



A. Jackson Class Member Demographics – Metro3 Region

At the time the sample was selected for the Metro3 Review, there were 150 Active Jackson Class Members in the Metro Region. Seventeen individuals were chosen to be part of the review sample.

Chart #1: Active Class Member Demographics in the Metro Region

Age		Ethnicity		Day Service Type	
30-39	3	Hispanic	69	Adult Habilitation (AH)	115
40-49	28	Caucasian	57	Adult Hab/Suppl Empl (SE)	17
50-59	57	Native American	16	Adult Hab/Community Access (CA)	7
60-69	46	Black	8	Community Access	2
70-79	14			Supported Employment	3
80+	2			None	2
Average Age:	58			Mi Via & Private Pay	4

Gender	
Male	91
Female	59

Residential Service Type	
Supported Living	114
Family Living	32
Mi Via & Private Pay	4


Chart #2: Agencies Serving Class Members in the Metro Region:

Case Management	A New Vision (12)	A Step Above (22)	Amigo (11)	Carino (24)	NMQCM (15)	Peak (15)	Unidas (41)
	Unique Opportunities (7)					Mi Via (3)	Private Pay (1)
Residential	A Better Way (1)	Abilities First (1)	Active Solutions (1)	Adelante (33)	Advantage Communications (1)	Alegria (2)	Alianza (1)
	Alta Mira (1)	Arca (15)	At Home Advocacy (4)	Bright Horizons (6)	Community Options (1)	Cornucopia (2)	Dungarvin (8)

	Expressions of Life (7)	Expressions Unlimited (1)	La Vida Felicidad (1)	Life Mission (2)	LLCP (33)	MaxCare (2)	New Pathways (1)
	Onyx (2)	Optihealth (5)	Su Vida (3)	The New Beginnings (11)	TLC (2)	Mi Via (3)	Private Pay (1)
Day <i>*Note some JCMs have more than one Day provider</i>	A Better Way (4)	Active Solutions (3)	Adelante (52)	Advantage Communications (1)	Advocacy Partners (1)	Alianza (2)	Arca (2)
	Bright Horizons (4)	CFC (8)	Community Options (1)	Cornucopia (3)	Dungarvin (6)	Expressions Unlimited (2)	La Vida (2)
	LifeRoots (5)	LLCP (31)	Mandy's Farm (2)	MaxCare (1)	New Pathways (1)	NONE (2)	Onyx (2)
	OptiHealth (2)	Share Your Care (7)	Su Vida (4)	The New Beginnings (6)		Mi Via (3)	Private Pay (1)

B. Most Frequently Identified Findings by Category

The Metro3 Region had a total of 335 Findings and Recommendations. The table below shows what categories they fall into.



C. Most Frequently Identified Findings by Category

IQR Findings include the identification of good and exemplary as well as deficient practice. Findings are developed by the reviewer, reviewed by a Case Judge, the Community Monitor, Regional Office and State DDS and DHI Staff, the individual and his/her Team before they become final. The expectation is that the identified issue will be resolved not only for the individual but, if applicable, for everyone in that agency, and resolved in a way that is sustainable so that the identified issue remains “fixed”.

Of the 335 Findings and Recommendations in the Metro3 Region Review, there were 70 Recommendations that were identified as repeated within the last ten years. The category where the repeats are most frequent is in the area of Planning and Services, followed by Health/Assessments and Case Management/Guardianship.

Area	Arca	Bright Horizons	Cornucopia	Dungarvin	Exp. of Life	LLCP	MaxCare	OptiHealth	Su Vida	The New Beginnings	Total
Adaptive Equipment / Augmentative Comm			1	2	1				1		5
Behavior	1									2	3
Case Manager/ Guardian	1	2	1	1		1	1	1			8
Expectations of Growth/ Quality of Life	2					1					3
Health/Assessments	3		1	4		1	1	2		2	14
Meaningful Day	1		1	1	1	1			1		6
Planning and Services	5		3	3	1	4	3		1	10	30
Team Process/DSS						1					1
TOTAL	13	2	7	11	3	9	5	3	3	14	70

Area	A New Vision	A Step Above	Amigo	Carino	NMQCM	Peak	Unidas	Total
Adaptive Equipment / Augmentative Communication			1	1		1	2	5
Behavior		2			1			3
Case Manager/ Guardian	1	3		1		1	2	8
Expectations of Growth/Quality of Life				1	1		1	3
Health/Assessments		4	3	3	1	1	2	14
Meaningful Day			1	2	1		2	6
Planning and Services	4	10	3	4	1	1	7	30
Team Process/DSS	1							1
TOTAL	6	19	8	12	5	4	16	70

D. Immediate and Special Findings

There were seventeen (17) Class Members reviewed in the Metro3 Region as part of the 2018 IQR. Eleven (11) individuals (65% of the total sample) were found to have immediate and/or special findings. Nine individuals (53% of the sample) were found to have Immediate Needs. Five of these nine also had Special Findings. Two additional individuals (12% of the sample) were found to have Special Needs (there were a total of seven individuals with Special Needs). There were a total of nineteen (19) Immediate findings and nine (9) Special findings. Details of the issues of these findings are available in the table below.

Class Members identified as “*needing immediate attention*” are persons for whom urgent health, safety, environment and/or abuse/neglect/exploitation issues were identified which the team is not successfully and actively in the process of addressing in a timely fashion.

Class Members identified as “*needing special attention*” are individuals for whom issues have been identified that, if not addressed, are likely to become an urgent health and safety concern.

Chart #6: Immediate/Special Identified Individual Issues – 2018 IQR Metro3

DETAILS REGARDING THE SPECIFIC FINDINGS HAVE BEEN REMOVED FOR POSTING ON THE COMMUNITY MONITOR WEBSITE

Reg	CM	Res	Day	Immd	Spec	IR
Health Oversight Issues						
Metro3	A Step Above	Optihealth	Optihealth		X	
Metro3	Carino	Arca	None	X		
Metro3	Carino	LLCP	LLCP	X		
Metro3	Amigo	Dungarvin	Dungarvin		X	
Metro3	Amigo	Dungarvin	Dungarvin	X		
Metro3	Amigo	Dungarvin	Dungarvin	X		
Metro3	A New Vision	LLCP	LLCP		X	
Metro3	A Step Above	The New Beginnings	The New Beginnings	X		

Reg	CM	Res	Day	Immd	Spec	IR
Metro3	A Step Above	The New Beginnings	The New Beginnings	X		
Metro3	A Step Above	The New Beginnings	The New Beginnings	X		
Medication/Side Effects						
Metro3	Carino	Arca	None		X	
Not following orders/recommendations						
Metro3	Amigo	Dungarvin	Dungarvin	X		
Metro3	A New Vision	LLCP	LLCP	X		
Metro3	A Step Above	The New Beginnings	The New Beginnings	X		
Symptoms/Issues not being followed up						
Metro3	Carino	LLCP	LLCP	X		
Metro3	Unidas	Cornucopia	Cornucoipa	X		
Metro3	Unidas	Cornucopia	Cornucoipa		X	
Metro3	A New Vision	LLCP	LLCP	X		
Metro3	A New Vision	LLCP	LLCP		X	
Aspiration/CARMP Issues						
Metro3	A Step Above	Optihealth	Optihealth	X		
Metro3	A Step Above	The New Beginnings	The New Beginnings	X		

Reg	CM	Res	Day	Immd	Spec	IR
Metro3	Peak	Dungarvin	Active Solutions		X	
Metro3	A Step Above	The New Beginnings	The New Beginnings	X		
Missing/Gap in Therapy						
Metro3	A Step Above	MaxCare	MaxCare		X	
Metro3	A New Vision	LLCP	LLCP	X		
Case Management Issues						
Metro3	Unidas	Comucopia	Cornucoipa		X	
Other						
Metro3	Amigo	Arca	Adelante	X		
Metro3	A Step Above	Optihealth	Optihealth	X		


E. Health, Assessments and Overall Wellness

There is a series of scored questions in the IQR protocol that specifically relate to the medical attention received by the class members. The charts which follow detail the findings based on related questions which are summarized first.

Question #55: Are all of the individual's needed medical treatments timely received?

Question #56: Does the individual receive routine/scheduled medical treatment?

Question #57: Does the individual receive medication as prescribed?



Ensuring individuals have the medical treatment they require includes scheduling and obtaining needed assessments, and using the information from those assessments to influence treatment and inform future planning. The IQR also evaluates the assessments needed by the individual and obtained by the teams as summarized below.


Question #63: Did the team consider what assessment the person needs and would be relevant to the Team's planning efforts?

Question #64: Has the individual received all age and gender appropriate health screenings, in accordance with national best practice and/or as recommended by his/her PCP or other health care professionals?

Question #65: Did the team arrange for and obtain the needed, relevant assessments?

Question #66: Are the assessments adequate for planning?

Question #67: Were the recommendation from assessments used in planning?



Beyond the protocol questions, a letter of Findings and Recommendations is issued for each class member. This letter is developed by the reviewer, reviewed by the Case Judge, Community Monitor, Regional and State DDSD and DHI staff, the individual and his/her team prior to becoming final. The table below summarizes some of the issues which were identified. It is important to note that the indications are number of issues found; not the number of findings. For example, if one individual was found to have a Medication Administration Record (MAR) which called for the administration of a medication for which a doctors order was not found AND was also found to have been given a medication twice a day when the doctor's order called for one time a day, that might be ONE finding regarding medication but TWO different issues.

Chart #9: Type of Issues identified by Residential Agency

Area	Residential Agency (# in sample):										Total
	Arca (4)	Bright Horizons (1)	Cornucopia (1)	Dungarvin (2)	Expressions of Life (1)	LLCP (2)	MaxCare (2)	Optihealth (1)	Su Vida (1)	The New Beginnings (2)	
Specialty Consult not completed (e.g., TEASC, Special needs Clinic)			1			2	1				4
AIMS/other TD screen not done		1		2	1	1				1	6
Audiology/ABR: Not Current/Missing/Inaccurate	1										1
Vision: Not Current/Missing/Inaccurate										1	1
Dental: Assessment missing/needed	2					1				2	5
MERPs/HCPs Not found/not specific/incorrect	8	6	4	5	2	2				4	31

Residential Agency (# in sample):											
Area	Arca (4)	Bright Horizons (1)	Cornucopia (1)	Dungarvin (2)	Expressions of Life (1)	LLCP (2)	MaxCare (2)	Optihealth (1)	Su Vida (1)	The New Beginnings (2)	Total
MAAT incorrect/inconsistent								1			1
CIA does not list all diagnoses					1						1
MAR/Medication/Dr. Order do not match	1		3		1	5		1		2	12
Medication on MAR not found in home						1				1	2
Med in home was not on MAR						1					1
Meds not administered/given as required							1			3	4
Expired meds found in med box/home	2										2
eChat incorrect/incomplete (# of inaccuracies)	17	1	11	26	1	5	8	5		10	84
HepC vaccine not done (healthfinder.gov)	1				1	1		1			4
Shingles vaccine not done (healthfinder.gov)	1			1	1	1					4
Pneumonia vaccine not done (healthfinder.gov)				1	1	1				1	5
Colon cancer screen not done (healthfinder.gov)	1			2		1		1		2	7
TDap not completed as recommended (healthfinder)	1				1	1					3
HIV Testing not completed (healthfinder.gov)					1						1
Flu vaccine not done (healthfinder.gov)	1			1							2
Dexa/Bone Density not done (healthfinder.gov)				1		1		1			3
Mammogram/Pap not done (healthfinder.gov)				2							2
ARST contains inaccurate info					2						2
CARMP inaccurate/incomplete/not current	6	3		3		4		3		6	25
MTP not implement correctly				3							3
Inconsistency between HCP/CARMP/MERP/eChat/MAR/Plans	5	1	1	2	7	2		4		8	30
Failed to follow CARMP								1			1
Recommended Swallow study not done	1										1

Residential Agency (# in sample):											
Area	Arca (4)	Bright Horizons (1)	Cornucopia (1)	Dungarvin (2)	Expressions of Life (1)	LLCP (2)	MaxCare (2)	Optihealth (1)	Su Vida (1)	The New Beginnings (2)	Total
X-Ray, MRI, Ultrasound, other follow up exam not complete as recommended	1			1		2					4
Labs missing (PSA, pre-Physical, pre-Neuro appt. etc.)	2			1							3
Totals	51	12	20	51	20	32	10	18	0	41	255

For health care coordination, oversight and monitoring, I/DD services rely heavily on nurses, primary care physicians and referrals to needed specialists. Nurses and the supports they can provide are essential for the protection and healthy living of class members. Relevant scored protocol questions related directly to nursing include:


Question #54: Was the eChat updated timely?

Question #58: Does my nurse provide oversight of health issues (i.e. weight records, vitals, lab reports, PRN medication use, seizure records) in order to ensure accuracy, identify and respond to new issues?

Question #59: Are nursing services provided as needed by the individual?

Question #60: Is the CARMP accurate?

Question #61: Is the CARMP consistently implemented as intended?




Oversight provided by nurses is a critical safeguard for Jackson Class Members, direct support professionals and their supervisors. The table below provides specific details, by Residential provider, of nursing related issues identified during the 2018 IQR. Again, this represents the number of issues found; not the number of findings.

Chart #11: Type of Nursing Related Issues Identified by Residential Provider

Area	Residential Agency (# in sample):										Total
	Arca (4)	Bright Horizons (1)	Cornucopia (1)	Dungarvin (2)	Expressions of Life (1)	LLCP (2)	MaxCare (2)	Optihealth (1)	Su Vida (1)	The New Beginnings (2)	
Nursing Annual/Quarterly report not timely completed	1			2		1			1	6	11
Nursing not providing info to team/PCP as needed					1					5	6
Nurse report not accurate/missing information				4	4	1				5	14
Nurse not Monitoring as required									1		1
Totals	1	0	0	6	5	2	0	0	2	16	32


In addition to the issues and questions noted above, the individual's nurse is responsible, with the assistance of the rest of the Team, to assure that the documents presented and created for planning, such as the ISP, are accurate and thorough and contain the needed plans and information required. The protocol questions related to ensuring this is done include:

- Question #87: If needed, does the ISP contain a specific MERP?
- Question #88: Does the ISP contain information regarding primary health (medical) care?
- Question #88a: Does the ISP face sheet contain contact information listed in the ISP?
- Question #88b: Is the Health Care Coordinator's name and contact information listed in the ISP?



There are many components to ensuring the health and safety of individuals with I/DD. These components vary and are unique to each individual. While the scored protocol questions cannot encompass each and every issue, it does allow for a general score that measures the adequacy of response to the individual's overall health needs. That question is **#62: Are the person's health supports/needs being adequately addressed?**

As noted in the chart below, for the 17 people scored in the Metro3 review, two (2) individuals had their health supports/needs adequately addressed (12%). There were eight people who had many of their needs addressed (47%), six are receiving supports that need improvement (35%), and one person does not have their health supports/needs being met (6%).



As noted, beyond the scored protocol questions, the Findings Letters issued for each class member in a review provides person-specific detail about the issues which impact the answer to protocol question #62. This includes the adequacy and incorporation of needed care plans (CARMP, MERP, HCPs) into the Team's planning process, and other areas to ensure the health and safety of the individual being reviewed. Again, it is important to note that the indications are number of issues found; not the number of findings in the Findings letters. For example, if one individual had a finding that noted four different inconsistencies in that person's MERP, that would be counted as a "4", for the number of issues, not just a "1" for the individual to whom the findings apply.

Chart #14: Issues Found Which Affect the Adequacy of Health Care Provision, by Provider

Area	Residential Agency (# in sample):										Total
	Arca (4)	Bright Horizons (1)	Cornucopia (1)	Dungarvin (2)	Expressions of Life (1)	LLCP (2)	MaxCare (2)	Optihealth (1)	Su Vida (1)	The New Beginnings (2)	
Weight not tracked/inconsistent			1					1			2
Bowel/bladder/fluid tracking not consistent /not done	1			1		2		2			6
Repositioning not done as required				1							1
Vitals tracking not consistent/incomplete				1							1
Seizure tracking not consistent								1			1
PT Evaluation does not identify baseline/progress							2				2
PT Report (Annual/Semi) inaccurate/inadequate	1						1				2

Residential Agency (# in sample):											
Area	Arca (4)	Bright Horizons (1)	Cornucopia (1)	Dungarvin (2)	Expressions of Life (1)	LLCP (2)	MaxCare (2)	Optihealth (1)	Su Vida (1)	The New Beginnings (2)	Total
OT Report (Annual/Semi) inaccurate/inadequate	1				1					1	3
OT Evaluation does not identify baseline/progress					3	2					5
OT services not provided as needed/ gap in services	1										1
SLP Report (Annual/Semi) inaccurate/inadequate	2						1				3
SLP Eval not provided for review/missing							1		1		2
SLP Evaluation/Report does not identify baseline/progress		1			1	1	1				4
Behavior Eval does not identify baseline/progress					1		2		1		4
Behavior Report inaccurate/inadequate				1			3		3		7
BSC Report (Annual/Semi) missing/not provided or late				1					1	1	3
BSC services not provided as needed/ gap in services							1				1
Behavior Eval not provided for review/missing							1				1
Total # of Issues	6	1	1	5	6	5	13	4	6	2	49

F. Adequacy of Planning, Adequacy of Services, Individual Service Plan

Before a plan can be implemented, it must first be created. The ISPs that provide details regarding the individuals' visions and outcomes are supposed to be developed by an Interdisciplinary Team that includes the Individual and those who know and provide supports to that person. This includes the Case Manager, Guardian, the Direct Support Staff, Therapists, Nurse, and any additional persons who are needed to ensure the implementation of the Plan. The 2018 IQR protocol specifically probes many of the aspects of the planning process, including detail of who participates in plan creation. The chart below details the answers to related questions in the 2018 review.

Question #70: Was the ISP developed by an appropriately constituted IDT?

Question #71: For any team members not physically present at the IDT meeting, is there evidence of their participation in the development of the ISP?

Question #35: Did the [day/employment] direct service staff have input into the person's ISP?

Question #43: Did the [residential] staff have input into the person's ISP?

Question #100: Was the person provided the assistance and support needed to participate meaningfully in the planning process?

Chart #15: Participation in the ISP planning meeting




Chart #16: ISP Development Participation, by Provider

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question				
	#70	#71	#34	#43	#100
Arca (4)	50% Yes (2) 50% Many (2)	50% Yes (2) 25% Needs Imp (1) 25% No (1)	100% Yes (3) (1 not scored)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)	25% Yes (1) 50% Many (2) 25% Needs Imp (1)
Bright Horizons (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
Cornucopia (1)	100% Yes (1)	(1 N/A)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)
Dungarvin (2)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% No (1)
Expressions of Life (1)	100% Yes (1)	(1 N/A)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)
LLCP (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)
MaxCare (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question				
	#70	#71	#34	#43	#100
Optihealth (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
Su Vida (1)	100% Yes (1)	(1 N/A)	(1 not scored)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)
The New Beginnings (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)

Chart #17: ISP Development Participation, by Case Management Agency

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question				
	#70	#71	#34	#43	#100
A New Vision (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)
A Step Above (3)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (3)	0% Yes 33% Many (1) 33% Needs Imp (1) 33% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (3)	67% Yes (2) 33% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (3)
Amigo (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)
Carino (4)	100% Yes (4)	100% Yes (2) (2 N/A)	67% Yes (2) 33% Many (1) (1 not scored)	50% Yes (2) 25% Many (1) 25% No (1)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)
NMQCM (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
Peak (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)
Unidas (4)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)	100% Yes (2) (2 N/A)	100% Yes (3) (1 not scored)	100% Yes (4)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)

One foundational component of an individual's ISP is the Long Term Vision, which summarizes what the individual wants to accomplish in the near future (3 to 5 years) in each life area. To that end, Outcomes are to be developed by the Team in a way that results in an accomplishable path to the visions. The 2018 IQR protocol specifically

probes the content of identified visions as well as the content and clarity of related outcomes. The chart below details the findings related to identified questions for class members ISPs in the 2018 review.

- Question #73: Overall, does the long term vision show expectations for growth and skill building?
- Question #169: Does the person have an ISP that contains a complete Vision Section that is based on a long term view?
- Question #74: Overall, does the ISP give adequate guidance to achieving the person's long term vision?
- Question #82: Overall, are the ISP outcomes related to achieving the person's long term vision?
- Question #83: Overall, do the ISP outcomes address the person's major needs?
- Question #81: Overall, do the outcomes in the ISP include criteria by which the team can determine when the outcomes have been achieved?




Chart #19: Vision and Outcome Scores, by Residential Agency

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#73	#169	#74	#82	#83	#81
Arca (4)	25% Yes (1) 25% Many (1) 25% Needs Imp (1) 25% No (1)	25% Yes (1) 25% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (2)	25% Yes (1) 25% Many (1) 50% No (2)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)	0% Yes 25% Many (1) 75% Needs Imp (3)	0% Yes 75% Needs Imp (3) 25% No (1)
Bright Horizons (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#73	#169	#74	#82	#83	#81
			100% Many (1)			100% No (1)
Cornucopia (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)
Dungarvin (2)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (2)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)
Expressions of Life (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
LLCP (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)
MaxCare (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)
Optihealth (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
Su Vida (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp
The New Beginnings (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% No (1)

Chart #20: Vision and Outcome Scores by Case Management Agency

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#73	#169	#74	#82	#83	#81
A New Vision (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	100% Yes (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)
A Step Above (3)	33% Yes (1) 33% Many (1) 33% Needs Imp (1)	33% Yes (1) 33% Many (1) 33% Needs Imp (1)	33% Yes (1) 33% Many (1) 33% Needs Imp (1)	67% Yes (2) 33% Needs Imp (1)	33% Yes (1) 67% Many (2)	0% Yes 33% Many (1) 67% No (2)
Amigo (2)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	100% Yes (2)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (2)	0% Yes 25% Needs Imp (1) 25% No (1)
Carino (4)	75% Yes (3)	75% Yes (3)	75% Yes (3)	75% Yes (3)	75% Yes (3)	25% Yes (1)

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#73	#169	#74	#82	#83	#81
	25% Many (1)	25% Many (1)	25% Many (1)	25% Many (1)	25% Needs Imp (1)	50% Many (2) 25% Needs Imp (1)
NMQCM (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)
Peak (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)
Unidas (4)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)	100% Yes (4)	50% Yes (3) 25% Many (1) 25% Needs Imp (1)	25% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (2) 25% No (1)

Additional components of an individual's ISP include Action Steps, which should be written in measurable terms, in sequential order which logically leads to the achievement of the related outcome. The data gathered during the implementation of the Action Steps should also be written in measurable terms, so team members can review them and determine if measurable progress toward the outcome is being made. The chart below details the findings related to specific questions which probe the action steps and data collection for class members ISPs in the 2018 review.

- Question #75: Is measurable data kept which verifies the consistent implementation of each of the action steps?
- Question #76: Does the data kept identify what the person does so a determination can be made regarding the progress/lack of progress?
- Question #77: Is each action step in the ISP implemented at a frequency that enables the person to learn new skills?
- Question #78: If the person is not successful in achieving action steps, has the team tried to determine why, and change their approach as needed?
- Question #79: If the person achieves action steps, does the team move to the next in a progress of steps or develops a new one?
- Question #80: Has the person made measurable progress on action steps during the past year?

Chart #21 Data Measurability and Action Steps




Chart #22: Data and Related ISP Action Step Scores by Residential Agency

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#75	#76	#77	#78	#79	#80
Arca (4)	0% Yes 25% Many (1) 25% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (2)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (2) 50% No (2)	0% Yes 75% Needs Imp (3) 25% No (1)	33% Yes (1) 33% Needs Imp (1) 33% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 33% Many (1) 33% Needs Imp (1) 33% No (1)	0% Yes 25% Needs Imp (1) 75% No (3)
Bright Horizons (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
Cornucopia (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	(1 N/A)	(1 N/A)	100% Yes (1)
Dungarvin (2)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)
Expressions of Life (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
LLCP (2)	0% Yes	50% Yes (1)	50% Yes (1)	50% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes

	Question					
Res. Agency (# in sample)	#75	#76	#77	#78	#79	#80
	50% Many (1) 50% No (1)	50% Many (1)	50% Many (1)	50% Many (1)	(1 N/A)	50% Many (1) 50% No (1)
MaxCare (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	50% Many (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)
Optihealth (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)
Su Vida (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	(1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
The New Beginnings (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	(2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)

Chart #23: Data and Related Action Step Scores by Case Management Agency

	Question					
CM Agency (# in sample)	#75	#76	#77	#78	#79	#80
A New Vision (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% No (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (2)
A Step Above (3)	0% Yes 33% Many (1) 67% Needs Imp (2)	0% Yes 33% Many (1) 67% No (2)	0% Yes 33% Many (1) 33% Needs Imp (1) 33% No (1)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 67% Many (2) 33% Needs Imp (1)
Amigo (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (2)
Carino (4)	25% Yes (1) 50% Many (2) 25% No (1)	25% Yes (1) 75% No (3)	25% Yes (1) 25% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (2)	33% Yes (1) 33% Needs Imp (1) 22% No (1) (1 N/A)	33% Yes (1) 33% Needs Imp (1) 22% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 25% Many (1) 25% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (2)
NMQCM (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
Peak (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#75	#76	#77	#78	#79	#80
Unidas (4)	25% Yes (1) 50% Many (2) 25% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 25% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (2) 25% No (1)	0% Yes 25% Many (1) 75% Needs Imp (3)	50% Yes (1) 50% No (1) (2 N/A)	0% Yes 33% Many (1) 67% No (2) (1 N/A)	25% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (2) 25% No (1)

In addition to the components listed above, the Teaching and Support Strategies (TSS) are also an integral part of the ISP. T&SS should be developed by the residential and/or day provider responsible for implementing the T&SS. Input from others such as therapists should be included as needed. WDSIs are developed by therapists as a complement to the T&SS. All T&SS and WDSIs should provide guidance for those direct support professionals who support the person in achieving his/her Vision/Outcomes. The following protocol questions in the 2018 IQR relate to the T&SS and implementation of the ISP.

Question #84: Overall, are the T&SS sufficient to ensure consistent implementation of the services planned?

Question #85: Overall, are the recommendations and/or objectives/strategies of ancillary provider integrated into the ISP?

Question #97: Overall, do the progress notes or other documentation in the record reflect the status of the outcomes and services of the key life areas stated in the ISP?

Question #96: Overall, were the direct service staff able to describe their responsibilities in providing daily care/supports to the person?

Question #95: Overall, was the direct service staff trained on the implementation of this person's ISP?

Question #93/94a: Is the ISP being implemented?

Chart #24: T&SS and Implementation




Chart #25: T&SS and ISP Implementation Scores by Residential Agency

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#84	#85	#97	#96	#95	#94a
Arca (4)	0% Yes 50% Many (2) 25% Needs Imp (1) 25% No (1)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (2) 50% No (2)	0% Yes 75% Needs Imp (3) 25% No (1)	100% Yes (4)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (4)
Bright Horizons (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
Cornucopia (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
Dungarvin (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	100% Yes (2)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#84	#85	#97	#96	#95	#94a
Expressions of Life (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	100% Yes (1)
LLCP (2)	100% Yes (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)
MaxCare (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)
Optihealth (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
Su Vida (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
The New Beginnings (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% No (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	100% Yes (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)

Chart #26: T&SS and ISP Implementation Scores by Case Management Agency

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#84	#85	#97	#96	#95	#94a
A New Vision (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)
A Step Above (3)	33% Yes (1) 33% Many (1) 33% Needs Imp (1)	33% Yes (1) 33% Many (1) 33% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 33% Many (1) 67% Needs Imp (2)	33% Yes (1) 67% Many (2)	33% Yes (1) 67% Many (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (3)
Amigo (2)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)
Carino (4)	25% Yes (1) 50% Many (2) 25% Needs Imp (1)	25% Yes (1) 75% Needs Imp (3)	0% Yes 25% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (2) 25% No (1)	100% Yes (4)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (2)	25% Yes (1) 75% Needs Imp (3)
NMQCM (1)	0% Yes	0% Yes	0% Yes	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#84	#85	#97	#96	#95	#94a
	100% No (1)	100% No (1)	100% Needs Imp (1)			100% Needs Imp (1)
Peak (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)
Unidas (4)	0% Yes 50% Many (2) 50% Needs Imp (2)	25% Many (1) 25% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (2)	25% Yes (1) 50% Many (2) 25% Needs Imp (1)	100% Yes (4)	100% Yes (4)	0% Yes 75% Many (3) 25% Needs Imp (1)

As evidenced above, the different components of each person's ISP are evaluated. Based on that analysis, an overview of the adequacy of ISP content as well as implementation and effectiveness of the ISP can be determined. There are multiple questions in the 2018 IQR protocol that probe these items, and the level of intensity of services that individuals in the review receive.

Question #72: Does my ISP contain current and accurate information?

Question #133: Has the IDT process been adequate for assessing, planning, implementing and monitoring of services for this person?

Question #92/173: Overall, is the ISP adequate to meet the person's needs?

Question #170: Does the person receive services and supports recommended in the ISP?

Question #94b: Are current services adequate to meet the person's needs?

Question #174: Is the total program of the level of intensity adequate to meet this person's needs?

Chart #27: ISP and Services are current and adequate




Chart #28: ISP Content and Adequacy Scores, by Residential Agency

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#72	#133	#92/173	#170	#94b	#174
Arca (4)	25% Yes (1) 25% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (2)	25% Yes (1) 25% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (2)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (4)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)	50% Yes (2) 25% Many (1) 25% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (2) 50% Needs Imp (2)
Bright Horizons (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
Cornucopia (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
Dungarvin (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (2)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)
Expressions of Life (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#72	#133	#92/173	#170	#94b	#174
LLCP (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)
MaxCare (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)
Optihealth (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
Su Vida (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
The New Beginnings (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (2)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (2)	100% Yes (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)

Chart #29: ISP Content and Adequacy Scores, by Case Management Agency

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#72	#133	#92/173	#170	#94b	#174
A New Vision (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	100% Yes (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)
A Step Above (3)	0% Yes 33% Many (1) 67% Needs Imp (2)	0% Yes 33% Many (1) 67% Needs Imp (2)	0% Yes 67% Many (2) 33% Needs Imp (1)	67% Yes (2) 33% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (3)	0% Yes 100% Many (3)
Amigo (2)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)
Carino (4)	0% Yes 75% Many (3) 25% Needs Imp (1)	25% Yes (1) 50% Many (2) 25% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 50% Many (2) 50% Needs Imp (2)	50% Yes (2) 50% Many (2)	25% Yes (1) 25% Many (2) 50% Needs Imp (2)	0% Yes 75% Many (3) 25% Needs Imp (1)
NMQCM (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)
Peak (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)
Unidas (4)	50% Yes (2)	25% Yes (1)	0% Yes	75% Yes (3)	50% Yes (2)	0% Yes

	Question					
CM Agency (# in sample)	#72	#133	#92/173	#170	#94b	#174
	25% Many (1) 25% Needs Imp (1)	75% Many (3)	75% Many (3) 25% Needs Imp (1)	25% Many (1)	25% Many (1) 25% Needs Imp (1)	75% Many (3) 25% Needs Imp (1)

G. Case Management

Case Management services are intended to be person-centered and are key to enabling people to pursue their desired life outcomes while gaining independence and access to needed services and supports. While the number of findings in the 2018 Metro3 Region IQR in the Case Management area are the third highest of the findings area, the region scored well on some of the case management questions. The charts below detail the related findings.

Question #24: Does the case manager “know” the person?

Question #25: Does the case manager understand his/her role/job?

Question #26: Did the case manager receive training on the topics needed to assist him/her in meeting the needs of this person?

Question #27: Is the case manager available to the person?

Question #28: Was the case manager able to describe the person’s health related needs?




Chart #31: Case Management Scores, by Case Management Agency

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question				
	#24	#25	#26	#27	#28
A New Vision (2)	100% Yes (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)
A Step Above (3)	67% Yes (2) 33% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 67% Many (2) 33% Needs Imp (1)	67% Yes (2) 33% Needs Imp (1)	100% Yes (3)	33% Yes (1) 33% Many (1) 33% Needs Imp (1)
Amigo (2)	100% Yes (2)	100% Many (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)
Carino (4)	100% Yes (4)	50% Yes (2) 25% Many (1) 25% Needs Imp (1)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)	50% Yes (2) 50% Many (2)	25% Yes (1) 50% Many (2) 25% Needs Imp (1)
NMQCM (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	100% Yes (1)
Peak (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	100% Yes (1)
Unidas (4)	100% Yes (4)	50% Yes (2) 50% Many (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)

Question #29: Does the case manager have an appropriate expectation of growth for this person?

Question #30: Does the case management record contain documentation that the case manager is monitoring and tracking the delivery of services as outlined in the ISP?

Question #31: Does the case manager provide case management services at the level needed by this person?

Question #32: Does the case manager receive the type of level of support needed to do his/her job?

Chart #32: Case Management Services, continued




Chart #33: Case Management Scores, by Case Management Agency

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question			
	#29	#30	#31	#32
A New Vision (2)	100% Yes (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	100% Yes (2)	100% Yes (2)
A Step Above (3)	100% Yes (3)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (3)	0% Yes 33% Many (1) 67% Needs Imp (2)	67% Yes (3) 33% Needs Imp (1)
Amigo (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Many (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	0% Yes 100% Many (2)	100% Yes (2)
Carino (4)	100% Yes (4)	0% Yes 75% Many (2) 25% Needs Imp (1)	25% Yes (1) 50% Many (2) 25% Needs Imp (1)	75% Many (3) 25% Many (1)
NMQCM (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Many (1)	100% Yes (1)
Peak (1)	0% Yes	0% Yes	0% Yes	0% Yes

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question			
	#29	#30	#31	#32
	100% Many (1)	100% Needs Imp (1)	100% Many (1)	100% Many (1)
Unidas (4)	75% Yes (3) 25% Many (1)	0% Yes 75% Many (3) 25% Needs Imp (1)	25% Yes (1) 75% Many (2)	100% Yes (4)

H. Supported Employment

Access to competitive integrated employment enables an individual to engage in community life, increase personal resources, improve self-sufficiency and contribute back to the community. The 2018 Waiver Standards emphasize that, “employment should be the first consideration. If someone does not choose employment, the decision should be based on informed choice”. Making an informed choice about employment is an individualized process. All people have unique histories and backgrounds, which means that some people may have limited experiences and will require more information to make a decision about employment while others may have a rich and varied employment history and can make an informed choice based on that history.

There are multiple components that make up the process of ensuring Informed Choice. These are probed as part of the Individual Quality Review, and detailed in the tables below. The questions in this section have been updated for 2018 IQR Protocol and reflect questions negotiated with the Parties. Provided in the charts below is the information regarding the participation of the Jackson Class Members in the Metro3 2018 IQR in Supported Employment related areas.

1. Components of Informed Choice: Assessment

Question #134. Does (Name) have a current Person Centered Assessment?

Question #135. Did this assessment address vocational interests, abilities and needs?

Question #136. Did the individual participate personally in the Person Centered Assessment?

Question #137. Did the Guardian participate in the Person Centered Assessment?

Question #138. Is the individual engaged in the Informed Choice Project?




Chart #35: Supported Employment Scores by Provider Agency

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question				
	#134	#135	#136	#137	#138
Arca (4)	0% Yes 67% Needs Imp (2) 33% No (1) (1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1) (1 Not scored)	0% Yes 100% No (3) (1 Not scored)	33% Yes 67% No (2) (1 Not scored)	25% Yes (1) 75% No (3)
Bright Horizons (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
Cornucopia (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
Dungarvin (2)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)
Expressions of Life (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	100% Yes (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
LLCP (2)	0% Yes		0% Yes	0% Yes	0% Yes

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question				
	#134	#135	#136	#137	#138
	50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	(2 N/A)	100% No (2)	100% No (2)	100% No (2)
MaxCare (2)	50% Yes (3) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	100% Yes (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (2)
Optihealth (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	(1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
Su Vida (1)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
The New Beginnings (2)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1)	(2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)

Chart #36: Supported Employment Scores by Case Management Agency

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question				
	#134	#135	#136	#137	#138
A New Vision (2)	50% Yes (1) 50% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	50% Yes (1) 50% No (1)	50% Yes (1) 50% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (2)
A Step Above (3)	0% Yes 33% Needs Imp (1) 67% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (3)	0% Yes 100% No (3)	0% Yes 100% No (3)
Amigo (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	(2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)
Carino (4)	0% Yes 33% Needs Imp (1) 67% No (2) (1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	33% Yes (1) 67% No (2) (1 Not Scored)	33% Yes (1) 67% No (2) (1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (4)
NMQCM (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	100% Yes (1)
Peak (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)
Unidas (4)	0% Yes	0% Yes	33% Yes (1)	33% Yes (1)	0% Yes

	Question				
CM Agency (# in sample)	#134	#135	#136	#137	#138
	67% Needs Imp (2) 33% No (1) (1 Not scored)	50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1) (1 N/A, 1 Not scored)	67% No (2) (1 Not scored)	67% No (2) (1 Not scored)	100% No (4)

2. **Components of Informed Choice: Information and Experience**

- Question #139. Has the individual been offered the opportunity to participate in work or job exploration including volunteer work and/or trial work opportunities?
- Question #140. If #139 is Yes, are these new experiences clearly documented in the ISP Work, Education and/or Volunteer History section?
- Question #141. If #139 is No, is the individual trying new discovery experiences in the community to determine interests, abilities, skills and needs?
- Question #142. Has the Guardian had the opportunity to gain information on how the individual responded during job exploration activities such as volunteering and/or trial work experiences?
- Question #143. Has the individual received information regarding the range of employment options available to him/her?
- Question #144. Has the Guardian received information regarding the range of employment options available for the individual?




Chart #38: Supported Employment Scores by Provider Agency

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#139	#140	#141	#142	#143	#144
Arca (4)	50% Yes (1) 50% No (1) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	50% Yes (1) 50% No (1) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)
Bright Horizons (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	(1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
Cornucopia (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	(1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
Dungarvin (2)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	(2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1) (1 N/A)
Expressions of Life (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	(1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)
LLCP (2)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)
MaxCare (2)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	(2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	100% Yes (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	100% Yes (1) (1 N/A)
Optihealth (1)	(1 N/A)	(1 N/A)	(1 N/A)	(1 N/A)	(1 N/A)	(1 N/A)
Su Vida (1)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)
The New Beginnings (2)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)

Chart #39: Supported Employment Scores by Case Management Agency

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#139	#140	#141	#142	#143	#144
A New Vision (2)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	(2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	100% Yes (3) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	100% Yes (3) (1 N/A)
A Step Above (3)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A)	(3 N/A)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1) (2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A)
Amigo (2)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)
Carino (4)	0% Yes		0% Yes	0% Yes	0% Yes	0% Yes

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question					
	#139	#140	#141	#142	#143	#144
	100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	(3 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	100% Needs Imp (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	100% No (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	100% No (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	100% Needs Imp (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)
NMQCM (1)	100% Yes (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	(1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
Peak (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	(1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)
Unidas (4)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	(3 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)

3. **Components of Informed Choice: Identification of Employment Barriers/Issues.**

Question #145. If there are barriers to employment, has the Team, including the individual, addressed how to overcome those barriers to employment and integrating clinical info, AT, & therapies as necessary...

Question #146. If there are barriers to employment, has the Team addressed with the Guardian how to overcome those barriers to employment and integrating clinical info, AT, & therapies as necessary...?

Question #147. Has the individual participated in work or volunteer activities during the past year?

Question #148. Has the individual identified what type of work or volunteer activities he/she would like to do?

Question #149. Does the Guardian support him/her working?

Chart #40: Supported Employment, continued




Chart #41: Supported Employment Scores by Provider Agency

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question				
	#145	#146	#147	#148	#149
Arca (4)					
Bright Horizons (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
Cornucopia (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
Dungarvin (2)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)
Expressions of Life (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
LLCP (2)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)
MaxCare (2)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	100% Yes (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question				
	#145	#146	#147	#148	#149
Optihealth (1)	(1 N/A)	(1 N/A)	(1 N/A)	(1 N/A)	(1 N/A)
Su Vida (1)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)
The New Beginnings (2)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)

Chart #42: Supported Employment Scores by Case Management Agency

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question				
	#145	#146	#147	#148	#149
A New Vision (2)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	100% Yes (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)
A Step Above (3)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1) (2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1) (2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A)
Amigo (2)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)
Carino (4)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)
NMQCM (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	100% Yes (1)
Peak (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% Needs Imp (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
Unidas (4)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 50% Needs Imp (1) 50% No (1) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	50% Yes (1) 50% No (1) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)

4. JCMs Involved in Supported Employment

- Question #150. Is (Name) involved in the DVR Outreach Project?
- Question #151. Is the individual engaged in Supported Employment?
- Question #152. Is the individual working in accordance with the following?
- Question #153. Does the person have a Career Development Plan?




Chart #44: Supported Employment Scores by Provider Agency

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question			
	#150	#151	#152	#153
Arca (4)	0% Yes 100% No (3) (1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	(3 N/A, 1 Not Scored)
Bright Horizons (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	(1 N/A)
Cornucopia (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)
Dungarvin (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	(2 N/A)
Expressions of Life (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	(1 N/A)
LLCP (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)
MaxCare (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	(2 N/A)

Res. Agency (# in sample)	Question			
	#150	#151	#152	#153
Optihealth (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	(1 N/A)	(1 N/A)	(1 N/A)
Su Vida (1)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)	(1 Not Scored)
The New Beginnings (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)

Chart #45: Supported Employment Scores by Case Management Agency

CM Agency (# in sample)	Question			
	#150	#151	#152	#153
A New Vision (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (1 N/A)	(2 N/A)
A Step Above (3)	0% Yes 100% No (3)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A)	(3 N/A)
Amigo (2)	0% Yes 100% No (2)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)	(2 N/A)
Carino (4)	0% Yes 100% No (3) (1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	(3 N/A, 1 Not Scored)
NMQCM (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	(1 N/A)
Peak (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	0% Yes 100% No (1)	(1 N/A)
Unidas (4)	0% Yes 100% No (3) (1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (2) (1 N/A, 1 Not Scored)	0% Yes 100% No (1) (2 N/A, 1 Not Scored)

I. IQR Scored Protocol Questions

Below are all of the questions in the protocol and the scores of the Metro3 Region Review. The questions that are highlighted below are also included in the data above.

Question	2018 (sample=10)
CASE MANAGEMENT	
24. Does the case manager “know” the person? CPRQ26; ‘17IQR#8c	94% Yes (16) 6% Needs Impv (1)
25. Does the case manager understand his/her role/job? CPRQ27 ‘17IQR#16	24% Yes (4) 47% Many (8) 29% Needs Impv (5)
26. Did the case manager receive training on the topics needed to assist him/her in meeting the needs of this person? CPRQ28	76% Yes (13) 18% Many (3) 6% Needs Impv (1)
27. Is the case manager available to the person? CPRQ29; ‘17IQR#16a	64% Yes (11) 35% Many (6)
28. Was the case manager able to describe the person’s health related needs? CPRQ30	59% Yes (10) 29% Many (5) 12% Needs Impv (2)
29. Does the case manager have an appropriate expectation of growth for this person? CPRQ31	76% Yes (13) 24% Many (4)
30. Does the case management record contain documentation that the case manager is monitoring and tracking the delivery of services as outlined in the ISP? CPRQ32; ‘17IQR#16b	12% Yes (2) 53% Many (9) 35% Needs Impv (6)
31. Does the case manager provide case management services at the level needed by this person? CPRQ33; ‘17IQR#16c	24% Yes (4) 59% Many (10) 18% Needs Imp (3)
32. Does the case manager receive the type and level of support needed to do his/her job? CPRQ34	82% Yes (14) 12% Many (2) 6% Needs Impv (1)
EMPLOYMENT AND DAY	

Question	2018 (sample=10)
33. Does the direct services staff "know" the person? CPRQ35; '17IQR#8a	100% Yes (15) (2 not scored)
34. Does the direct service staff have input into the person's ISP? CPRQ36	67% Yes (10) 27% Many (4) 7% Needs Impv (7) (2 not scored)
35. Did the direct service staff receive training on implementing this person's ISP? CPRQ37	93% Yes (14) 7% Needs Impv (1) (2 not scored)
36. Was the direct service staff able to describe this person's health-related needs? CPRQ38	47% Yes (7) 27% Many (4) 27% Needs Impv (4) (2 not scored)
37. Was the direct service staff able to describe his/her responsibilities in providing daily care/supports to the person? CPRQ39	67% Yes (10) 33% Many (5) (2 not scored)
37a. Was the direct service staff able to provide specific information regarding the person's daily activities? CPRQ39a	93% Yes (14) 7% Many (1) (2 not scored)
37b. Can the direct service staff describe his/her responsibilities in implementing this person's ISP, including outcomes, action plans, and WDSIs? CPRQ39b	73% Yes (11) 27% Many (4) (2 not scored)
38. Did the direct service staff have training in the ISP process? CPRQ40	60% Yes (9) 13% Many (2) 13% Needs Impv (2) 13% No (2) (2 not scored)
39. Did the direct service staff have training on the provider's complaint process and how to report abuse, neglect and exploitation? CPRQ41	93% Yes (14) 7% Many (1) (2 not scored)
40. Does the direct service staff have an appropriate expectation of growth for this person? CPRQ42	80% Yes (12) 7% Many (1) 7% Needs Impv (1) 7% No (1) (2 not scored)
41. Does the person's day/work environment generally clean, free of safety hazards and conducive to the work/activity intended? CPRQ43	80% Yes (12) 20% Many (3)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
	(2 not scored)
RESIDENTIAL	
42. Does the residential direct services staff “know” the person? CPRQ44; ‘17IQR#8b	100% Yes (17)
43. Does the direct service staff have input into the person’s ISP? CPRQ45	76% Yes (13) 6% Many (1) 6% Needs Impv (1) 12% No (2)
44. Did the direct service staff receive training on implementing this person’s ISP? CPRQ46	82% Yes (14) 12% Many (2) 6% Needs Impv (1)
45. Is the residence safe for individuals (void of hazards)? CPRQ47	88% Yes (15) 6% Many (1) 6% Needs Impv (1)
46. Was the residential direct service staff able to describe this person’s health-related needs? CPRQ48	65% Yes (11) 24% Many (4) 12% Needs Impv (2)
47. Was the direct service staff able to describe his/her responsibilities in providing daily care/supports to the person? CPRQ49	76% Yes (13) 24% Many (4)
47a. Was the direct service staff able to provide specific information regarding the person’s daily activities? CPRQ49a	94% Yes (16) 6% Many (1)
47b. Can the direct service staff describe his/her responsibilities in implementing this person’s ISP, including outcomes, action plans, and WDSIs? CPRQ49b	76% Yes (13) 24% Many (4)
48. Did the residential direct service staff have training in the ISP process? CPRQ50	59% Yes (10) 12% Many (2) 6% Needs Impv (1) 24% No (4)
49. Did the direct service staff have training on the provider’s complaint process and how to report abuse, neglect and exploitation? CPRQ51	100% Yes (17)
50. Does the residential direct service staff have an appropriate expectation of growth for this person? CPRQ52	82% Yes (14) 6% Many (1) 6% Needs Impv (1) 6% No (1)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
51. Does the person's residential environment offer a minimal level of quality of life? CPRQ53	88% Yes (15) 6% Many (1) 6% Needs Impv (1)
HEALTH	
52. Overall, were the team members interviewed able to describe the person's health-related needs? CPRQ54; '17IQR#21b	41% Yes (7) 53% Many (9) 6% Needs Imp (1)
53. Is there evidence that the IDT discussed the person's health related issues? CPRQ55; '17IQR#21	24% Yes (4) 41% Many (7) 35% Needs Impv (6)
54. Was the eChat updated timely? '17IQR#18g	41% Yes (7) 18% Many (3) 29% Needs Impv (5) 12% No (2)
55. Are all of the individual's needed medical treatments timely received? 17IQR#19	29% Yes (5) 47% Many (8) 24% Needs Impv (4)
56. Does the individual receive routine/scheduled medical treatment? 17IQR#19a	41% Yes (7) 47% Many (8) 12% Needs Impv (2)
57. Does the individual receive medication as prescribed? 17IQR#19e	47% Yes (8) 41% Many (7) 12% Needs Impv (2)
58. Does my nurse provide oversight of health needs (i.e. weight records, vitals, lab reports, PRN medication use, seizure records) in order to ensure accuracy, identify and respond to new issues? '17IQR#20b	29% Yes (5) 35% Many (6) 35% Needs Impv (6)
59. Are nursing services provided as needed by the individual? 17IQR#20	53% Yes (9) 29% Many (5) 18% Needs Impv (3)
60. Is the CARMP is accurate? '17IQR#21f	27% Yes (4) 47% Many (7) 27% Needs Impv (4) (2 N/A)
61. Is the CARMP consistently implemented as intended?	60% Yes (9) 27% Many (4) 13% Needs Impv (2)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
	(2 N/A)
62. Are the person's health supports/needs being adequately addressed? CPRQ56; '17IQR#19	12% Yes (2) 47% Many (8) 35% Needs Impv (6) 6% No (1)
ASSESSMENTS	
63. Did the team consider what assessments the person needs and would be relevant to the team's planning efforts? CPRQ57	35% Yes (6) 65% Many (11)
64. Has the individual received all age and gender appropriate health screenings, in accordance with national best practice and/or as recommended by his/her PCP or other health care professionals? '17IQR#18a	24% Yes (4) 53% Many (9) 18% Needs Imp (3) 6% No (1)
65. Did the team arrange for and obtain the needed, relevant assessments? CPRQ58; '17IQR#18	12% Yes (2) 88% Many (15)
66. Are the assessments adequate for planning? CPRQ59; '17IQR#4f	6% Yes (1) 71% Many (12) 18% Needs Imp (3) 6% No (1)
67. Were the recommendations from assessments used in planning? CPRQ60; '17IQR#5	29% Yes (5) 24% Many (4) 29% Needs Imp (5) 18% No (3)
68. For medical, clinical or health related rec's, has a DCF been completed if the individual and/or their guardian/health care decision maker have decided not to follow all or part of an order, rec, or suggestion? '17IQR#5c	73% Yes (8) 9% Many (1) 18% No (2) (6 N/A)
ADEQUACY OF PLANNING AND ADEQUACY OF SERVICES	
69. Is there a document called an Individual Service Plan (ISP) that was developed within the past year? CPRQ61; '17IQR#9	100% Yes (17)
70. Was the ISP developed by an appropriately constituted IDT? CPRQ62; '17IQR#3	41% Yes (7) 41% Many (7) 18% Needs Impv (3)
71. For any team members not physically present at the IDT meeting, is there evidence of their participation in the development of the ISP? CPRQ63; '17IQR#3d	31% Yes (4) 31% Many (4)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
	15% Needs Impv (2) 23% No (3) (4 N/A)
72. Does my ISP contain current and accurate information? '17IQR#6	18% Yes (3) 41% Many (7) 41% Needs Impv (7)
73. Overall, does the long term vision show expectations for growth and skill building? CPRQ64; '17IQR#7b	41% Yes (7) 24% Many (4) 29% Needs Impv (5) 6% No (1)
74. Overall, does the ISP give adequate guidance to achieving the person's long-term vision? CPRQ65; '17IQR#7c	47% Yes (8) 24% Many (4) 12% Needs Impv (2) 18% No (3)
75. Is measurable data kept which verifies the consistent implementation of each of the action steps? '17IQR#12a	12% Yes (2) 35% Many (6) 29% Needs Impv (5) 24% No (4)
76. Does the data kept identify what the person does so a determination regarding progress/lack of progress? '17IQR#12b	6% Yes (1) 24% Many (4) 18% Needs Impv (3) 53% No (9)
77. Is each action step in the ISP implemented at a frequency that enables the person to learn new skills? '17IQR#12c	6% Yes (1) 24% Many (4) 47% Needs Impv (8) 24% No (4)
78. If the person is not successful in achieving actions steps, has the team tried to determine why, and change their approach if needed? 17IQR#12d	25% Yes (3) 8% Many (1) 17% Needs Impv (2) 50% No (6) (5 N/A)
79. If the person achieves action steps, does the team move to the next in the progression of steps or develops a new one? '17IQR#12e	8% Yes (1) 15% Many (2) 23% Needs Impv (3) 54% No (7) (4 N/A)
80. Has the person made measurable progress on actions steps during this past year?'17IQR#13b	6% Yes (1) 18% Many (3)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
	29% Needs Impv (5) 47% No (8)
81. Overall, do the outcomes in the ISP include criteria by which the team can determine when the outcome(s) have been achieved? CPRQ67; '17IQR#7e	12% Yes (2) 29% Many (5) 35% Needs Impv (6) 24% No (4)
82. Overall, are the ISP outcomes related to achieving the person's long-term vision? CPRQ68; '17IQR#7d	76% Yes (13) 12% Many (2) 12% Needs Impv (2)
83. Overall, do the ISP outcomes address the person's major needs? CPRQ69; '17IQR#7g	47% Yes (8) 29% Many (5) 24% Needs Impv (4)
84. Overall, are the Teaching and Support Strategies sufficient to ensure consistent implementation of the services planned? CPRQ71; '17IQR#7i	18% Yes (3) 35% Many (6) 29% Needs Impv (5) 18% No (3)
85. Overall, are the recommendations and/or objectives/strategies of ancillary providers integrated into the ISP? CPRQ72; '17IQR#7m	18% Yes (3) 12% Many (2) 35% Needs Impv (6) 35% No (6)
86. Has the person made measurable progress in therapy this year? '17IQR#13a	12% Yes (2) 18% Many (3) 35% Needs Impv (6) 35% No (6)
87. If needed, does the ISP contain a specific Medical Emergency Response Plan (MERP)? CPRQ73b '17IQR#20c	59% Yes (10) 29% Many (5) 12% Needs Impv (2)
88. Does the ISP contain information regarding primary health (medical) care? CPRQ74	88% Yes (15) 12% Many (2)
88a. Does the ISP face sheet contain contact information for the PCP? CPRQ74a	94% Yes (16) 6% Many (1)
88b. Is the Healthcare coordinator's name and contact information listed in the ISP? CPRQ74b	94% Yes (16) 6% Needs Impv (1)
89. Does the ISP reflect how the person will obtain prescribed medications? CPRQ76	82% Yes (14) 12% Many (2) 6% No (1)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
90. Does the ISP reflect how the person will get to work/day activities, shopping, and social activities? CPRQ75	59% Yes (10) 24% Many (4) 18% No (3)
91. Does the ISP contain a list of adaptive equipment needed and who will provide it? CPRQ77; '17IQR#25a	31% Yes (5) 68% Many (6) 19% Needs Impv (3) 13% No (2)
92. Overall, is the ISP adequate to meet the person's needs? CPRQ78; '17IQR#7	0% Yes 53% Many (9) 47% Needs Impv (8)
93. Is the ISP being implemented? (If 92 is "3") CPRQ79 '17IQR#12	0% Yes (17 N/A)
94a. Is the ISP being implemented? (If 92 is "0", "1", or "2") CPRQ80a '17IQR#12	6% Yes (1) 47% Many (8) 41% Needs Impv (7) 6% No (1)
94b. Are current services adequate to meet the person's needs? CPRQ80b '17IQR#11	29% Yes (5) 47% Many (8) 24% Needs Impv (4)
95. Overall, was the direct service staff trained on the implementation of this person's ISP? CPRQ81	76% Yes (13) 24% Many (4)
96. Overall, were the direct service staff able to describe their responsibilities in providing daily care/supports to the person? CPRQ82;	76% Yes (13) 24% Many (4)
97. Overall, do the progress notes or other documentation in the record reflect the status of the outcomes and services of the key life areas stated in the ISP? CPRQ83	6% Yes (1) 35% Many (6) 35% Needs Impv (6) 24% No (4)
EXPECTATION OF GROWTH AND QUALITY OF LIFE, SATISFACTION	
98. Based on all of the evidence, has the person achieved progress in the past year? CPRQ84; '17IQR#13	18% Yes (3) 41% Many (7) 29% Needs Impv (5) 12% No (2)
99. Overall, does the IDT have an appropriate expectation of growth for this person? CPRQ85; '17IQR#8d	65% Yes (11) 24% Many (4) 12% Needs Impv (2)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
100. Was the person provided the assistance and support needed to participate meaningfully in the planning process? CPRQ86; '17IQR#1b	53% Yes (9) 35% Many (6) 6% Needs Impv (1) 6% No (1)
101. Is the person offered a range of opportunities for participation in each life area? CPRQ87	59% Yes (10) 29% Many (5) 12% No (2)
102. Does the person have the opportunity to make informed choices? CPRQ88; '17IQR#30	86% Yes (6) 14% Many (1) (10 CND)
102a. About where and with whom to live? CPRQ89; '17IQR#23c	75% Many (3) 25% No (1) (13 CND)
102b. About where and with whom to work/spend his/her day? CPRQ90; '17IQR#23d	80% Yes (8) 10% Many (1) 10% No (1) (7 CND)
102c. About where and with whom to socialize/spend leisure time? CPRQ91	89% Yes (8) 11% Many (1) (8 CND)
103. Does the evidence support that providers do not prevent the person from pursuing relationships and are respecting the rights of this person? CPRQ92; '17IQR#31f	94% Yes (16) 6% Many (1)
104. Overall, were the direct service staff interviewed trained on the provider's complaint process? CPRQ93*	94% Yes (16) 6% Many (1)
105. Overall, were all team members interviewed trained or knowledgeable on how to report abuse, neglect and exploitation? CPR 93*; '17IQR#35a	94% Yes (16) 6% No (1)
106. Does this person and/or guardian have access to the complaint processes/procedures? CPRQ94	76% Yes (13) 24% No (4)
107. Does the individual have restrictions that should be reviewed by a Human Rights Committee? '17IQR#34h	80% Yes (8) 10% Many (1) 10% No (1) (7 CND)
108. If there are restrictions that should be reviewed by HRC, have the restrictions been reviewed (quarterly) and approved (annually) by the HRC? If no, describe why. '17IQR#34i	38% Yes (5) 23% Many (3) 31% Needs Impv (4) 8% No (1) (4 N/A)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
109. If there are restrictions that should be reviewed by HRC, is a plan to enable the individual to regain his/her rights and reduce or eliminate these restrictions? '17IQR#34j	8% Yes (1) 23% Many (3) 8% Needs Impv (1) 62% No (8) (4 N/A)
110. Is the person protected from abuse, neglect and exploitation? '17IQR#35	71% Yes (12) 24% Many (4) 6% No (1)
111. Have all incidents of suspected abuse, neglect and exploitation been reported and investigated? '17IQR#35b	36% Yes (4) 27% Many (3) 27% Needs Impv (3) 9% No (1) (6 N/A)
112. Is the individual safe? '17IQR#24	88% Yes (15) 6% Many (1) 6% Needs Impv (1)
113. What is the level of participation of the legal guardian in this person's life and service planning? CPRQ 97; '17IQR#15a	47% Active (8) 24% Moderate (4) 29% Limited (5)
114. If the person is retired, does he/she have opportunities to engage in activities of interest during the day? CPRQ 100; '17IQR#29b	43% Yes (3) 57% Many (4) (10 N/A)
115. Does the person have daily choices/appropriate autonomy over his/her life? CPRQ101 '17IQR#30	88% Yes (15) 6% Many (1) 6% Needs Impv (1)
116. Have the person's cultural preferences been accommodated? CPRQ102; '17IQR#31e	94% Yes (16) 6% Many (1)
117. Is the person treated with dignity and respect? CPRQ103; '17IQR#34c	35% Yes (6) 35% Many (6) 29% Needs Impv (5)
118. Does the person have food and drink available according to their specific nutritional needs and recommendations? CPRQ108; '17IQR#23e	88% Yes (15) 12% Many (2)
119. Does the person have sufficient personal money? CPRQ110 '17IQR#34f	100% Yes (17)
120. Does the person get along with their day program/employment provider staff? CPRQ111	100% Yes (14) (3 CND)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
121. Does the person get along with their residential provider staff? CPRQ112	100% Yes (16) (1 CND)
TEAM PROCESS	
122. Are the individual members of the IDT following up on their responsibilities? CPRQ 114; '17IQR#10	18% Yes (3) 71% Many (12) 12% Needs Impv (2)
123. If there is evidence of situations in which the team failed to reach a consensus on the person's service and support needs, has the team made efforts to build consensus? CPRQ 115; '17IQR#17c	80% Yes (4) 20% Many (1) (12 N/A)
124. Do records or facts exist to indicate that the team convened meetings as needed due to changed circumstances and/or needs? CPRQ 116; '17IQR#17d	29% Yes (5) 53% Many (9) 6% Needs Impv (1) 12% No (2)
125. Is there adequate communication among team members between meetings to ensure the person's program can be/is being implemented? CPRQ117	76% Yes (13) 12% Many (2) 12% Needs Impv (2)
126. Do you recommend Dispute Resolution for this IDT? CPRQ118	6% Yes (1) 94% No (16)
127. Is there evidence or documentation of physical regression in the last year? CPRQ119 '17IQR#14a	53% Yes (9) 47% No (8)
128. Is there evidence or documentation of behavioral or functional regression in the last year? CPRQ120; '17IQR14c	41% Yes (7) 59% No (10)
129. If #127 OR #128 is scored "Yes", is the IDT adequately addressing the regression? CPRQ121;	70% Yes (7) 30% No (3) (7 N/A)
130. Has the person changed residential/day services in the last year? CPRQ122	29% Yes (5) 71% No (12)
131. If #130 is Yes, was the change Planned by the IDT? CPRQ122a	60% Yes (3) 40% No (2) (12 N/A)
132. If #130 is Yes, did the change meet the person's needs and/or preferences? CPRQ122b	80% Yes (4) 20% No 91) (12 N/A)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
133. Has the IDT process been adequate for assessing, planning, implementing and monitoring of services for this person? CPRQ123; '17IQR#7n	12% Yes (2) 53% Many (9) 35% Needs Impv (6)
SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT	
134. Does (Name) have a current Person Centered Assessment?	7% Yes (1) 47% Needs Impv (7) 47% No (7) (2 not scored)
135. Did this assessment address vocational interests, abilities and needs? CPRQ126; '17IQR#26a	0% Yes 14% Needs Impv (1) 86% No (6) (8 N/A, 2 not scored)
136. Did the individual participate personally in the Person Centered Assessment?	20% Yes (3) 80% No (12) (2 not scored)
137. Did the Guardian participate in the Person Centered Assessment?	20% Yes (3) 80% No (12) (2 not scored)
138. Is the individual engaged in the Informed Choice Project?	6% Yes (1) 94% No (16)
139. Has the individual been offered the opportunity to participate in work or job exploration including volunteer work and/or trial work opportunities? '17IQR#26e	13% Yes (1) 88% No (7) (7 N/A) (2 not scored)
140. If #139 is Yes, are these new experiences clearly documented in the ISP Work, Education and/or Volunteer History section?	0% Yes 100% Needs Impv (1) (14 N/A, 2 not scored)
141. If #139 is No, is the individual trying new discovery experiences in the community to determine interests, abilities, skills and needs?	0% Yes 33% Needs Impv (2) 67% No (4) (9 N/A) (2 not scored)
142. Has the Guardian had the opportunity to gain information on how the individual responded during job exploration activities such as volunteering and/or trial work experiences?	14% Yes (1) 86% No (6) (8 N/A) (2 not scored)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
143. Has the individual received information regarding the range of employment options available to him/her? '17IQR#26c	0% Yes 100% No (7) (8 N/A) (2 not scored)
144. Has the Guardian received information regarding the range of employment options available for the individual?	14% Yes (1) 43% Needs Impv (3) 43% No (3) (8 N/A) (2 not scored)
145. If there are barriers to employment, has the Team, including the individual, addressed how to overcome those barriers to employment and integrating clinical info., AT, & therapies as necessary ... '17IQR#27b	0% Yes 29% Needs Impv (2) 71% No (5) (8 N/A) (2 not scored)
146. If there are barriers to employment, has the Team addressed with the Guardian how to overcome those barriers to employment and integrating clinical info., AT, & therapies as necessary ...?	14% Yes (1) 86% No (6) (8 N/A) (2 not scored)
147. Has the individual participated in work or volunteer activities during the past year?	0% Yes 71% Needs Impv (5) 29% No (2) (8 N/A) (2 not scored)
148. Has the individual identified what type of work or volunteer activities he/she would like to do?	0% Yes 29% Needs Impv (2) 71% No (5) (8 N/A) (2 not scored)
149. Does the Guardian support him/her working?	29% Yes (2) 71% No (5) (8 N/A) (2 not scored)
150. Is (Name) is involved in the DVR Outreach Project?	0% Yes 100% No (15) (2 not scored)
151. Is the individual engaged in Supported Employment? CPRQ129	0% Yes 100% No (7) (8 N/A)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
	(2 not scored)
152. Is the individual Working in accordance with the following: CPRQ 130 '17IQR#28	0% Yes 100% No (7) (8 N/A) (2 not scored)
153. Does the person have a Career Development Plan? CPRQ128	0% Yes 100% No (1) (14 N/A) (2 not scored)
BEHAVIOR	
154. Is the person considered by the IDT to need behavior services now? CPRQ131; '17IQR#5d	71% Yes (12) 29% No (5)
155. Does the person need behavior services now? CPRQ132 '17IQR#11e	76% Yes (13) 24% No (4)
156. Have behavioral assessments been completed? CPRQ133	67% Yes (8) 17% Many (2) 17% Needs Impv (2) (5 N/A)
157. Does the person have a positive behavior support plan developed out of the behavior assessments that meets the person's needs? CPRQ134 '17IQR#5g	92% Yes (11) 8% Many (1) (5 N/A)
158. Has the staff been trained on the Positive Behavior Support Plan? CPRQ135; '17IQR#10d	92% Yes (11) 8% Many (1) (5 N/A)
159. If needed, does the person have a Behavior Crisis Intervention Plan that meets the person's needs? CPRQ 73a; '17IQR#5h	80% Yes (4) 20% Many (1) (12 N/A)
160. Does the person receive behavioral services consistent with his/her needs? CPRQ 136 '17IQR#5i	83% Yes (10) 15% Needs Impv (2) (5 N/A)
161. Are behavior support services integrated into the ISP? CPRQ 137; '17IQR#11d	50% Yes (6) 25% Many (3) 25% No (3) (5 N/A)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
ADAPTIVE EQUIPMENT / AUGMENTATIVE COMMUNICATION	
162. Has the person received all adaptive equipment needed? CPRQ138; '17IQR#25b	44% Yes (7) 44% Many (7) 13% Needs Impv (2) (1 N/A)
163. Has the person received all assistive technology needed? CPRQ139; '17IQR#25c	64% Yes (9) 14% Many (2) 21% Needs Impv (3) (3 N/A)
164. Do direct care staff know how to appropriately help the person use his/her equipment? '17IQR#25f	88% Yes (14) 6% Many (1) 6% Needs Impv (1) (1 N/A)
165. Is the person's equipment and technology in good repair?'17IQR#25d	75% Yes (12) 19% Many (3) 6% Needs Impv (1) (1 N/A)
166. Is the person's equipment/technology available in all appropriate environments? '17IQR#25e	44% Yes (7) 44% Many (7) 13% Needs Impv (2) (1 N/A)
167. Has the person received all communication assessments and services? CPRQ140 ; '17IQR#10b	63% Yes (10) 25% Many (4) 13% Needs Impv (2) (1 N/A)
INDIVIDUAL SERVICE PLANNING	
168. Does the person have an ISP that addresses live, work/learn, fun/relationships and health/other that correlates with the person's desires and capabilities, in accordance with DOH Regulations? CPRQ141 '17IQR#7o	100% Yes (17)
169. Does the person have an ISP that contains a complete Vision Section that is based on a long-term view? CPRQ142 '17IQR#7a	47% Yes (8) 24% Many (4) 24% Needs Impv (4) 6% No (1)
170. Does the person receive services and supports recommended in the ISP? CPRQ143; '17IQR#11a	71% Yes (12) 18% Many (3) 12% Needs Impv (2)

Question	2018 (sample=10)
171. Does the person have adequate access to and use of generic services and natural supports? CPRQ144; '17IQR#33f	65% Yes (11) 35% Many (6)
172. Is the person integrated into the community? CPRQ145; '17IQR#29g	24% Yes (4) 29% Many (5) 41% Needs Impv (7) 6% No (1)
173. Overall is the ISP adequate to meet the person's needs? CPRQ146; '17IQR#7	0% Yes 53% Many (9) 47% Needs Impv (8)
174. Is the total program of the level of intensity adequate to meet this person's needs? CPRQ147; '17IQR#36	0% Yes 76% Many (13) 24% Needs Impv (4)