

From: Dana Dunlap <new4cycles2@outlook.com>

Sent: Sunday, August 02, 2020 3:27 PM

To: Craig Erickson <craig@uttonkery.com>

Subject: Response to NMAC-20200729-DOH Comments: Regarding 7.1.30 Rules Hearing

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to NMAC-20200729-DOH. My retort, specifically related to page 6 comments, Dana Dunlap, follows:

First, if “rulemaking is indeed an exercise of legislative power” then why not let the LEGISLATURE do their job. Delegation does not mean do! The timing of this effort is highly suspect. Stop!

Second, if “the rule at issue in this rulemaking is purely procedural”, then why bother doing it? Why are you WASTING TAXPAYER DOLLARS if this is merely a procedural hearing and the LEGISLATURE can do the work? The whole process smells of corruption, misrepresentation and is NOT NECESSARY.

Third, regarding Secrecy Allegation, the phrase “significant opportunity for public input” is meaningless. The word “significant” has no tangible value, and, when used in an argument, reeks of DECEPTION. The response did not outline specific requirements for public notification, such as 20 day comment period, and associated item-by-item compliance. This process was RUSHED. Prove the State was compliant. I do not trust DOH in any matter at this time.

Finally, denying the link between this Procedural Ruling Hearing and Health Department overreach is hogwash. *We The People* can see POLITICAL GAMESMANSHIP and AUTOCRACY becoming the norm. Please stop this process immediately!

Dana Dunlap

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10