Graduate or G.E.D. | 1,257 | 239 | 22.2 | 18.7 | 26.1 |
| Some College | 1,366 | 222 | 16.3 | 13.4 | 19.6 |
| College Graduate | 1,620 | 126 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 9.2 |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 389 | 83 | 24.4 | 18.2 | 31.9 |
| \$10-19,999 | 839 | 170 | 20.7 | 17.0 | 25.0 |
| \$20-49,999 | 1,585 | 238 | 19.5 | 16.1 | 23.3 |
| \$50,000 or more | 1,416 | 136 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 12.0 |
| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2,017 | 302 | 17.3 | 14.8 | 20.1 |
| Unemployed/Unable to Work | 645 | 168 | 27.8 | 22.6 | 33.7 |
| Homemaker/Student | 803 | 92 | 12.5 | 9.2 | 16.8 |
| Retired | 1,380 | 130 | 9.4 | 7.4 | 11.8 |
| Geographic Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest | 1,199 | 165 | 13.0 | 10.7 | 15.8 |
| Northeast | 934 | 103 | 12.4 | 9.9 | 15.4 |
| Metropolitan | 956 | 143 | 17.8 | 14.6 | 21.5 |
| Southeast | 872 | 149 | 18.7 | 15.8 | 22.1 |
| Southwest | 900 | 135 | 16.7 | 13.7 | 20.2 |
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## APPENDICES

## Appendix I-METHODS

The 2014 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) was conducted using random telephone survey methods. One implication of this survey method is that individuals living without either a household landline telephone or a cellular telephone were not included in the survey sample and so are not represented in the results presented here. According to the National Health Interview Survey, in 2014, only $4.0 \%$ of New Mexico adults living in private residences were without either form of telephone service. ${ }^{39}$ Telephone coverage varies considerably from county to county within the state. For example, the 2000 U.S. Census showed the proportion of households without landline telephone coverage was $2.5 \%$ for Bernalillo County and $31.6 \%$ for McKinley County, respectively. ${ }^{41}$
Use of cellular telephones as the exclusive form of telephone service has been increasing dramatically in recent years, as demonstrated by the following chart presenting the distribution of telephone status for adults in New Mexico from 2011 to $2014 .{ }^{40}$


For the landline portion of the sample, households were chosen at random from all possible landline telephone numbers in the state, using disproportionate stratified sampling (DSS). One adult respondent was randomly selected from all adults age 18 and older living in the randomly selected household. ${ }^{44}$
DSS, as implemented in the BRFSS beginning in 2003, landline telephone numbers were drawn from two strata (lists) that were based on the presumed density of known telephone household numbers. Since 2009, telephone numbers have been classified into strata that were either high density (listed 1+ block telephone numbers) or medium density (not listed block telephone numbers) to yield residential telephone numbers. Telephone numbers in the high density stratum were sampled at a higher rate, resulting in a higher "hit rate", i.e., more of the randomly selected telephone numbers were household numbers, improving efficiency thereby reducing the cost of the survey. Once a residential household was selected, a respondent was randomly selected from among all adults age 18 and over living in the household. In households with multiple adults, the first name of the randomly selected adult was requested and temporarily recorded in order to facilitate interview of the appropriate adult at the time of the call-back. This portion of the record was retained separately from responses to the questionnaire and was dropped at the close of the monthly survey and was never transmitted to the CDC. If a randomly selected adult was not available at the time of the call, interviewers attempted to set an appointment for call-back. ${ }^{44}$
Beginning in 2011, the NM BRFSS included a full sample of cell-phone-only adults, which should address many concerns regarding the shift to exclusive use of cellular telephones.

## Appendix I-Methods

As in previous years, interviews in 2014 were performed at computer workstations using Ci3 computer-aided telephone interviewing software provided by Sawtooth Software, Inc. The sample frame of all possible landline and cellular telephone numbers comes from the Telecordia Technologies database and was provided by Marketing Service Group, Genesys Sampling Systems, Inc.
In 2014, up to 15 calls to landline and up to 6 calls to cellular numbers were made over the following time periods in order to ensure that the randomly selected adult had a fair opportunity to be included in the survey:

| Daytime: | 10-3 Monday-Friday |
| :--- | :--- |
| Evening: | 4-9 Monday-Friday |
| Weekends: | $10-3$ Saturday, 1-6 Sunday |

Approximately $1 / 12$ of the annual sample was surveyed each month to avoid bias in the results due to possible seasonal variation.

Cellular telephones were chosen at random from all possible cellular telephone numbers in the state. Since nearly all cellular telephones are now used by a single person, the adult answering the cell phone was selected for interview. If it turned out that the respondent did not live in NM, the interview was completed and the CDC then assigned that interview to the state of residence of the respondent. ${ }^{44}$
After calls to a given number were completed, the last two digits of the phone number were dropped from the record prior to transfer to the CDC. The CDC dropped the remainder of the telephone number after completing quality control review, to ensure complete anonymity. Last names, Social Security Numbers, and addresses were never collected and so were not included in the record. ${ }^{44}$
The final combined landline and cell phone sample size for 2014 was 8,937 .

## Sources of Error

Like any estimates produced from population surveys, the estimates produced from the BRFSS are subject to error. The information presented below was abstracted from two sources: the BRFSS User's Guide ${ }^{27}$ and an article from the Journal of the American Statistical Association. ${ }^{28}$
Sampling error results because the estimates are based on a sample of the population rather than a census of the entire population. This type of error occurs in even the most sophisticated sampling design. However, since the estimates are based on a probability sample, the amount of sampling error in the estimates can be estimated and is reflected in the confidence intervals around the estimates.
Non-sampling error is not reflected in the confidence intervals of the estimates, and the direction and magnitude of this error is difficult to estimate accurately. Because of non-sampling error, the total error in the estimate is typically larger than the estimated confidence intervals shown in the report.

## Some sources of non-sampling error are: ${ }^{44}$

$\diamond$ Non-coverage error occurs if not all adult members of the general population have a known probability of inclusion in the sample. Adults living in private residences who did not have either a landline or cellular telephone could not be included. Adults living in nursing homes, prisons, military barracks, or half-way houses, were excluded. If these adults differed in a significant and consistent way from adults with landline or cellular telephones, then estimates presented in this report could be biased. In 2014, only $4.0 \%$ of adults in private residences did not have either landline or cellular telephone service. ${ }^{39}$
$\diamond$ Measurement error is error due to inaccurate responses.

- Inaccurate answers may be given by respondents who misunderstand questions, have faulty memory, are simply unaware of a health condition, or deliberately give false answers. The accuracy of the responses may also be influenced by attitudes toward the interview, the subject of the questions, the interviewer's tone of voice, or the length of the interview.
- Erroneous recording of data, such as simple typographical errors, is another form of measurement error.
$\diamond$ Non-response is the inability to obtain responses from all individuals selected to be in the sample.
- Unit non-response occurs when a respondent cannot be reached or refuses to participate. It can also result from language/cultural barriers or a disability that precludes participation in a telephone interview.
- Item non-response occurs when a respondent refuses to answer a particular question or doesn't know or can't recall the answer, or the question is inadvertently skipped in the interview (though use of a computer -aided telephone interviewing system, Ci3 CATI, in the case of the NM BRFSS, prevents errors in skip patterns).


## APPENDIx I-METHODS

## Quality assurance

While error in survey estimates cannot be avoided entirely, the Survey Section goes to great lengths to reduce nonsampling error. Some examples of measures taken to reduce error include:
$\diamond$ Training the interviewers at hire, at the beginning of each new survey year, and at the beginning of each new month of the survey.
$\diamond$ Prompt and frequent feedback to interviewers.
$\diamond$ Review of keyed data for extreme or invalid values by a software program at the end of the each month, prior to submission of the data to the CDC.
$\diamond$ Monitoring interviewers at least once a month. New interviewers are monitored closely until the CDC BRFSS protocol is followed consistently.

## Implications of Sampling Design for Estimates Presented in this Report

The estimates presented in this report are weighted percentages. Records of the sample were adjusted by a weighting factor to produce the prevalence estimates representative of the adult population as a whole. There are several components to the weight used to adjust the sample percentage.
$\diamond$ The Sampling Weight adjusts for the fact that adults within the population had different probabilities of being included in the sample, because:

- Households with landline telephone numbers in the low-density stratum (described under Sample Selection above) had a lower probability of being selected than households with phone numbers in the high-density stratum.
- Households with more than one landline telephone line had a greater chance of being selected.
- In landline households housing many adults, each adult had a proportionally smaller chance of being randomly selected than an adult who was the sole adult of the selected household.
- Each cellular telephone number had a probability of selection based on the total number of cell phone numbers in the cell phone sample.
$\diamond$ A weighting procedure known as iterative proportional fitting (known commonly as "raking") was used to adjust for differences between the distribution of the sample and that of the adult population, by gender, age, Region of residence, Race/Ethnicity, Phone Type (Cell or Landline), Home Ownership (Rent or Own), Education, Marital Status, Gender by Race/Ethnicity, Age by Gender, and Age by Race/Ethnicity, as determined by the Bureau of the Census. This component of the weighting process attempts to adjust the estimates so that they better reflect the adult population of the state. This weighting system, new in 2011, along with inclusion of cell phone interviews, results in some important changes in estimates over those of previous years. Studies have demonstrated that there is every reason to believe these improvements to the BRFSS, inclusion of cellular telephones and weighting by iterative proportional fitting result in improved, more representative, estimates over those of previous years.

Stata 14.1 MP software was used for all analyses in this report. Stata 14.1 MP includes a suite of data analysis commands which are specifically designed for the analysis of complex sample survey data, such as that of the BRFSS.

## Appendix II—Map

The 2014 NM BRFSS sample was stratified according to the NM Department of Health Regions of the time, depicted below. Estimates for Regions have been presented at the bottom of each table, throughout this report.
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[^0]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes across categories for some variables may not add to the total.
    $¥$ Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census. NA indicates that Inter-Censal data were not available for this category.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.

[^1]:    * Age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Census.

[^2]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^3]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^4]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    GFor a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^5]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^6]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^7]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^8]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes
    may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
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    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^12]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
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[^13]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are male are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\succsim$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^14]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are females were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\psi}$ Among males, $90 \%$ were students.

[^15]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\Psi}$ Among Females, $87 \%$ were homemakers.

[^16]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^17]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^18]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^19]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to
    the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^20]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\leftrightarrows$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^21]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^22]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^23]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^24]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are females were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\psi}$ Among males, $90 \%$ were students.

[^25]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year
    ${ }^{\psi}$ Among Females, $87 \%$ were homemakers.

[^26]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add
    to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\Psi}$ Among Males, $90 \%$ were students.

[^27]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\Psi}$ Among Females, $87 \%$ were homemakers.

[^28]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are females are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\square$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^29]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are females are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\square$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^30]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are male are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^31]:    $\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were males were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    F For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^32]:    $\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are females are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\square$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^33]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are females were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report
    $\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year
    ${ }^{\psi}$ Among males, $90 \%$ were students.

[^34]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\Psi}$ Among Females, $87 \%$ were homemakers.

[^35]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report. $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^36]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are females were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\psi}$ Among males, $90 \%$ were students.

[^37]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\Psi}$ Among Females, $87 \%$ were homemakers.

[^38]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^39]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^40]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^41]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^42]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Delta$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^43]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^44]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^45]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are females are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\lesssim$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^46]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are females are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^47]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were males were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^48]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^49]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are females were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes
    may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\psi}$ Among males, $90 \%$ were students.

[^50]:    $\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^51]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add
    to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^52]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^53]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or are females were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{*}$ Among males, $90 \%$ were students.

[^54]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add
    to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval
    0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\psi}$ Among Males, $90 \%$ were students.

[^55]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\Psi}$ Among Females, $87 \%$ were homemakers.

[^56]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\Psi}$ Among Females, $87 \%$ were homemakers.

[^57]:    $\S$ For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables. $\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^58]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^59]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Rightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year

[^60]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure" or who refused to respond are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^61]:    may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\Leftrightarrow$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\psi}$ Among males, $90 \%$ were students.

[^62]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male are excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.
    ${ }^{\Psi}$ Among Females, $87 \%$ were homemakers.

[^63]:    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were female were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\ddagger 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    0 For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

[^64]:    § For a discussion of the reasons for using weighted estimates, see Appendix I at the end of this report.

    * Those who responded "don't know/not sure", who refused to respond or were male were excluded. Consequently, the sample sizes may not add to the total across some categories for some variables.
    $\$ 95 \%$ of the time, the "true point estimate" will fall between the lower and upper bounds of the $95 \%$ Confidence Interval.
    $\star$ For a list of the counties in each geographic region, see Appendix II at the end of this report.
    ** Estimates based on small sample size may not be reliable and may change significantly from year to year.

